Multi-GNSS: more satellites more challenges for orbit determination

Rolf Dach, Lars Prange, Dmitry Sidorov, S. Lauren McNair and the CODE AC team

Astronomical Institute, University of Bern

International Symposium on Geodesy & GNSS 2018 Xuzhou China, November 3-4 2018



Number of Satellites in the CODE solutions



Navigation Satellite Systems today

Global Navigation Satellite Systems



Regional and Augmentation Systems





Theoretical background



Equation of Motion applies for all satellites

The shape of a satellite orbit is influenced by

- Keplerian motion
- Gravitational forces
 - Attraction by the Earth and other bodies
 - Mass distribution in/on the Earth
- Non-gravitational forces

Gravitational Forces



 Resolution of the Earth gravity field relevant for modelling the orbits of GNSS satellites in MEO orbits.



Gravitational Forces



 Resolution of the Earth gravity field relevant for modelling the orbits of GNSS satellites in GEO/IGSO orbits.



Relevant gravitational effects for GNSS orbit modelling:

- Oblateness of the Earth GPS: ≈40 km Galileo: ≈27 km QZSS: ≈15 km
- Lunar gravitational attraction
 GPS: ≈1.5 km Galileo: ≈3 km QZSS: ≈5 km
- Solar gravitational attraction
 GPS: ≈1 km
 Galileo: ≈2 km
 QZSS: ≈6 km
- Earth gravity field (remaining parts)
 GPS: ≈500 m Galileo: ≈300 m QZSS: ≈200 m
- Gravitational effect due to ocean tides
 GPS: <1 cm
 Galileo: <5 mm
 QZSS: ≈1 mm

Equation of Motion applies for all satellites

The shape of a satellite orbit is influenced by

- Keplerian motion
- Gravitational forces
 - Attraction by the Earth and other bodies
 - Mass distribution in/on the Earth
- Non-gravitational forces
 - Any interaction of radiation with a surface causes an exchange of momentum and therefore a force.
 - Thermal emission also generates a force.

One of the biggest effect on GNSS satellites is the force produced by the photons directly coming from the Sun.

Effect on the satellite orbit after one day:

- GPS satellites: \approx 250 m
- Galileo satellites: ≈350 m satellites have comparable dimensions but only half of the mass
- QZSS satellites: ≈700 m

satellite dimensions are much bigger than for the other GNSS satellites



Radiation Effect in the Orbit Determination

We need to know which amount of photons arrives at the satellite. According to the surface properties the resulting force can be derived.

77

p_s-specular Reflection



Astronomical Institute Universi

Radiation Effect in the Orbit Determination

We need to know which amount of photons arrives at the satellite. According to the surface properties the resulting force can be derived.







Astronomical Institute University of Bern $A \cup B \subset$

For an analytical modelling of the radiation and re-radiation effects one needs

- a detailed decomposition of the satellite into the geometrical elements,
- the optical properties of all surfaces (including the consequences of aging effects),
- a reasonable knowledge about the radiation arriving at the satellite, and
- sufficient information about the thermal conditions of the satellite surfaces.

With a ray tracing the resulting acceleration can be computed but this needs a big computational effort.

Semi-analytical modelling

To reduce the computational effort, the satellite is typically represented by a box-wing model.





















Slide 22



Astronomical Institute University of Bern $AIUB \subset \bigcirc$





Slide 24



Astronomical Institute University of Bern $AIUB \subset \bigcirc$



Astronomical Institute University of Bern $AIUB \subset \bigcirc$





Accelerations derived for GPS (Block IIA) satellites from a boxwing¹ and Rock-S² model



¹as proposed by Carlos Rodriguez–Solano based on Fliegel et al. (1992) 2 Fliegel et al. (1992)

Astronomical Institute University of Bern $A / B \subset$

Accelerations derived for GPS (Block IIA) satellites from a boxwing¹ and Rock-S² model



¹as proposed by Carlos Rodriguez–Solano based on Fliegel et al. (1992) ²Fliegel et al. (1992)

