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1 Introduction to the Example Campaign

1.1 Stations in the Example Campaign

Data from seventeen European stations of the International GNSS Service (IGS) network and from the EUREF Permanent Network (EPN) were selected for the example campaign. They are listed in Table 1.1. The locations of these stations are given in Figure 1.1.

The observations for these stations are available for six days. Two days in year 2019 (day of year 044 and 045), two in 2020 (day of year 179 and 180), and two in 2021 (days 095 and 096). The observations are distributed in a mixture of RINEX 2 and RINEX 3 formats with related short and long filenames. Within the campaign area we use a Bernese specific naming convention for observation and navigation RINEX files that is neutral with respect to the original very different filing naming. The observation files contain also measurements from different GNSS, indicated in Table 1.1 by the RINEX system characters:

- G GPS Global Positioning System
- R GLONASS Russian Global Navigation Satellite System
- E Galileo European Galileo navigation satellite system
- C BDS BeiDou Navigation Satellite System
- J QZSS Quasi-Zenith Satellite System
- I NAVIC Navigation with Indian Constellation

![Figure 1.1: Stations used in example campaign (stations indicated by light boxes with coordinates given in the IGS 20 reference frame)](image-url)
Table 1.1: List of stations used for the example campaign including receiver and antenna type as well as the antenna height.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Receiver type</th>
<th>Antenna type</th>
<th>Antenna height</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRST00FRA 10004M004</td>
<td>Brest, France</td>
<td>TRIMBLE ALLOY</td>
<td>TRM57971.00</td>
<td>2.0431 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANP00SVK 11515M001</td>
<td>Ganovce, Slovakia</td>
<td>TRIMBLE ALLOY</td>
<td>TRM59800.00</td>
<td>0.3830 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERT00GBR 13212M010</td>
<td>Hailsham, United Kingdom</td>
<td>LEICA GRX1200GGPRO</td>
<td>LEIAT5040GG</td>
<td>0.0000 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOZ200POL 12204M002</td>
<td>Jozefoslaw, Poland</td>
<td>2019: LEICA GRX1200GGPRO</td>
<td>LEIAT5040GG</td>
<td>0.0000 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMA00POL 12209M001</td>
<td>Olsztyn, Poland</td>
<td>LEICA GRX1200+GNSS</td>
<td>LEIAT5040GG</td>
<td>0.0600 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATE00ITA 12734M008</td>
<td>Matera, Italy</td>
<td>LEICA GR30</td>
<td>LEIAR20</td>
<td>0.1010 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIKLO00UKR 12335M001</td>
<td>Mykolaiv, Ukraine</td>
<td>LEICA GR10</td>
<td>LEIAR10</td>
<td>0.0237 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONSA00SWE 10402M004</td>
<td>Onsala, Sweden</td>
<td>2019: JAVAD TRE_G3TH DELTA</td>
<td>AOAD_M_B</td>
<td>0.9950 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORID00MKD 15601M001</td>
<td>Ohrid, Republic of North Macedonia</td>
<td>LEICA GRX1200GGPRO</td>
<td>LEIAT5040GG</td>
<td>0.0640 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTBB00DEU 14234M001</td>
<td>Braunschweig, Germany</td>
<td>ASHTECH Z-XII3T</td>
<td>ASH709936E</td>
<td>0.0562 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLSE00FRA 10003M009</td>
<td>Toulouse, France</td>
<td>TRIMBLE NETR9</td>
<td>TRM59800.00</td>
<td>1.0530 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL00ESP 13406M001</td>
<td>Villafranca, Spain</td>
<td>2019: SEPT POLARX4</td>
<td>SEPCHOKE_MC</td>
<td>0.0937 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSRTOONLD 13506M005</td>
<td>Westerbork, The Netherlands</td>
<td>SEPT POLARX5</td>
<td>AOAD_M_T</td>
<td>0.3888 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTSR00DEU 14201M010</td>
<td>Kötzing, Germany</td>
<td>LEICA GR50</td>
<td>LEIAR25.R3</td>
<td>0.0710 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTSZ00DEU 14201M014</td>
<td>Kötzing, Germany</td>
<td>JAVAD TRE_3 DELTA</td>
<td>LEIAR25.R3</td>
<td>0.2840 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIM200CHE 14001M008</td>
<td>Zimmerwald, Switzerland</td>
<td>TRIMBLE NETR9</td>
<td>TRM59800.00</td>
<td>0.0000 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIMM00CHE 14001M004</td>
<td>Zimmerwald, Switzerland</td>
<td>TRIMBLE NETR9</td>
<td>TRM29659.00</td>
<td>0.0000 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the terminal sessions you will analyze the data in order to obtain a velocity field based on products computed by Center for Orbit Determination in Europe (CODE) for the IGS repro3 campaign – the contribution to the ITRF 2020. For nine of these stations, coordinates and velocities are given in the IGS 20 reference frame, an IGS–specific realization of the ITRF 2020 (see [https://itrf.ign.fr/en/solutions/ITRF2020](https://itrf.ign.fr/en/solutions/ITRF2020)). Even though the scale of the ITRF 2020 has slightly changed with respect to the repro3 series due to the combination with the other space-geodetic techniques, the orbit and Earth orientation parameters (EOP) products can be used together with the IGS 20 reference frame. For Precise Point Positioning (PPP) applications (in particular with the ambiguity resolution) this inconsistency will, however, degrade the results. A related update of the product series is under consideration.

Between the selected days in 2019 and 2020 the receiver in ONSA and the full equipment at stations JOZ2, ORID, PTBB, and VILL was changed. The receiver type, the antenna type, and the antenna height are provided in Table 1.1.

Ideally, consistent (ROBOT) calibrations for each GNSS that should be used. However, those calibrations are not always available. The antenna calibrations available for the example network and related to the IGS 20 antenna model are shown in Table 1.2. For station ONSA we have the situation that for the antenna type/radome combination even

---

### Table 1.2: List of antenna/radome combinations used in the example campaign together with the available antenna calibration values in IGS20 model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antenna type</th>
<th>Type of calibration</th>
<th>used at stations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AOAD/M_B</td>
<td>OSOD</td>
<td>ONSAOOSWE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADOPTED from NONE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADOPTED from GPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOAD/M_T</td>
<td>DUTD</td>
<td>WSRTOONLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROBOT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADOPTED from GPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASH700936E</td>
<td>SNOW</td>
<td>PTBB00DEU (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROBOT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADOPTED from GPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEIAR10</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>MIKLOOUKR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEIAR20</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>MATEOITA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEIAR25.R3</td>
<td>LEIT</td>
<td>WTZROODEU, WTZZ00DEU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEIAR25.R4</td>
<td>LEIT</td>
<td>ORIDOOMKD (2020/21), PTBB00DEU (2020/21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEIAT504GC</td>
<td>LEIS</td>
<td>LAMA00POL, ORIDOOMKD (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROBOT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADOPTED from GPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEIAT504GC</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>HERT00OBR, JOZ200POL (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPCHOKE_B3E6</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>VILL00ESP (2020/21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPCHOKE_MC</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>VILL00ESP (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRM29659.00</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>ZIMM00CHE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRM57971.00</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>BRST00FRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRM59800.00</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>JOZ200POL (2020/21), TLSE00FRA, ZIM200CHE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRM59800.00</td>
<td>SCIS</td>
<td>GANP00S3VK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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no calibration is available. The values from the same antenna type without radome are used as a proxy.

The distances between occupied locations in the network are between 200 and 1000 km. Two locations (Zimmerwald and Kötzting) are occupied by receiver/antenna pairs defining separate stations each: in Zimmerwald, the distance between ZIMM and ZIM2 is only 19 m. In Kötzting, WTZR and WTZZ are separated by less than 2 m — these are short baselines.

The receivers used at the stations MATE, ONSA, and PTBB are connected to H-Maser clocks, VILL to a Cesium clock. In 2020 and 2021 both receivers in Kötzting (WTZR and WTZZ) were connected to the same H–Maser (EFOS 18); in 2019 WTZZ is running on the internal receiver clock only.

1.2 Directory Structure

The data belonging to this example campaign is included in the distribution of the Bernese GNSS Software. Therefore, you may also use this document to generate solutions from the example dataset to train yourself in the use of the Bernese GNSS Software outside the environment of the Bernese Introductory Course.

There are three areas relevant for the data processing (in the environment of the Bernese Introductory Course they are all located in the ${HOME}/GPSDATA directory):

${D}$: The DATAPOOL area is intended as an interface where all external files can be deposited after their download. It can be used by several processing campaigns.

${P}$: The CAMPAIGN54 directory contains all processing campaigns for the Version 5.4 of the Bernese GNSS Software. In the Bernese Introductory Course environment all groups use ${P}$/INTRO in their ${HOME}$ directory.

${S}$: The SAVEDISK area serves as a product database where the result files from different processes/projects can be collected and archived. Before you start processing, only reference files (*.*_REF) obtained with the example BPE from the distribution are available here.

1.2.1 The DATAPOOL Directory Structure (${D}$)

Motivation for the DATAPOOL area

The idea of the DATAPOOL area is to place local copies of external files somewhere on your filesystem. It has several advantages compared to downloading the data each time when starting the processing:

- The files are downloaded only once, even if they are used for several campaigns.
- The data download can be organized with a set of scripts running independently from the Bernese GNSS Software environment, scheduled by the expected availability of the external files to download.
- The processing itself becomes independent from the availability of external data sources.
1.2 Directory Structure

Structure and content of the DATAPOOL area

The DATAPOOL area contains several subdirectories taking into account the different potential sources of files and their formats:

**GNSS observation data** :

**RINEX-directory** :

The data of GNSS stations are provided in Receiver INdependent EXchange format (RINEX) format. The directory may contain observation (Hatanaka-compressed) and navigation files. The files in this area may even be UNIX- or gzip-compressed. RINEX file versions 2, 3 or 4 are supported and may be introduced with the related filenames. If several versions of RINEX files for the same station are available, the script (RNX_COP) decides on the priority.

These RINEX files are “originary” files that are not changed during the processing.

The RINEX files can be downloaded from international data centers. Project-specific files are copied into this area. If you mix the station lists from different projects, it is recommended to keep the four-character IDs of all stations in the RINEX file names unique (even if the remaining characters of the nine-character ID is different). The reason is that a mixture with four-character IDs from files using the RINEX 2 naming convention may lead to unpredictable effects.

**HOURLY-directory** :

The same as the RINEX directory but dedicated to hourly RINEX data used for near real-time applications.