The Empirical CODE orbit model

The ECOM is well established for GNSS satellites in yaw-steering mode

• A Sun-fixed argument for the periodic terms is helpful to obtain interpretable series of these parameters:

$$\Delta u = u_{sat} - u_{Sun}$$

 Solar radiation pressure for satellites flying according to the previously mentioned models can be represented by:

$$D = D_0 + D_2 \cos(2\Delta u) + D_4 \cos(4\Delta u) + \dots$$

$$Y = Y_0$$

$$B = B_1 \cos(1\Delta u) + B_3 \cos(3\Delta u) + \dots$$

 $Y_0 \neq 0$ if the satellite is flying "misaligned" with a Y-bias (e.g., GPS, except for Block IIF).

Scaling factors for box-wing models

L. McNair, A.Villiger, R. Dach, A. Jäggi: Validation of boxwing models for GNSS satellites. Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. - 02 Nov. 2018.

Slide 32

Orbit Misclosures: ECOM-only

One-day solutions: Galileo has less than two revolutions within one day



L. McNair, A.Villiger, R. Dach, A. Jäggi: Validation of boxwing models for GNSS satellites. Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. – 02 Nov. 2018.

Slide 33

Orbit Misclosures: ECOM-plus-boxwing

One-day solutions: Galileo has less than two revolutions within one day



L. McNair, A.Villiger, R. Dach, A. Jäggi: Validation of boxwing models for GNSS satellites. Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. - 02 Nov. 2018.

Slide 34

Validate boxwing model

Macromodel defines:

 Plates of the satellite with its areas and surface properties

Used to compute forces acting on the satellite because of solar radiation pressure.

Whether these models are correct can be assessed by estimating scale factors for the resulting force:



[Montenbruck et al, 2015. Adv. In Space Research]

	Plate	Mod	Area (A) $[m^2]$	Normal (\vec{e}_n)	Specularity (ρ)	Diffusivity (δ)	Rotation Svs.	Description
85	1	1	5.720	[+1, 0, 0]	0.112	0.448		+X
Ű.	2	1	5.720	[-1, 0, 0]	0.112	0.448		-X
书	3	1	7.010	[0, +1, 0]	0.112	0.448		+Y
ğ	4							-Y
12	5	1	5.400	[0, 0, +1]	0.112	0.448		+Z
0	6	1	5.400	[0, 0, -1]	0.000	0.000		-Z
	7	0	22.250	[+1, 0, 0]	0.195	0.035	+SUN: [0,+1, 0]	Solar panels from
S	8	0	22.250	[-1, 0, 0]	0.196	0.034	-SUN: [0,+1, 0]	Solar panels back

L. McNair, A.Villiger, R. Dach, A. Jäggi: Validation of boxwing models for GNSS satellites. Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. - 02 Nov. 2018.

Radiation Pressure force calculation per plate:

 Without immediate thermal re-radiation: (needed if energy is absorbed, e.g., the solar panel is taking energy)

$$\vec{F} = -\frac{\Phi}{c} \cdot A\cos\theta \cdot \left[(\alpha + \delta)\vec{e}_{\odot} + \frac{2}{3}\delta\vec{e}_n + 2\rho\cos\theta \cdot \vec{e}_n \right]$$

2. With immediate thermal re-radiation (e.g., for Multi-layer insulation):

$$\vec{F} = -\frac{\Phi}{c} \cdot A\cos\theta \cdot \left[(\alpha + \delta) \left(\vec{e}_{\odot} + \frac{2}{3} \vec{e}_n \right) + 2\rho\cos\theta \cdot \vec{e}_n \right]$$



L. McNair, A.Villiger, R. Dach, A. Jäggi: Validation of boxwing models for GNSS satellites. Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. - 02 Nov. 2018.

Example for Galileo



[https://www.esa.int/spaceinimages/Images/2014/07/Galileo_satellite]

- Satellite geometry and optical properties as provided by GSA
- Front side of solar panel has two different "materials"
- Using eqn. (1) or (2) resulted in different scaling factors of about 10%
 -> eqn. (2) is correct
 -> parts of the panel are not used?