Note: not all stations in this example provide hourly RINEX files.

**GNSS products** :

Orbits, EOP, satellite clock corrections and biases are basic external information for a GNSS analysis. We propose to use the ID of the source also to create related subdirectories:

**COD** – CODE operational final solution, currently containing GPS and GLONASS.

**COR** – CODE operational rapid solution, containing GPS, GLONASS and Galileo.

**CODOR03FIN** – CODE contribution to IGS repro3, containing GPS, GLONASS, Galileo.

**CODOMGXFINFO** – CODE experimental Multi-GNSS Extension (MGEX) solution, containing GPS, GLONASS, Galileo, BeiDou, and QZSS.

**IGS** – combined IGS products from the final series, containing only GPS. GLONASS may be added from separate files (named IGL).

The files are provided at the download area from CODE (http://www.aiub.unibe.ch/download/CODE/). Instructions for downloading of combined IGS products as well as selected analysis center results are available at https://files.igs.org/pub/product/readme.txt
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Note that not all analysis centers/solution series provide clock corrections and consistent bias products.

The files are either named with the GPS-week and the day of the week (apart from files containing information for the entire week, e.g., ERP, or the processing summaries). Alternatively also the new naming convention with long filenames indicating the beginning of the dataset with year, day of year, hour and minute together with the length of the dataset in the file is supported. Unix- or gzip-compressions may be applied to these files.

The IGS provides GPS and GLONASS orbits only in separate files (IGS/IGL-series from the final product line) stemming from independent combination procedures with different contributing analysis centers. Nevertheless, they are consistent enough to merge both files together as the first step of the processing. CODE as well as other analysis centers of the IGS (e.g., ESA or GFZ) contribute fully combined multi-GNSS solutions to the IGS final (and ultra-rapid) product line. The contributions of these groups are already combined GPS/GLONASS orbit files.

BSW54:
In this directory we have placed files containing external input information in Bernese specific formats. The files are neutral with respect to the data you are going to process. Typically these are ionosphere maps. If the external GNSS product source does not provide biases also Bernese formatted bias files (OSB) may be placed here. Please take care on the consistency in this case. These files can be downloaded from http://www.aiub.unibe.ch/download/CODE/ or http://www.aiub.unibe.ch/download/BSWUSER54/ areas.

REF54:
Here we propose to collect files in Bernese format which are useful for several campaigns.

- At first these are the series of files related to the reference frame (e.g., IGB14 or IGS20) that may be updated via the download area http://www.aiub.unibe.ch/download/BSWUSER54/REF
- Typically, also the sets of files related to the project-specific station selection are prepared in this area. This allows the usage of these files in various campaigns for data processing. Such files are typically: station coordinates (CRD) and velocities (VEL), station information (STA) and equipment conflict (CRX) files, abbreviation (ABB), cluster (CLU) and tectonic plate (PLD) tables, as well as the ocean and atmosphere tidal loading correction tables (BLQ and ATL, respectively).
- The antenna phase center variation (PCV) are expanded according to the used antennas. The supported systems are extracted from the observation selection file (SEL). Both are sitting in the campaigns GEN directory for processing. If these files are prepared project-specific they can be taken from this REF54 directory, alternatively one file serving for all projects can be prepared and placed in the $CONFIG$ folder.
- The directory is also the source of the SINEX and IONEX skeleton files to be used to generate the various result files.

LEO-data (e.g., GRCC or GRCD):
These directories are intended to host files which are necessary for Low Earth Orbiter (LEO) data processing. For each LEO satellite a separate folder is foreseen.
1.2 Directory Structure

RINEX observation files (version 2 or 3/4) are stored in the subdirectory RINEX (of the LEO directory). The corresponding attitude files are placed in the subdirectory ATTIT. Both locations can be addressed with PCF variables “V_RNXDIR” and “V_ATTDIR”. Also the names of the files in these directories are flexible and can be specified via the variables “V_RNXFIL” and “V_ATTFIL” respectively.

These files are needed to run the example BPE on LEO orbit determination (LEOPOD, PCF). They are not used in the example during the Bernese Introductory Course.

SLR data:
The Satellite Laser Ranging (SLR) data is provided either in the traditional quicklook normal point or the current consolidated range data format. The directory contains the normal point files downloaded from the International Laser Ranging Service (ILRS) data centers.

These files are needed to run the example BPE on orbit validation using SLR observations (SLRVAL.PCF). They are not used during the Bernese Introductory Course.

Miscellaneous directories:
The files in these directories are not necessary for executing the example BPEs as they are provided with the software. They indicate additional files which might be useful when processing your own data with adjusted processing setups.

StationLogs:
This directory contains the site log files (e.g., from https://files.igs.org/pub/station/log) providing the information on the equipment history in order to be filled into the station information file to check the header of the RINEX observation files before processing.

VMF3:
The grids for the Vienna Mapping Function (VMF) (Version 3) are located in a separate directory. They are not used for the examples but it shall indicate that for other types of files other directories may be created.

GFZloading:
Grid files with crustal deformation corrections from the non-tidal loading models as provided by GFZ Potsdam for atmosphere, ocean and hydrology non-tidal loading effects.

All files and meta-information related to the 17 stations selected for the example campaign are already in this DATAPool-area ($D$) after installing the Bernese GNSS Software. GNSS orbit information is available from CODE (legacy, rapid and MGEX) and IGS (directories $D$/COD, $D$/COR, $D$/CODOMGXFIN or $D$/IGS, respectively).

1.2.2 The Campaign-Directory Structure

Putting data from the DATAPool into the campaign

When running an automated processing using the BPE there is a script at the beginning of the process which copies the data from the DATAPool-area into the campaign. If you
are going to process data manually you first have to copy the necessary files into the campaign and decompress them if necessary using standard utilities (uncompress, gunzip\(^1\), or CRZ2RNX for RINEX–files).

## Content of the campaign area to process the example

All files needed to process the data according to this tutorial are already copied into the campaign area. If you want to follow the example outside the Bernese Introductory Course environment you have to put the following files at the correct places in the campaign directory structure.

![File List](image.png)

\(^1\)These tools are also available for WINDOWS-platforms, see [www.gzip.org](http://www.gzip.org). Note, that gunzip can also be used to uncompress UNIX–compressed files with the extension `.Z`.
1.2 Directory Structure

The directories $\text{INTRO/MODEL/}$ and $\text{INTRO/CONFIG/}$ contains copies of the files from the $\text{MODEL}-$ and $\text{CONFIG}-$directories, which are used by the processing programs. If you want to view these files, please use those in your campaign and not the ones in the $\text{MODEL}-$ and $\text{CONFIG}-$directory to prevent potential interferences with your colleagues.

1.2.3 Input Files for the Processing Examples

Atmosphere files ATM

The input files in this directory are global ionosphere models in the Bernese format obtained from the IGS processing at CODE. They will be used to support the phase ambiguity resolution with the QIF strategy and to enable the higher order ionosphere (HOI) corrections.

General files GEN

In this directory campaign-specific configuration files are located:

- **SESSIONS.SES**: Session table
  Initial versions for daily and hourly processing are available in $\text{PAN}$.  

- **OBSERV.SEL**: Priority list for selecting the observation/signal types for processing
  Initial versions as they are used for the processing at CODE can be downloaded from BSW aftp.

- **ANTENNA_I14.PCV** or **ANTENNA_I20.PCV**: Phase center eccentricities and variations
  Updates are needed when introducing new antenna corrections or new antenna/radome combinations. An Initial version can be downloaded from BSW aftp and updated with ATX2PCV.
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- **I14.ATX** or **I20.ATX**: Antenna correction model in ANTenna correction EXchange format (ANTEX)
  The corrections are related to IGS 14 and IGS 20, respectively.

- **SINEX header information**
  The metadata in the SINEX header has to be adapted to your institution. It is applied to the general SINEX as well as troposphere and bias SINEX.
  The skeleton of this file is available at `${DOC}/EXAMPLE_SINEX.SKL`; versions prepared for the distributed processing examples are copied from `${D}/REF54` into the campaign:
  - **SINEX.PPP** for PPP example
  - **SINEX.RNX2SNX** for RNX2SNX example
  - **SINEX.IONDET** for IONDET example

- **IONEX header information**
  Adapt IONEX header for your institution
  The skeleton of this file is available at `${DOC}/EXAMPLE_IONEX.SKL`; versions prepared for the distributed processing examples are copied from `${D}/REF54` into the campaign:
  - **IONEX.IONDET** for IONDET example

**Grid files GRD**

In this directory the grid files `*.GRD` are collected. To apply, e.g., the VMF troposphere model (a priori information from European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) and Vienna mapping function) you need a grid with the necessary coefficients.

**Orbit files ORB**

The precise orbits in the files `*.PRE` are usually the final products from CODE analysis center containing Global Positioning System (GPS), Russian Global Navigation Satellite System (GLONASS), and potentially European Galileo navigation satellite system (Galileo) orbits from a rigorous multi–GNSS analysis. Alternatively also the combined final products from the IGS can be used. They do not contain orbits for the GLONASS satellites. The combined GLONASS satellite orbits from the IGS are available in `IGL`–files. Both precise orbit files need to be merged for a multi–GNSS analysis. The corresponding EOP are given in weekly files with the extension `*.IEP` (take care on full consistency with the orbit product).

Furthermore, the directory contains code and phase bias values either in the international Bias SINEX format with the extension `BIA` or the Bernese internal format for Observation-specific signal biasess (OSBs) (extension `OSB`).

**Clock RINEX files OUT**

The clock RINEX files are located in the `OUT`–directory. They are consistent with the GNSS orbits, EOP, and potentially the phase bias products in the `ORB`–directory. They contain station and satellite clock corrections with at least 5 minutes sampling — there
are also files from the IGS or some of the analysis centers (ACs) providing satellite clock corrections with a sampling of 30 seconds.

**RINEX files RAW**

The raw data are given in RINEX format. In the Bernese internal naming scheme, we combine the nine character long station identifier with the four digit year and the session. The extension is RXO for observation and RXN for navigation RINEX files. In case of RINEX-2 files, the four characters are just extended by the default naming 00XYZ.

**Station files STA**

The coordinates and velocities of the stations given in the IGS realization of the reference frame ITRF 2020 are available in the files IGS20_R.CRD and IGS20_R.VEL. For some stations also corrections for Post Seismic Deformation (PSD) need to be applied that are provided in the file IGS20.PSD. The IGS core stations are listed in IGS20.FIX. This file will be used to define the geodetic datum when estimating station coordinates. The files for the previously published IGS realization (based on ITRF 2014) are available as well: IGB14_R.CRD, IGB14_R.VEL, IGB14.PSD and IGB14.FIX. You can browse all these files with a text editor or with the menu (*Menu>*Campaign>*Edit station files*).