Plate	Mod	Area (A) [m ²]	Normal (\vec{e}_n)	Specularity (ρ)	Diffusivity (δ)	Rotation Sys.	Description
1	1	1.320	[+1, 0, 0]	0.000	0.070	-	-X Material A
2	1	0.440	[-1, 0, 0]	0.000	0.070		+X Material A
3	1	0.880	[-1, 0, 0]	0.730	0.190		+X Material C
4	1	1.244	[0, +1, 0]	0.000	0.070		-Y Material A
5	1	1.539	[0, +1, 0]	0.730	0.190		-Y Material C
6	1	1.129	[0, -1, 0]	0.000	0.070		+Y Material A
7	1	1.654	[0, -1, 0]	0.730	0.190		+Y Material C
8	1	1.053	[0, 0, +1]	0.000	0.070		+Z Material A
9	1	1.969	[0, 0, +1]	0.220	0.210		+Z Material B
10	1	2.077	[0, 0, -1]	0.000	0.070		-Z Material A
11	1	0.959	[0, 0, -1]	0.730	0.190		-Z Material C
12	0	7.760	[+1, 0, 0]	0.080	0.000	+SUN: [0,+1, 0]	Solar Panels Material E
13	?	3.060	[+1, 0, 0]	0.100	0.000	+SUN: [0,+1, 0]	Solar Panels Material D
14	0	10.820	[-1, 0, 0]	0.196	0.034	-SUN: [0,+1, 0]	Solar Panels back

L. McNair, A.Villiger, R. Dach, A. Jäggi: Validation of boxwing models for GNSS satellites. Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. – 02 Nov. 2018.

Yearly Scale Factors: Monoscale



L. McNair, A.Villiger, R. Dach, A. Jäggi: Validation of boxwing models for GNSS satellites. Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. - 02 Nov. 2018.

Slide 38



Smartscale-2: (two factor per satellite: solar panel and body)

GLONASS & Galileo: stable scale factors for all satellites in same block -> close to 1

GPS:

more variation between satellites in same block -> farther away from 1.

L. McNair, A.Villiger, R. Dach, A. Jäggi: Validation of boxwing models for GNSS satellites. Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. - 02 Nov. 2018.

Monoscale vs. Smartscale/Multiscale



Monoscale vs. Smartscale/Multiscale

Correlation between scale factors due to:

Similar optical
 Conclusion:

How many scaling factors can be estimated depend on the satellite type.

geometry

• Parallel resultant force

L. McNair, A.Villiger, R. Dach, A. Jäggi: Validation of boxwing models for GNSS satellites. Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. – 02 Nov. 2018.

Orbit modelling during eclipse

D. Sidorov, R. Dach, L. Prange, A. Jäggi: Improved orbit modelling of Galileo satellites during eclipse seasons. Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. - 02 Nov. 2018.

Slide 42

SLR residuals for SVN 101



Slide 43

Orbit misclosures at midnight



GPS: SVNs: 34-73 Galileo IOV: SVNs: 101–103 Galileo FOC: SVNs: 201-213

Slide 44

Design of Galileo satellites



Galileo satellites (Galileo Satellite Metadata, URL: https://www.gsceuropa.eu).

Radiators are installed on

- IOV satellites: +X, +Y, -Y
- FOC satellites: +X, +Y, -Y and -Z

D. Sidorov, R. Dach, L. Prange, A. Jäggi: Improved orbit modelling of Galileo satellites during eclipse seasons. Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. – 02 Nov. 2018.

Expected effect of the +X radiator



Slide 47

To be accounted by ECOM2:

- for low β<12deg angles requires a once-per-rev sine term in D
- for high β angles a constant term in D is sufficient.

- This additional empirical parameter shall also be active during eclipse season.
- Also the Y-bias parameter is kept active during eclipse to compensate for imbalanced thermal radiation between +Y and -Y radiators.



Orbit misclosures at midnight



Advancing ECOM for satellite in orbit normal mode

L. Prange, R. Dach, D. Arnold, G. Beutler, S. Schaer, A. Villiger, A. Jäggi: **An Empirical SRP Model for the Orbit Normal Mode.** Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. – 02 Nov. 2018.

Slide 52

Orientation of the spacescraft



L. Prange, R. Dach, D. Arnold, G. Beutler, S. Schaer, A. Villiger, A. Jäggi: **An Empirical SRP Model for the Orbit Normal Mode.** Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. – 02 Nov. 2018.

Slide 53

Orientation of the spacescraft



L. Prange, R. Dach, D. Arnold, G. Beutler, S. Schaer, A. Villiger, A. Jäggi: **An Empirical SRP Model for the Orbit Normal Mode.** Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. – 02 Nov. 2018.

Slide 54

Orientation of the coordinate system



L. Prange, R. Dach, D. Arnold, G. Beutler, S. Schaer, A. Villiger, A. Jäggi: **An Empirical SRP Model for the Orbit Normal Mode.** Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. – 02 Nov. 2018.

Slide 55

Orientation of the coordinate system



L. Prange, R. Dach, D. Arnold, G. Beutler, S. Schaer, A. Villiger, A. Jäggi: **An Empirical SRP Model for the Orbit Normal Mode.** Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. – 02 Nov. 2018.

In the terminator-based coordinate system various constant and periodic terms are estimated instead:

- QZSS-1: switches at abs(β)<20°
 a 9 parameter model is most efficient
- BDS-2: MEO/IGSO switch at abs(β)<4° MEO: a 9 parameter model is most efficient IGSO: a 2 parameter model is sufficient (possibly limited because of the coverage with tracking stations)

L. Prange, R. Dach, D. Arnold, G. Beutler, S. Schaer, A. Villiger, A. Jäggi: **An Empirical SRP Model for the Orbit Normal Mode.** Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. – 02 Nov. 2018.

Formal error for radial orbit component



The formal error justify the weak coverage with observations for BDS-IGSO satellites (reason for reduced set of orbit parameters).

ECOM updated for orbit normal mode

Comparison between CODE-MGEX and JAXA solution for QZSS-satellite(s)

(from http://mgex.igs.org/analysis)

• ECOM2 orbit model

(classical parameters designed for yaw-steering mode)

• ECOM-TB orbit model

(parameters in the terminatorbased coordinate system designed for orbit normal mode)



L. Prange, R. Dach, D. Arnold, G. Beutler, S. Schaer, A. Villiger, A. Jäggi! An Empirical SRP Model for the Dr Normal Mode. Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. - 02 Nov. 2018.

ECOM updated for orbit normal mode

RMS from SLR residulals (IQR):

	BDS2-MEO	BDS2–IGSO	QZSS-1
Old model	20.5 cm	21.0 cm	62.0 cm
New model	12.2 cm	12.2 cm	15.2 cm
Improvement	40.5 %	41.9%	75.5%

Median of a linear fit of the satellite clock corrections:

	BDS2-MEO	BDS2-IGSO	QZSS-1
Old model	1.72 ns	1.61 ns	1.43 ns
New model	0.72 ns	0.69 ns	0.35 ns
Improvement	58.1%	57.1%	75.5%

L. Prange, R. Dach, D. Arnold, G. Beutler, S. Schaer, A. Villiger, A. Jäggi: **An Empirical SRP Model for the Orbit Normal Mode.** Presented at IGS workshop, Wuhan, China, 29 Oct. – 02 Nov. 2018.

Summary

Multi-GNSS: more satellites more challenges for orbit determination

These three examples shall demonstrate

- challenge accepted by CODE and other groups developing GNSS satellite orbit models,
- step by step a progress is made to get the models for the new satellites on the level of GPS orbits,
- a support by the system providers by disclosing information on the satellites is very helpful.

THANK YOU for your attention

Publications of the satellite geodesy research group:

http://www.bernese.unibe.ch/publist

Slide 62