For all stations that have unknown coordinates in the IGS20 reference frame a PPP using the example BPE (PPP.PCF) for day 044 of year 2019 has been executed. For our EXAMPLE-project a resulting coordinate file EXAMPLE.CRD has been generated. It contains all IGS core sites (copied from file IGS20_R.CRD) and the PPP results for the remaining stations. The epoch of the coordinates is January 01, 2015. The corresponding velocity file EXAMPLE.VEL contains the velocities for the core sites (copied from file IGS20_R.VEL) completed by the NNR–NUVEL1A velocities for the other stations. The assignment of stations to tectonic plates is given in the file EXAMPLE.PLD.

To make sure that you process the data in the *Bernese GNSS Software* with correct station information (station name, receiver type, antenna type, antenna height, etc.) the file EXAMPLE.STA is used to verify the RINEX header information. The reason to use this file has to be seen in the context that some antenna heights or receiver/antenna types in the RINEX files may not be correct or may be measured to a different antenna reference point. Similarly, the marker (station) names in the RINEX files may differ from the names we want to use in the processing. The antenna types have to correspond to those in the file ${P}\slash INTRO\slash GEN\slash ANTENNA_I20.PCV$ to ensure that the correct phase center offsets and variations are used.

For each station name unique four- and two-character abbreviations to construct the names for the Bernese observation files need to be defined in the file EXAMPLE.ABB. It was automatically generated by the PPP–example BPE. If you want to process big networks, the baselines need to be divided into clusters to speed up the processing. For that purpose each station has to be assigned to a region by a cluster number in the file EXAMPLE.CLU.
Table 1.3: List of global model and configuration files to be used in the Bernese programs for the processing example.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filename</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Modification</th>
<th>Update from</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONST.BSW</td>
<td>All constants used in the Bernese GNSS Software</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>BSW aftp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAU2000R06.NUT</td>
<td>Nutation model coefficients</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IERS2010XY.SUB</td>
<td>Subdaily pole model coefficients</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT_FES2004.TID</td>
<td>Ocean tides coefficients</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIDE2000.TP0</td>
<td>Solid Earth tides coefficients</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGM2008_SMALL.GRV</td>
<td>Earth potential coefficients (reduced version, sufficient for GNSS and LEO orbit determination)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s1_s2_def_ce.dat</td>
<td>S1/S2 atmospheric tidal loading coefficients</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filename</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Modification</th>
<th>Update from</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DATUM.BSW</td>
<td>Definition of geodetic datum</td>
<td>Introducing new reference ellipsoid</td>
<td>BSW aftp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPSUTC.BSW</td>
<td>Leap seconds</td>
<td>When a new leap second is announced by the IERS</td>
<td>BSW aftp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLOFF.POL</td>
<td>Pole offset coefficients</td>
<td>Introducing new values from IERS annual report (until 1997)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SATELLIT_I14.SAT or SATELLIT_I20.SAT</td>
<td>Satellite information file</td>
<td>New launched satellites</td>
<td>BSW aftp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOXWING.MAC</td>
<td>Definition of orbit model parameters</td>
<td>New launched satellites</td>
<td>BSW aftp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT_$Y+0.CRX</td>
<td>Satellite problems</td>
<td>Satellite maneuvers, bad data, ...</td>
<td>BSW aftp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The last files to be mentioned in this directory are EXAMPLE.BLQ and EXAMPLE.ATL. They respectively provide the coefficients for the ocean and atmospheric tidal loading of the stations. They should at least be applied in the final run of GPSEST.

Global model and configuration files MODEL and CONFIG

These general model and configuration files contain information that is neither user- nor campaign-specific. They are accessed by all users, and changes in these files will affect processing for everyone. Consequently, these files have a central location in either $(C)/GLOBAL/MODEL or $(C)/GLOBAL/CONFIG directory. Table 1.3 shows the list of these files necessary for the processing example. It also shows which files need to be updated from time to time by downloading them from the anonymous ftp-server of AIUB (http://www.aiub.unibe.ch/download/BSWUSER54/).

The antenna corrections have to be applied consistently to the reference frame. Since we are going to use the IGS 20 coordinates and velocities to define the datum for our solution,
1.2 Directory Structure

the related antenna correction model has to be applied: **IGS20.ATX**. The related values are available in the **SAT**E**LLIT**_I20.SAT and **ANTENNA**_I20.PCV files. The first of these two files is located in the central **CONFIG** directory the latter one is contained in the campaign-specific **GEN** directory because it should contain only antenna/radome combinations that are processed in this campaign. Disregarding the two locations it is essential to **use these two files consistently**. For the ITRF 2014 related datum, the files are available as well: **SAT**E**LLIT**_I14.SAT and **ANTENNA**_I14.PCV.

Each Bernese processing program has its own panel for general files. Make sure that you use the correct files listed in Table 1.3.

1.2.4 The **SAVEDISK** Directory Structure (§{S})

Motivation for the **SAVEDISK** area

When processing GNSS data, a lot of files from various processing steps will populate your campaign directories. The main result files from the data analysis are collected in the **SAVEDISK** area. This area is intended as long-term archive for your result files.

Because the result files are stored in the **SAVEDISK** area, you can easily clean up your campaign area without losing important files. Please keep in mind that the computing performance decreases if you have several thousands of files in a directory.

Structure and content of the **SAVEDISK** area

We propose to build subdirectories in the **SAVEDISK** area for each of your projects. If these projects collect data over several years, yearly subdirectories are recommended. It is also practical to use further subdirectories like **ATM**, **ORB**, **OUT**, **SOL**, **STA** to distribute the files and to get shorter listings if you are looking for a file. For reproducibility and documentation of the obtained results it might be a good idea to add the used configuration and model files to a **GEN** directory.

The **SAVEDISK** area contains after its installation a directory structure according to the description above. Each example BPE is assumed as a project. Therefore, you will find on the top level of the **SAVEDISK** the directories **PPP**, **RNS2SNX**, **CLKDET**, **IONDET**, **LEOPGD**, and **SLRVAL** (related to the different example BPEs).

In each of these directories you will find several files ending with _REF_. They are generated by running the example BPEs on the system at Astronomical Institute of the University of Bern (AIUB). Even though this tutorial and of the **RNS2SNX** example BPE are both aiming on processing the same dataset from a regional network, the results will not be identical since there are some differences in the processing strategies and selected options.
1.2.5 Preparing the environment

For the *Bernese Introductory Course* the three data areas are prepared in such a way that the processing of the example data can immediately start. Some of the data are copied from the **DATAPool**-area into the **CAMPAIGN**-area and decompressed. An archive file containing the related files is made available that can be installed by

```
cd $D
cd ..
tar -xvzf _where-ever-it-is_/TUTORIAL.tgz
```

(_where-ever-it-is_ should be replaced by the location of this archive file on your system)

On Windows platforms you have to copy the three subdirectories of the archive to the related locations using the Windows File Explorer.
2 Terminal Session: Monday

Today’s terminal session is to:
1. become familiar with the UNIX environment, the menu of the Bernese GNSS Software, and the example campaign,
2. verify the campaign setup done for you (see sections 2.2 and 2.3, and also the handout for the terminal sessions),
3. generate the a priori coordinates for all 4 days using COOVEL (see Section 2.5), and
4. start to prepare pole and orbit information according to chapter 3.

2.1 Start the Menu

Start the menu program using the command `G1`.

Navigate through the submenus to become familiar with the structure of the menu. Read the general help (available at "Menu > Help > General") to get an overview on the usage of the menu program of the Bernese GNSS Software.

For the terminal session in the Bernese Introductory Course, the campaign setup has already been done for each user. Please check that the campaign name in the statusbar of the Bernese Menu is set correctly to your campaign (i.e., Campaign ${P}/INTRO) and that the current session is set to the first session (i.e., $Y+0=2019, $S+0=0440). If this is not the case, please contact the staff in the terminal room.

2.2 Select Current Session

Select "Menu > Campaign > Edit session table" to check the session table. It is recommended to use the wildcard string "???0" for the "List of sessions" in panel "SESSION TABLE". The panel below shows the session definition for a typical permanent campaign with 24-hours sessions. The setup of the session table is a very important task when you prepare a campaign. Please read the corresponding online help carefully.

---

1 At the exercise terminals the Bernese environment is loaded automatically during login. At home you have to source the file `${C}/LOADGPS.setvar` on UNIX-platforms either manually or during login.
Save the session table (press the "Save" button) and open the “Date Selection Dialog” in the "Menu>Configure>Set session/compute date" in order to define the current session:

![Date Selection Dialog](image)

### 2.3 Campaign Setup

Usually, a new campaign must be added to the campaign list ("Menu>Campaign>Edit list of campaigns") first and select it as the active campaign ("Menu>Campaign>Select active campaign"), before the directory structure can be created ("Menu>Campaign>Create new campaign"). In the *Bernese Introductory Course* environment this should already have been done for your campaign, but please verify that.

In the *Bernese Introductory Course* environment the selected campaign should be $\{P\}/INTRO. In order to become familiar with the campaign structure, you can inspect your campaign directory and inspect the contents using the command line (using *cd* for changing directories and *ls* to create directory listings) or using a filemanager (e.g., midnight commander *mc*).

### 2.4 Menu Variables

When processing GNSS data, it is often necessary to repeat a program run several times with only slightly different option settings. A typical example would be the processing of several sessions of data. The names of observation files change from session to session because the session number is typically a part of the filename. It would be very cumbersome to repeat all the runs selecting the correct files manually every time. For the BPE an automation is mandatory. For such cases the Bernese menu system provides a powerful tool: the so-called menu variables. The menu variables are defined in the user–specific menu input file $\{U\}/PAN/MENU_VAR.INP that is accessible through "Menu>Configure>Menu variables". Three kinds of menu variables are available: predefined variables (also called menu time variables), user–defined variables, and system environment variables.

The use of system environment variables is necessary to generate the complete path to the files used in the *Bernese GNSS Software*. The campaign data are located in the directory $\{P\}/INTRO=$\{HOME\}/GPSDATA/CAMPAIGN54/INTRO. The user-dependent files can be found at $\{U\}=$\{HOME\}/GPSUSER54 — note that $\{HOME\}$ may have been already translated into the name of your home–directory. The temporary user files are
saved in ${T}=/scratch/local/bern54 (change bern54 to your user name). Finally, the campaign–independent model and configuration files reside in ${MODEL}=${C}/GLOBAL/ and ${CONFIG}=${C}/GLOBAL/CONFIG, respectively.

The predefined variables provide a set of time strings assigned to the current session. From the second panel of the menu variables you get an overview on the available variables and their usage:

Be aware that the variable $S+1$ refers to the next session. Because we are using a session table for daily processing it also corresponds to the next day.

These variables are automatically translated by the menu upon saving the panel or running the program. We recommend to make use of them in the input panels (e.g. for filename specification).
2.5 Generate A Priori Coordinates

As stated before the a priori coordinates generated from the PPP processing example BPE refer to the epoch January 01, 2015. The first step is to extrapolate the coordinates to the epoch that is currently processed. In the recent ITRF-solutions also Post Seismic Deformation (PSD) corrections have to be applied when the epoch of the coordinate sets are changed. They are provided in the input file “PSD corrections (since ITRF14)”. Of course this feature is also included in the IGS 20 frame – the IGS-specific realization of the ITRF 2020.

Coordinate extrapolation is the task of the program COOVEL. Open the program input panel in "Menu>Service>Coordinate tools>Extrapolate coordinates":

```
Reference epoch: date  $YMD_STR+0  →  2019 02 13
Output coordinate file  APR_$YYSS+0  →  APR_20190440
Title line  Session $Y+0-$S+0:  →  Session 2019-0440:
```

Start the program with the `Run` button. The program generates an output file COOVEL.L?? in the directory ${P}/INTRO/OUT. This file may be browsed using the `Output` button or with "Menu>Service>Browse program output". It should look like

```
Bernese GNSS Software, Version 5.4
---------------------------------------------
Program        : COOVEL
Purpose        : Extrapolate coordinates
Campaign      : ${P}/INTRO
Default session: 0440 year 2019
Date           : 13-Jan-2024 15:36:36
User name      : bern54
```
2.5 Generate A Priori Coordinates

EXAMPLE: Session 2019-0440: Coordinate propagation

---

INPUT AND OUTPUT FILENAMES

---

Geodetic datum: \( $\text{CONFIG}/\text{DATUM}.\text{BSW} \)
Input coordinate file: \( $P/\text{INTRO}/\text{STA}/\text{EXAMPLE}.\text{CRD} \)
Input velocity file: \( $P/\text{INTRO}/\text{STA}/\text{EXAMPLE}.\text{VEL} \)
Output coordinate file: \( $P/\text{INTRO}/\text{STA}/\text{APR}_20190440.\text{CRD} \)
Approx. velocities at ref. epoch: --
PSD corrections (since ITRF14): \( $P/\text{INTRO}/\text{STA}/\text{IGS20}.\text{PSD} \)
Annual/semiannual corrections (a): --
Stations without PSD corrections: --
Program output: \( $P/\text{INTRO}/\text{GER}/\text{SESSIONS}.\text{SES} \)
Reference epoch: 2015-01-01 00:00:00
Interpolation factor: -4.1177275838466807

>>> CPU/Real time for pgm "COOVEL": 0:00:00.134 / 0:00:00.134
>>> Program finished successfully

The header area of the program output is standardized for all programs of the Bernese GNSS Software, Version 5.4. Furthermore each program has a title line that should characterize the program run. It is printed to the program output and to most of the result files. Many program output files furthermore provide a list of input and output files that have been used or generated.

The last two lines of the above example appear also in each program output of the Bernese GNSS Software, Version 5.4. It reports the processing time and the status successful or with error.

The result of the COOVEL run is an a priori coordinate file (\( $P/\text{INTRO}/\text{STA}/\text{APR}_20190440.\text{CRD} \)) containing the positions of the sites to be processed for the epoch of the current session (the lines for the other stations are ignored in the processing):

Have a look at the LOCAL GEODETIC DATUM: in the resulting coordinate file. It is set to IGS20 in this case. If you go back to your input file (e.g., pressing the Rerun button)
you may open the dialog to select the "Input coordinate file" by pressing on the button next to the input field. Select now the file **EXAMPLE.CRD** and press the button **Browse** in order to open a window where the selected file is displayed.

Here you can see the **LOCAL GEODETIC DATUM**: is set to **IGS20_0**. This difference is the indicator whether the PSD corrections have been applied or not. Coordinate files indicating that the PSD corrections have not been applied cannot be used for processing GNSS data. At the same time it is protecting you from applying the corrections twice. For that reason the execution of the program **COOVEL** for applying the PSD corrections is also essential even if none of the stations in your processing (as in our example) is affected by these corrections.

You can repeat all steps for the other sessions in the example campaign by changing the current session using the `^+Day` or `^-Day` to change a limited number of days (not sessions) or via `^Menu>Configure>Set session/comp date`. You can then use the **Rerun** button to restart the program. No options need to be changed because of the consequent use of the menu time variables was made. Even if you are going to process only the first day (044, year 2019) of the example dataset during the terminal sessions, you will need prepared coordinate files for all days later on Thursday. That’s why, this step should be executed for all six days: 044 and 045 of year 2019, 179 and 180 of year 2020 as well as 095 and 096 of year 2021.
2.6 Session Goals

At the end of this session, you should have created the following files:

1. a priori coordinates in your campaign’s STA directory: for six sessions
   APR_20190440.CR, APR_20190450.CR, APR_20201790.CR,
   APR_20201800.CR, APR_20210950.CR, and APR_20210960.CR

Until the end of today’s terminal session you should start with preparing the pole
and orbit information, see Chapter 3.
3 Terminal Session: Pole and Orbit Preparation (Monday/Tuesday)

The terminal session on pole and orbit preparation is to:
1. generate the pole information file in the Bernese format (POLUPD)
2. generate the Bernese standard orbit files from CODE precise orbit files (ORBGEN)
3. extract satellite clock corrections for receiver clock synchronization (SATCLK2)
4. convert biases from external to internal format (BIA2OSB)

You should start with these tasks during Monday’s terminal sessions and finish the processing during the terminal session on Tuesday.

Introductory Remark

We recommend to use the final or reprocessed products from CODE because they contain consistent orbits for GPS, GLONASS, and Galileo (at least the reprocessing series). Alternatively, the CODE rapid series contains the orbits from all three systems since September 2019. All these series include all active GNSS satellites (even if they are unhealthy or during GPS satellite repositioning events) with the highest possible accuracy thanks to the three-day long-arc technology. Due to this choice you will get the best possible consistency between the external products and the software.

You may alternatively use the products from the IGS. Separate product files for GPS and GLONASS orbits (no combination of Galileo products is executed so far) exist from independent combination procedures that first need to be merged for a multi-GNSS processing. For most of the applications, merging the precise orbit files is sufficient — a tutorial on the procedure is given in Section 7.1 of this book. On the other hand, the consistency of the orbits can not be as good as that of CODE (or other analysis centers) following the strategy of the rigorous combined processing of GPS and GLONASS measurements for orbit determination.

Products for other systems are available in the MGEX solutions provided by CODE and other ACs. Note, that these are experimental products used, e.g., to test various orbit models or antenna calibration sets.
3.1 Prepare Pole Information

Together with the precise orbit files (PRE), a consistent set of Earth orientation parameters is provided in the ORB directory. Whereas the orbits are given in daily files the EOP may be available in weekly files for some product series (e.g., IGS). We have to convert the information from the International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service (IERS)/IGS standard format (file extension within the Bernese GNSS Software is IEP) into the internal Bernese EOP format (file extension within the Bernese GNSS Software is ERP). This is the task of the program POLUPD (“Menu>Orbits/EOP>Handle EOP files>Convert IERS to Bernese Format”) which is also able to update the EOP records of an existing file.
Together with the nutation and subdaily pole model also the meanpole model is specified in this panel. They are reported in the resulting Bernese formatted pole file in order to check the consistent use.

Take care on the option “Meanpole model”. It has to be chosen consistently to the EOPs to be converted. The reprocessing series generated in preparation of ITRF2020 use IERS2010_v1.2.0. As soon as the ITRF 2020 respective the IGS 20 will be introduced in the operational processing of the IGS this “Meanpole model” has to be used also for the operational products. For earlier operational products the IERS2010XY has to be used.

Another important remark is related to option “Use ERP rates”: please check your input “Foreign formatted ERP files” whether they contain the midnight epochs requested to cover the entire period of the session (e.g., in the CODE products). In that case the checkbox should be deactivated. If you have only one record per day at noon in your input “Foreign formatted ERP files” (e.g., in IGS products) the rates from the file should be used, the option has to be activated.

The last panel of the program POLUPD is an example for the specification of time windows in the *Bernese GNSS Software*, Version 5.4. Time windows can be defined by sessions (a single session or a range of sessions). Alternatively, a time window may be specified by a start and an end epoch. By entering either a start or an end epoch you may define only the beginning or the end of the time interval. We refer to the online help for more details.
3.2 Generate Orbit Files

In this processing example we use only one program of the orbit part of the Bernese GNSS Software, namely ORBGEN ("Menu>Orbits/EOP>Create/update standard orbits"). It prepares the so-called standard orbits using the satellite positions in the precise orbit files as pseudo-observations for a least-squares adjustment.

It is mandatory to consistently use the ERP file, the nutation, and the subdaily pole model together with the generated standard orbits in all subsequent processing steps/programs (otherwise a warning message is issued).
3.2 Generate Orbit Files
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RESULT FILES
- Standard orbits
  - COG_YYYYMM.DAT
- Radiation pressure coeff.
  - RPR
- Residual file
  - RES

OUTPUT FILES
- Summary file
  - LST
- Summary file for IGS-ACC
  - ORB_YYYYMM.DAT
- Plot file of residuals
  - PLT

GENERAL OUTPUT FILES
- Program output
  - use ORBGEN.Lan or ORB_YYYYMM.DAT
- Error messages
  - merged to program output or ERROR MSG
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3.3 Generate Orbit Files

ORBGEN 3.1: General Options

**TITLE**
- EXAMPLE: Session $Y$+$S$+$D$: Standard orbit generation

**TIME FRAME, POTENTIAL AND TIDAL CORRECTIONS**
- Time frame
- Earth potential degree
- Ocean tides max degree
- Apply CMC correction
- Apply antenna offset

**SATELLITE SELECTION**
- EXCLUDED
- Satellite list

**EXPERIMENTAL OPTIONS**
- True attitude modelling of satellites
- Print beta angle and attitude switch info to STDOUT
- Print Yaw angle to SYSTOUT file
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3.4 Generate Orbit Files

ORBGEN 3.2: A priori Radiation Pressure Model

**NON-CONSERVATIVE FORCES**
- Solar radiation pressure
  - NONE
- Earth Radiation Pressure
- Redacted radiation
  - Analytical
- Emitted radiation
  - Numerical interpolation
  - Navigation Antenna Thrust
  - Thermal radiation
  - (defined by macromodel)
  - Rotation of solar panel
  - Only for Jason-2/3
- Atmospheric force for LBO
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3.5 Generate Orbit Files

ORBGEN 3.3: A priori Elevation Angle Model

**ELEVATION ANGLE CORRECTIONS**
- True altitude
  - None
- Fuzzy elevation
  - None
- Assumed range
  - None
- Radar range
  - None
- Navigation Antenna Thrust
  - None
- Thermal radiation
  - None
- Atmospheric pressure
  - None
- Atmospheric force
  - None
- Atmospheric force for LBO
  - None
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3.6 Generate Orbit Files

ORBGEN 3.4: A priori Geopotential Model

**GEODESY CORRECTIONS**
- True gravity
  - None
- Internal frame correction
  - None
- Fuzzy frame correction
  - None
- Numerical interpolation
  - None
- Navigation Antenna Thrust
  - None
- Thermal radiation
  - None
  - (defined by macromodel)
- Elevation anomaly
  - None
- Atmospheric pressure
  - None
- Atmospheric force
  - None
- Atmospheric force for LBO
  - None
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3.7 Generate Orbit Files

ORBGEN 3.5: A priori Multipath Model

**MULTIPATH CORRECTIONS**
- True multipath
  - None
- True multipath (for IGS-ACC)
  - None
- Fuzzy multipath
  - None
- Numerical interpolation
  - None
- Navigation Antenna Thrust
  - None
- Thermal radiation
  - None
- Atmospheric pressure
  - None
  - (defined by macromodel)
- Elevation anomaly
  - None
- Atmospheric force
  - None
- Atmospheric force for LBO
  - None
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3.8 Generate Orbit Files

ORBGEN 3.6: A priori Noise Model

**NOISE CORRECTIONS**
- True noise
  - None
- True noise (for IGS-ACC)
  - None
- Fuzzy noise
  - None
- Numerical interpolation
  - None
- Navigation Antenna Thrust
  - None
- Thermal radiation
  - None
- Atmospheric pressure
  - None
  - (defined by macromodel)
- Elevation anomaly
  - None
- Atmospheric force
  - None
- Atmospheric force for LBO
  - None
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3.9 Generate Orbit Files

ORBGEN 3.7: A priori Clock Model

**CLOCK CORRECTIONS**
- True clock
  - None
- True clock (for IGS-ACC)
  - None
- Fuzzy clock
  - None
- Numerical interpolation
  - None
- Navigation Antenna Thrust
  - None
- Thermal radiation
  - None
- Atmospheric pressure
  - None
  - (defined by macromodel)
- Elevation anomaly
  - None
- Atmospheric force
  - None
- Atmospheric force for LBO
  - None
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3.10 Generate Orbit Files

ORBGEN 3.8: A priori Signal Model

**SIGNAL CORRECTIONS**
- True signal
  - None
- True signal (for IGS-ACC)
  - None
- Fuzzy signal
  - None
- Numerical interpolation
  - None
- Navigation Antenna Thrust
  - None
- Thermal radiation
  - None
- Atmospheric pressure
  - None
  - (defined by macromodel)
- Elevation anomaly
  - None
- Atmospheric force
  - None
- Atmospheric force for LBO
  - None
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3.11 Generate Orbit Files

ORBGEN 3.9: A priori Orbit Model

**ORBIT CORRECTIONS**
- True orbit
  - None
- True orbit (for IGS-ACC)
  - None
- Fuzzy orbit
  - None
- Numerical interpolation
  - None
- Navigation Antenna Thrust
  - None
- Thermal radiation
  - None
- Atmospheric pressure
  - None
  - (defined by macromodel)
- Elevation anomaly
  - None
- Atmospheric force
  - None
- Atmospheric force for LBO
  - None
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3.12 Generate Orbit Files

ORBGEN 3.10: A priori Navigation Model

**NAVIGATION CORRECTIONS**
- True navigation
  - None
- True navigation (for IGS-ACC)
  - None
- Fuzzy navigation
  - None
- Numerical interpolation
  - None
- Navigation Antenna Thrust
  - None
- Thermal radiation
  - None
- Atmospheric pressure
  - None
  - (defined by macromodel)
- Elevation anomaly
  - None
- Atmospheric force
  - None
- Atmospheric force for LBO
  - None
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3.13 Generate Orbit Files

ORBGEN 3.11: A priori Attitude Model

**ATTITUDE CORRECTIONS**
- True attitude
  - None
- True attitude (for IGS-ACC)
  - None
- Fuzzy attitude
  - None
- Numerical interpolation
  - None
- Navigation Antenna Thrust
  - None
- Thermal radiation
  - None
- Atmospheric pressure
  - None
  - (defined by macromodel)
- Elevation anomaly
  - None
- Atmospheric force
  - None
- Atmospheric force for LBO
  - None
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3.14 Generate Orbit Files

ORBGEN 3.12: A priori State Model

**STATE CORRECTIONS**
- True state
  - None
- True state (for IGS-ACC)
  - None
- Fuzzy state
  - None
- Numerical interpolation
  - None
- Navigation Antenna Thrust
  - None
- Thermal radiation
  - None
- Atmospheric pressure
  - None
  - (defined by macromodel)
- Elevation anomaly
  - None
- Atmospheric force
  - None
- Atmospheric force for LBO
  - None
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3.15 Generate Orbit Files

ORBGEN 3.13: A priori Reference Model

**REFERENCE CORRECTIONS**
- True reference
  - None
- True reference (for IGS-ACC)
  - None
- Fuzzy reference
  - None
- Numerical interpolation
  - None
- Navigation Antenna Thrust
  - None
- Thermal radiation
  - None
- Atmospheric pressure
  - None
  - (defined by macromodel)
- Elevation anomaly
  - None
- Atmospheric force
  - None
- Atmospheric force for LBO
  - None
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3.16 Generate Orbit Files

ORBGEN 3.14: A priori Uncertainty Model

**UNCERTAINTY CORRECTIONS**
- True uncertainty
  - None
- True uncertainty (for IGS-ACC)
  - None
- Fuzzy uncertainty
  - None
- Numerical interpolation
  - None
- Navigation Antenna Thrust
  - None
- Thermal radiation
  - None
- Atmospheric pressure
  - None
  - (defined by macromodel)
- Elevation anomaly
  - None
- Atmospheric force
  - None
- Atmospheric force for LBO
  - None
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For the options “EQUATION OF MOTION: Length of interval” and “VARIATIONAL EQUATIONS: Length of interval” interval lengths of 00 05 00 and 01 00 00 are sufficient when processing GNSS orbits. Note, that stochastic pulses can only be placed between the integration intervals. When activating the option “Setup of stochastic pulses” later in panel "ORBGEN 5: Stochastic Pulses and Satellite Accuracy Codes” to a specific value (e.g., Orbit midnight) the intervals should allow a realization of this selection. Shorter intervals will increase of the program run time.

For the option “Number of iterations” 2 is sufficient for most of the cases. You may select a third iteration to check that the output does not change in the additional iteration.

In this panel you see the available orbit models and which of the orbit parameters are used for which component in which coordinate system. We recommend to use the default setup as prepared in the ${CONFIG}/BOXWING.MAC (“Satellite macro model” in “ORBGEN 1.1: Files for Force Modelling”).
3.2 Generate Orbit Files

The stochastic pulses are set in the CODE reprocessing series – used during this exercise session – at Orbit midnight. For the operational final series from CODE this strategy is applied since GPS week 2112, June 2020. For the other products from CODE or other sources we recommend to change the option “Setup of stochastic pulses” to Spacing with 12 00 00 for “Interval for stochastic pulses”.

If you process precise orbits from CODE it is generally not necessary to remove bad satellites, because CODE orbit files contain the correct accuracy codes. It might become necessary for orbit products from other sources (e.g., from the IGS).

The program produces an output file ORB_2019044.OUT which should look like

```
*************************************************** ****************************
COMPUTATION OF BERNESE STANDARD ORBITS FROM PRECISE ORBITS 13 - JAN -24 15:42
*************************************************** ****************************
--------------------------------------------------- ----------------------------
LIST OF PRECISE FILES
--------------------------------------------------- ----------------------------
FILE PRECISE ORBIT FILENAME RELATED POLE FILES
---- -------------------------------- --------------- -----------------
1 $(P)/INTRO/ORB/COD_20190440.PRE $(P)/INTRO/ORB/COD_20190440.ERP
...
```
Close to the end of the output file you can find the reporting on the orbit model used for each of the satellite according to the “Default model for empirical forces” setup. Most of the GNSS satellites will be represented by the ECOM2 orbit model. Below you find another table that is reporting the parametrization of the selected orbit model.

---

**USED EMPirical MODELS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EMP MODEL</th>
<th>SATELLITES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMP : ECOM2</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP : ECOM2</td>
<td>11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP : ECOM2</td>
<td>21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP : ECOM2</td>
<td>31 32 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP : ECOM2</td>
<td>110 111 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP : ECOM2</td>
<td>121 122 123 124 126 201 202 203 204 205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP : ECOM2</td>
<td>207 208 209 211 212 213 214 215 218 219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP : ECOM2</td>
<td>221 224 225 226 227 230 231 233 236</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**DESCRIPTION OF EMPirical MODELS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MODEL NAME</th>
<th>PAR IDX</th>
<th>PARAMETER LABEL</th>
<th>SHADOW</th>
<th>PAR_SETUP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMPPAR : ECOM2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>E30</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPPAR : ECOM2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>E20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPPAR : ECOM2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>E10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPPAR : ECOM2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>E3C2u</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPPAR : ECOM2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>E3C4u</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPPAR : ECOM2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>E1C1u</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPPAR : ECOM2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>E3S2u</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPPAR : ECOM2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>E3S4u</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPPAR : ECOM2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>E1S1u</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Inspecting for instance the program output for day 179 in the year 2020, you will find a series of Galileo satellites represented by the ECOM2-YD1 because of their beta angles (elevation angle of the Sun above the orbital plane) are below the limit 14 degrees. The related definition comes from the BOXWING.MAC file:

```
# PARAMETER VALUE
#***************** ********************
MODEL IDENTIFIER GALILEO-2
PARAMETER DEFAULT ECOM2
PARAMETER BETA ECOM2-YD1 14.0
```

The most important part of the program output is the quality of the orbit representation by the model defined for the current program run:

---

**RMS ERRORS AND MAX. RESIDUALS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAT</th>
<th>#POS</th>
<th>RMS (M)</th>
<th>QUADRATIC MEAN OF O-C (M)</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>RADIAL</th>
<th>ALONG</th>
<th>OUT</th>
<th>MAX. RESIDUALS (M)</th>
<th>RADIAL</th>
<th>ALONG</th>
<th>OUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000 0.000 0.001 0.001 0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001 0.000 0.001 0.001 0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These should be 1 mm (for older orbits it may also be 3...5 mm) if precise orbits from CODE were used together with the consistent EOP information (the actual RMS errors depend on the quality of the precise orbits, on the pole file used for the transformation between IERS Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF) and International Celestial Reference Frame (ICRF), and on the orbit model used in ORBGEN). Comparing the RMS error from the third and the fourth iteration you will see that three iterations are sufficient to produce precise standard orbits for GNSS satellites.

The file ${P}/INTRO/OUT/ORB_20190440.LST summarizes the orbit fit RMS values in one table:

```
EXAMPLE : Session 2019-0440: Standard orbit generation 31-AUG-23 09:27
TIME FROM DAY : 3 GPS WEEK : 2040
TO DAY : 4 GPS WEEK : 2040
--------------------------------------------------- ----------------------------
ORBIT REPEATABILITY FROM A 1-DAY FIT THROUGH DAILY ORBIT SOLUTIONS (MM)
# ECLIPSING SATELLITES : 8 E / 0 M ( 0 EM)
--------------------------------------------------- ... --------------- ... ---------------
ECL .. .. E. .. E. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. ..
In the example for day 045 of year 2019 a satellite 76 appears. The GPS–satellite 26 had a repositioning event at 14-Feb-2019 19:47:44 (see $\{\text{CONFIG}/\text{SAT}_2019.CRX\}$). The satellite is introduced in the processing with two independent arcs: one before (number 26) and one after (number 76) the event (you may verify this by the number of epochs available for each of these two satellite arcs).

### 3.3 Extract satellite clock corrections

As the next step we run SATCLK2 from "Menu>Orbits/EOP>Import satellite clocks>From precise orbit files" in order to generate a satellite clock file (CLK) from the precise orbit file (PRE). The clock file will be needed, e.g., in program CODSP (see Section 4.2.1, to be discussed in the Tuesday’s lectures on pre-processing).

Panel “SATCLK2 2: General Options” contains the options for extracting the satellite clock information. The clock values in the precise orbit file are sampled to 15 min. We interpolate with a “Polynomial degree” of 2 with an “Interval for polynomials [h]” of 12 hours. This is good enough for the receiver clock synchronization in CODSP.
A message like this is expected:

```plaintext
### FN O_SATCLK2 : chkSufficientNbClkValues , PG SATCLK2 (13-Jan-2024 15:46:23)
Satellite clock correction values missing
Minimal number : 3
Number in sample : 0
Satellite : R26
File name : ${P}/INTRO/ORB/COD_20190440.PRE

### FN O_SATCLK2 : chkSufficientNbClkValues , PG SATCLK2 (13-Jan-2024 15:46:23)
Satellite clock correction values missing
Minimal number : 3
Number in sample : 0
Satellite : E01
File name : ${P}/INTRO/ORB/COD_20190440.PRE
```

It indicates that the precise orbit files do not contain clock corrections for Galileo satellites. Consequently they are not available for the synchronization of the receiver clocks in CODSPPP. It does not matter because it is sufficient to do this process based on the GPS satellite clocks only.

### 3.4 Convert Bias Corrections from Bias SINEX into Bernese bias Format

Ideally the clock corrections (either in a separate clock RINEX format or also in a precise orbit file) are provided together with a consistent set of code and potentially phase biases. Biases provided by a different source could potentially be less consistent.

In this context we run BIA2OSB from "Menu>Conversion>BIAS-SINEX to Bernese format" in order to extract biases from international Bias SINEX format file (BIA) into the Bernese formatted file with OSBs (OSB, both files are located in the ORB directory of the campaign).
We select the current session as the time window in order to extract the expected set of satellites if the input Bias SINEX file contains a longer interval than just the current session (like in our case) and within this interval a satellite change takes place:

If no bias information is provided we can use default code biases from the CODE processing (http://www.aiub.unibe.ch/download/BSWUSER54/ORB).

3.5 Session Goals

At the end of this session, you should have created the following files:

1. Bernese pole file in the campaign’s ORB directory: COD_20190440. ERP
2. Bernese standard orbit file in the ORB directory: COD_20190440. STD
3. Bernese satellite clock file in the ORB directory: COD_20190440. CLK
4. Biases in Bernese format file in the ORB directory: COD_20190440. OSB
4 Terminal Session: Tuesday

Today’s terminal session is to:

1. import the observations from the RINEX format into the Bernese format using RXOBV3 (section 4.1).
2. preprocess the Bernese observation files:
   - receiver clock synchronization (CODSPP, section 4.2.1)
   - baseline generation (SNGDIF, section 4.2.2)
   - preprocess baselines (MAUPRP, section 4.2.3)

4.1 Importing the Observations

The campaign has been set up and all necessary files are available. The first part of processing consists of the transfer of the observations from RINEX to Bernese (binary) format.

4.1.1 Inventory of the input RINEX files

Before starting the data analysis, it is recommended to get an overview of the data availability, completeness, and a first impression on the data quality. For this purpose you may generate a pseudographic from the RINEX observation files using the program RNXGRA in "Menu>RINEX>RINEX utilities>Create observation statistics".

Note that this step is not mandatory and can also be skipped during the tutorial exercise.
You have to select the RINEX observation files for option “Original RINEX observation files”. All three naming schemes of the files are supported by the user interface: “Bernese internal naming”, “RINEX3-style naming”, and “RINEX2-style naming”. If there are files specified in more than one field, they are cumulated.

As only GPS, GLONASS, and Galileo satellite orbits are processed in this example, only those systems are selected.
All validations are disabled in this step because they can also be carried out when importing the data by the program RXOBV3 described in Section 4.1.2.

From the processing you get a summary in ${P}$/INTRO/OUT/GRA_20190440.

SMC
The summary reports the number of stations tracking each satellite during a certain period of the day. If more than 9 stations are in view a *-character is written.

The second part shows how many satellites observed by each station during the course of the day (again the *-character is indicating a number > 9). This part is shown separately for each selected satellite system.

From this output it can be concluded that station WSRT seems to have some tracking problems in the first half of the day. It is therefore recommended to have a particular look at the results from this station in the different processing steps. In addition, the stations JOZ2 and WTZR show short outages of one hour. This typically caused by data management issues at the station (related to the extraction of the hourly RINEX files).

Similar tables for the other systems are available as well.

The program output file ${P}/INTRO/OUT/GRA_20190440.OUT provides even more detailed information on each individual RINEX file.

### 4.1.2 Converting the Observations from RINEX into Bernese format

Importing the RINEX observation files is the task of the program RXOBV3 in "Menu>RINEX >Import RINEX to Bernese format>Observation files" (we do not use the RINEX navigation files in this processing example).
4.1 Importing the Observations

The principle of specifying the input RINEX files is the same as for all RINEX related programs (description see page 36):

The next panel specifies the general input files. The “Satellite information” and “Antenna phase center corrections” files should consistently refer to the used reference frame even if the corrections itself are not yet needed for the import step.
Other panels allow to select the data to be imported and to specify a few parameters for the Bernese observation header files. Because the orbits contain only the GPS-, GLONASS, and Galileo-satellites, activate the related checkboxes in the option "GNSS SELECTION".
4.1 Importing the Observations

When switching for instance the option "Ignore measurements with antenna calib. method" to ADOPTEED from GPS the observations from those GNSS are not imported where no antenna calibration is available for (see Table 1.2). It may also make sense to completely exclude stations where no calibration for the antenna with the specific radome is available (ADOPTEED from NONE). In the case of the example dataset this would affect the station ONSA.

The next two panels are only displayed, if you specified a station information file in "RXOBV3 1: Filenames". They allow you to configure the RINEX header information verification:
The last panel allows for checking the compliance of the input RINEX file with the format definition.

Start the program with the “Run”–button.

Various warning messages appear. In an automated processing they are sorted according to a priority and become better readable. In this case they are ordered just by the processing order. Most of them are not critical and do just inform about assumptions made by the program RXOBV3 based on the RINEX format description:

### SR SAVMEA: Receiver clock offset in RINEX file found.
It is assumed, that the observations in the RINEX file are not corrected by applying the receiver clock offset. Please check the keyword “RCV CLOCK OFFS APPL” in the RINEX file header!

RINEX FILENAME: ...RO/RAW/BRST00FRA_20190440.RXO

or actions taken on the observations that do not influence the processing results:

### SR SAVMEA: JUMP INTRODUCED INTO PHASES DUE TO FORMAT OVERFLOW
RINEX FILE: ...RO/RAW/HERT00XYZ_20190440.RXO
FREQUENCY: L1
SATELLITE: 122
JUMP (CYCLES): 579337875.0
EPOCH: 2019-02-13 18:10:00

In some cases where there are several (inconsistent) header sequences in the RINEX files containing different information (e.g., related to the APPROX POSITION XYZ record):

### SR O_RNXBASE: wrtHdrEntryChkErrsToLfnErr, PG RXOBV3
Inconsistency between the following two RINEX headers detected:
Filename (header to update): $(P)/INTRO/RAW/JD2000XYZ_20190440.RXO
Filename (new header): $(P)/INTRO/RAW/JD2000XYZ_20190440.RXO
Geocentric approx. ant. marker pos. (APPROX POSITION XYZ) in header to update: '(3.6648784825 E +06,1.4091900878 E +06,5.0096170459 E +06)'
in new header: '(3.6648768963 E +06,1.4091892139 E +06,5.0096167523 E +06)'

The amount of these massages may be managed by the setting in panel “RXOBV3 6: Check Content of RINEX Header 3”.
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More relevant are messages indicating observations were removed due to an entry in the "Satellite problems" file. In the example, station ONSA provides data for the two GLONASS satellites R12 and R06 (satellite system R for GLONASS in RINEX whereas satellite numbers between 100 and 199 are in use within the Bernese GNSS Software) which are removed because of an entry in the "Satellite problems" file specified in panel "RXOBV3 1.1: General Files".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>### SR SAVMEA: PROBLEM FOR SATELLITE: 112</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INDICATED IN SATCRUX: ${CONFIG}/SAT_2019.CRX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROBLEM: BAD PHASE+CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQUESTED ACTION: OBS. REMOVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIME WINDOW: 2016-11-22 00:00:00 2019-06-19 23:59:59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN RINEX FILE: .../RO/RINEX/ONSA00XYZ_20190440.RXO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>### SR SAVMEA: PROBLEM FOR SATELLITE: 106</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INDICATED IN SATCRUX: ${CONFIG}/SAT_2019.CRX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROBLEM: BAD PHASE+CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQUESTED ACTION: OBS. REMOVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIME WINDOW: 2018-04-08 00:00:00 2099-12-31 23:59:59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN RINEX FILE: .../RO/RINEX/ONSA00XYZ_20190440.RXO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The program produces an output file RXO_20190440.OUT in the directory ${P}/INTRO/OUT (resp. corresponding filenames for the other sessions). This file may be browsed using the "Output button or with "Menu>Service>Browse program output". After echoing the input options, the file provides an overview of the observation intervals, on the station information records in the RINEX observation file header and on the values that are used for the processing in the Bernese GNSS Software. In addition some observation statistics are available. In the last section you may check the completeness of the Bernese observation files by the available number of epochs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE OF INPUT AND OUTPUT FILE NAMES:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Num</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If epochs or satellites are missing for some RINEX files you may check this with the RINEX observation graphic from program RNXGRA (*Menu>RINEX>RINEX utilities>Create observation statistics*). In February 2019 32 GPS, 22 GLONASS, and 24 Galileo satellites were active.

At the end of the RXOBV3 output there is a table of RINEX files rejected during the import for some reasons.
4.2 Data Preprocessing (I)

4.2.1 Receiver Clock Synchronization

Now we are ready to enter the processing part of the Bernese GNSS Software. We have to run three programs for this example. The first program is called CODSPP ("Menu > Processing > Code-based clock synchronization"). Its main task is to compute the receiver clock corrections with respect to the GPS system time.

![Image of Bernese GNSS Software interface]

![Image of CODSPP 1.1: General Files]

GENERAL INPUT FILES
- General constants
- Subdaily ERP model
- Rotation model
- Satellite information
- Observation selection
- Satellite problems
- Station information
- Geodetic datum
- Antenna corrections
- Frequency information
- GPS-UTC time difference

MENU SETTINGS
- Selected campaign
- Selected session
- Session table

TEMPORARY FILES
- Scratch files

Consider antenna rotations

File: home/tern64/GPS/SRS/GAM/PAN/CODSPP/NP
We already have geocentric coordinates of good quality available for the sites from the PPP example BPE. Therefore, the option “Estimate coordinates” may be set to NO. The most important option in this CODSPP run is “Save clock estimates”. It has to be set to BOTH in order to write the estimated receiver clock corrections into both, the code observation files and the phase observation files.
CODSPP produces the following output:

---
STATION: BRST 10004M004  FILE: $(P)/INTRO/OBS/BRST0440.CZO  RECEIVER UNIT: 999999
---

DAY OF YEAR   : 44
FROM TO       :
OBSERVATIONS : 2019-02-13 00:00: 0.00 2019-02-13 23:59:30.00
REQUESTED WINDOW : -- --
MEASUREMENT INTERVAL: 30 SEC
SAMPLING RATE : 1
PROCESSED FREQUENCY : L3
ELEVATION LIMIT : 3 DEG

TROPOSPHERE   IONOSPHERE
ATMOSPHERE MODELS : GPT3  NONE

STATISTICS FOR SATELLITE SYSTEM: GPS

---
SATELLITE NUMBER : 1 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ... TOTAL
OBSERVATIONS IN FILE: 857 1107 813 921 961 1045 937 768 1066 81 ... 29017
USED OBSERVATIONS : 837 1085 805 903 959 1029 903 765 1047 804 ... 28520
BAD OBSERVATIONS (%) : 2.3 2.0 1.0 2.0 0.2 1.5 3.6 0.4 1.8 1.8 ... 1.7
RMS ERROR (M) : 1.1 1.1 0.9 1.0 1.2 1.0 1.2 1.0 1.0 1.1 ... 1.1
RESULTS:

---
OBSERVATIONS IN FILE: 29017
USED OBSERVATIONS : 28520
BAD OBSERVATIONS : 1.71 %
RMS OF UNIT WEIGHT : 1.17 M
NUMBER OF ITERATIONS: 2

STATION COORDINATES:

---
LOCAL GEODETIC DATUM: IGS20
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A PRIORI</th>
<th>NEW</th>
<th>NEW - A PRIORI</th>
<th>RMS ERROR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| X 4231162.42 | 4231162.42 | 0.00 | 0.00
| Y -332746.43 | -332746.43 | 0.00 | 0.00
| Z 4745131.07 | 4745131.07 | 0.00 | 0.00

HEIGHT 65.82 65.82 0.00 0.00
LATITUDE 48 22 49.784 48 22 49.784 0 0 0.000 0.0000
LONGITUDE -4 29 47.729 -4 29 47.729 0 0 0.000 0.0000

CLOCK PARAMETERS:

OFFSET FOR REFERENCE EPOCH: -0.000000019 SEC
CLOCK OFFSETS STORED IN CODE-PHASE OBSERVATION FILES

RECEIVER UNIT : 999999
REFERENCE EPOCH : 2019-02-13 00:00: 0.00
---
4.2 Data Preprocessing (I)

***************************************************
SUMMARY OF BAD OBSERVATIONS
***************************************************
MAXIMUM RESIDUAL DIFFERENCE ALLOWED: 30.00 M
CONFIDENCE INTERVAL OF F*SIGMA WITH F: 5.00
NUMBER OF BAD OBSERVATION PIECES: 28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMB</th>
<th>FIL</th>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>TYP</th>
<th>SAT</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>#EPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>GARP</td>
<td>11515</td>
<td>M001</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2019 02 13 04 54 00 2019 02 13 04 54 30 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ONSA</td>
<td>10402</td>
<td>M001</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2019 02 13 00 16 30 2019 02 13 00 16 30 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ONSA</td>
<td>10402</td>
<td>M001</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2019 02 13 00 35 30 2019 02 13 00 35 30 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ONSA</td>
<td>10402</td>
<td>M001</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2019 02 13 01 49 00 2019 02 13 01 49 00 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ONSA</td>
<td>10402</td>
<td>M001</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2019 02 13 05 17 30 2019 02 13 05 17 30 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ONSA</td>
<td>10402</td>
<td>M001</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2019 02 13 06 02 00 2019 02 13 06 02 00 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>WSRT</td>
<td>13506</td>
<td>M005</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2019 02 13 05 59 30 2019 02 13 05 59 30 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>WSRT</td>
<td>13506</td>
<td>M005</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2019 02 13 06 13 30 2019 02 13 06 13 30 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>WSRT</td>
<td>13506</td>
<td>M005</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2019 02 13 07 31 00 2019 02 13 07 31 00 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>WSRT</td>
<td>13506</td>
<td>M005</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2019 02 13 07 35 30 2019 02 13 07 35 30 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>WSRT</td>
<td>13506</td>
<td>M005</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2019 02 13 08 16 00 2019 02 13 08 16 00 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>WSRT</td>
<td>13506</td>
<td>M005</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2019 02 13 14 14 00 2019 02 13 14 14 00 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>WSRT</td>
<td>13506</td>
<td>M005</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2019 02 13 14 28 30 2019 02 13 14 28 30 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>WSRT</td>
<td>13506</td>
<td>M005</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2019 02 13 14 28 30 2019 02 13 14 28 30 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>WSRT</td>
<td>13506</td>
<td>M005</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2019 02 13 14 29 00 2019 02 13 14 29 00 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>WSRT</td>
<td>13506</td>
<td>M005</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2019 02 13 14 29 00 2019 02 13 14 29 00 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>WSRT</td>
<td>13506</td>
<td>M005</td>
<td>OUT</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2019 02 13 14 34 30 2019 02 13 14 35 30 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

File Input files

1  $(P)/INTRO/OUT/ODR_20190440.OUT

17 FILES, MAX. RMS: 3.15 M FOR STATION: ONSA 10402M004
MAX. BAD: 5.70 % FOR STATION: MIKL 12335M001
MAX. OFF: 0.26 MSEC FOR STATION: WTZ 14201M014

The most important message in the output file is CLOCK OFFSETS STORED IN CODE+PHASE OBSERVATION FILES. This indicates that the receiver clock corrections computed by CODSPP are stored in code and phase observation files.

The a posteriori RMS error (for each zero difference file processed) should be checked in the CODSPP output file. A value of about 20–30 m is normal if Selective Availability (SA) — artificial degradation of the satellite clock accuracy — is on (before May 2000). Without SA a value of about 3 m is expected if P-code measurements are available (this is the case for the time interval of the processing example). However, even worse code measurements would still be sufficiently accurate to compute the receiver clock corrections with the necessary accuracy of 1 µs.

You may use the extraction program CODXTR ("Menu>Processing>Program output extraction>Code-based clock synchronization") to generate a short summary from the CODSPP program output:
4.2.2 Form Baselines

The second preprocessing program is called SNGDIF and may be activated in "Menu > Processing > Create baseline files". SNGDIF creates the single differences and stores them into single-difference observation files. We use the strategy OBS-MAX for PHASE observation files.

By activating the option “Stations must contain observ. from GPS” you demand that stations included in the baseline creation must contain at least GPS measurements. This option can be used to exclude those datasets containing no GPS observations.

The result file specified in option “Listing of formed baselines” stores the selected baseline configuration for further use.
The output of SNGDIF echoes the zero difference files used and the single difference files created. The first table confirms that all stations provide at least data from GPS. For that reason all stations are included in the baseline creation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUM</th>
<th>HEADER FILE NAMES</th>
<th>STATION NAME</th>
<th>#SAT</th>
<th>SYS</th>
<th>REMARK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/BRST0440.PZH</td>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/GARF0440.PZH</td>
<td>GARF 11515M001</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/HERT0440.PZH</td>
<td>HERT 13212M010</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/JOZ20440.PZH</td>
<td>JOZ2 12204M002</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/LAMA0440.PZH</td>
<td>LAMA 12209M001</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/MATE0440.PZH</td>
<td>MATE 12736M008</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/MKLK0440.PZH</td>
<td>MKL 12335M001</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/ONSA0440.PZH</td>
<td>ONSA 10402M004</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/ORID0440.PZH</td>
<td>ORID 15601M001</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/PTBB0440.PZH</td>
<td>PTBB 14234M001</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/TLSE0440.PZH</td>
<td>TLSE 10003M009</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/VILL0440.PZH</td>
<td>VILL 13406M001</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/WERT0440.PZH</td>
<td>WERT 13506M006</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/WZR0440.PZH</td>
<td>WZR 14201M010</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/WTZ20440.PZH</td>
<td>WTZ2 14201M014</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/ZIM20440.PZH</td>
<td>ZIM2 14001M008</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>${P}/INTRO/DBS/ZIMM0440.PZH</td>
<td>ZIMM 14001M004</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>included</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The creation of the following 16 baseline files from 17 zero difference observation files is reported:

---

**SNGDIF: INPUT AND OUTPUT OBSERVATION FILE NAMES**

---

0-DIF. HEADER FILE NAMES (INPUT) 0-DIF. OBS. FILE NAMES (INPUT) NUM

---

(P)/INTRO/OBS/BRST0440.PZH (P)/INTRO/OBS/BRST0440.PZO 1
(P)/INTRO/OBS/TLSE0440.PZH (P)/INTRO/OBS/TLSE0440.PZO 2
(P)/INTRO/OBS/MIKL0440.PZH (P)/INTRO/OBS/MIKL0440.PZO 3
(P)/INTRO/OBS/GANP0440.PZH (P)/INTRO/OBS/GANP0440.PZO 4
(P)/INTRO/OBS/VTZ20440.PZH (P)/INTRO/OBS/VTZ20440.PZO 5
...

1-DIF. HEADER FILE NAMES (OUT) 1-DIF. OBS. FILE NAMES (OUT) NR1 NR2 STAT.

---

(P)/INTRO/OBS/BRTL0440.PSH (P)/INTRO/OBS/BRTL0440.PSO 1 1 OK
(P)/INTRO/OBS/GAMI0440.PSH (P)/INTRO/OBS/GAMI0440.PSO 2 2 OK
(P)/INTRO/OBS/GAWZ0440.PSH (P)/INTRO/OBS/GAWZ0440.PSO 3 3 OK
(P)/INTRO/OBS/HETL0440.PSH (P)/INTRO/OBS/HETL0440.PSO 4 4 OK
(P)/INTRO/OBS/HETL0440.PSH (P)/INTRO/OBS/HETL0440.PSO 4 4 OK
(P)/INTRO/OBS/WSWZ0440.PSH (P)/INTRO/OBS/WSWZ0440.PSO 6 6 OK
...

---

For the baseline WSWZ (WSRT-WTZZ) it is indicated that warning messages related to this baseline are issued that can be displayed via "Menu>Service>Browse error message":

---

### PG SNGDIF: JUMP INTRODUCED TO AVOID FORMAT OVERFLOW
FREQUENCY : 1
SATELLITE : 1
EPOCH NUMBER : 299
JUMP (CYCLES) : 872366831.
FILE : $(P)/INTRO/OBS/WST0440.PSH
...

---

These jumps do not influence the obtained results.

If the strategy OBS-MAX was selected all possible pairs of zero difference files are listed with the corresponding criterion value. The baselines belonging to the created network configuration are labeled with OK.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>CRIT.</th>
<th>OK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004 - GANP 11615M001</td>
<td>32293</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004 - HERT 13212M010</td>
<td>24582</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004 - JGZ2 12204M002</td>
<td>22972</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004 - LAMA 12209M001</td>
<td>22225</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004 - PTBB 14234M001</td>
<td>11946</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004 - TLSE 1003M009</td>
<td>35538</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>32284</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>32108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>12797</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>31995</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>24936</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>30079</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL 13406M001</td>
<td>3284</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL 13406M001</td>
<td>32108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL 13406M001</td>
<td>12797</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL 13406M001</td>
<td>25785</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL 13406M001</td>
<td>26483</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL 13406M001</td>
<td>26102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL 13406M001</td>
<td>13138</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL 13406M001</td>
<td>13286</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL 13406M001</td>
<td>14212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL 13406M001</td>
<td>17346</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The baseline file stored in option "Listing of formed baselines" can be used for various purposes, e.g., to create code single difference observation files for the same baselines as the corresponding phase files. One application is the ambiguity resolution using the Melbourne-Wübbena linear combination (e.g., in the tomorrows terminal session). For this purpose the file introduced to option "Predefined baselines" when processing code measurements.

The other options do not matter because we use a predefined baseline configuration.

4.2.3 Preprocessing of the Phase Baseline Files

The main task of the program MAUPRP is the cycle-slip detection and correction. It is started using "Menu>Processing>Phase preprocessing".
In the next input panel “MAUPRP 2: Output Files” you only need to specify the “Program output”, e.g., to MPR_YYYYSS+0.
### 4.2 Data Preprocessing (I)

#### MAUPFR 4: Marking of Observations
**MARKING OF OBSERVATIONS BEFORE CYCLE SLIP DETECTION**
- Mask if marking bags in observation file
- Mask observations below an elevation of __degrees for stations__
- Minimum time interval accepted for continuous observations __seconds__
- Maximum gap accepted within continuous observations __seconds__

#### MAUPFR 5: Non-Parametric Screening
**GENERAL OPTIONS**
- Extent of program output: __SUMMARY__
- Maximum time interval for polynomial St: __1__ minutes

**SCREENING ON DIFFERENT DIFFERENCE LEVELS**
- Original observations from file for ZD-files: zero diff.
  - Polynomial degree __2__
  - Discontinuity level __0.6__ meters
- Differences between satellites for ZD-files: single diff.
  - Polynomial degree __0.4__
  - Discontinuity level __0.01__ meters

#### MAUPFR 6: Epoch-Differences Solution
**EPOCH-DIFFERENCE SOLUTION**
- For ZD-files: single diff.
- Kinematic coordinate estimation
- Maximum observed-computed value __0.9__ meters (0.0) no check
- RMS limit for epoch diff. solution __0.1__ meters (0.0) no check
- RMS limit for epoch solution __0.1__ meters (0.0) no check
- A priori coordinate/baseline vector sigma
  - X-coordinate __0.3__ meters
  - Y-coordinate __0.1__ meters
  - Z-coordinate __0.01__ meters

#### MAUPFR 7: Cycle Slip Detection/Correction
**CYCLE SLIP DETECTION**
- Extent of program output: __SUMMARY__
- Do not accept cycle slip corrections
- Minimum size of accepted cycle slip correction __10__ cycles
- Test only observations with cycle slip bag
- L5 is clean except for observations with bags

**NO CYCLE SLIP HYPOTHESIS**
- Sigma for L1 observations __0.0020__ meters
- Sigma for L2 observations __0.0020__ meters
- Maximum ionospheric change from epoch to epoch
  - For single frequency mode (or short bel.) __10__ % of L1 cycles
  - For combined mode (or long bel.) __40__ % of L1 cycles
  - Use the combined mode value for bel longer than __2000__ km

**CYCLE SLIP CORRECTIONS**
- Search width to 2d L1 cycle slip correction __1__ integers
- Search width to 2d L5 cycle slip correction __5__ integers
The output of the program MAUPRP is discussed in detail in the lecture session. The software manual contains a detailed description, too.

You can find here the results of the adjustment of the input parameters for the maximum accepted change of the ionosphere from one epoch to the next, which is computed according to the baseline length (AUTO in option “Screening mode, frequency to check”, panel “MAUPRP 3: General Options”).

```
... STATION 1: BRST 10004M004 YEAR : 2019 SESSION : 0440
STATION 2: TLSE 10003M009 DAY : 44 FILE : 0
REFERENCE EPOCH : 2019-02-13 00:00:00
SAMPLING INTERVAL : 30 SEC
BASELINE LENGTH (M) : 707461.879
OBSERVAT. FILE NAME : $(P)/INTRO/OBS/BRTL0440.PSH
BASELINE DEPENDENT OPTIONS:
--------------------------
CHECK FREQUENCIES (L1=1, L2=2, L1&L2=3, L1,L2=4) --> : 3
MAX. IONS. DIFF. BETW. EPOCHS (o/o OF L1 CYCLES) --> : 161
...
```

The most important item to check is the epoch difference solution:

```
... EPOCH DIFFERENCE SOLUTION
-------------------------------
FREQUENCY OF EPOCH DIFF. SOLU.: 3
# OBS. USED FOR EPOCH DIFF. SOLU: 60983
RMS OF EPOCH DIFF. SOLUTION (M): 0.013
COORDINATES NEW-A PRIORI X (M): -0.057 ++ 0.018
Y (M): 0.020 ++ 0.020
Z (M): -0.062 ++ 0.013
-------------------------------
...
```

The epoch difference solution is used as the reference for the data screening. For a successful phase preprocessing the RMS OF EPOCH DIFF. SOLUTION has to be below 2 cm. The estimates for the coordinates in the epoch difference solution are expected to be smaller than about 0.5 m.

It should be pointed out that it is not necessary to run the program MAUPRP more than once for each baseline. However, it is mandatory to run MAUPRP again if you (for whatever reason) have to re-create the baselines with program SNGDIF.
You might get some warning messages regarding too large $O - C$ (i.e., observed minus computed) values on certain baselines for certain epochs. The corresponding observations get flagged, and will not disturb the processing.

You can use the extraction program MPRXTR ("Menu>Processing>Program output extraction>Phase preprocessing") to generate a short summary of the MAUPRP output. The file you have specified in "MAUPRP station summary file" looks like this:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SESS FIL</th>
<th>OK?</th>
<th>ST1</th>
<th>ST2</th>
<th>L(KM)</th>
<th># OBS</th>
<th>RMS</th>
<th>DX</th>
<th>DY</th>
<th>DZ</th>
<th>#SL</th>
<th>#DL</th>
<th>#MA</th>
<th>MAXL3</th>
<th>SL</th>
<th>IP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>TLSE</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>60983</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-57</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-62</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1522</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>GARP</td>
<td>MIKL</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>59647</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-96</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>-171</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>GARP</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>65887</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HERT</td>
<td>TLSE</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>42085</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-192</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-282</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1106</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>JDZ2</td>
<td>DSHA</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>43484</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-75</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1049</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>LAMA</td>
<td>DSHA</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>43514</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-83</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>MATE</td>
<td>ORID</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>42135</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>MATE</td>
<td>ZIM2</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>57683</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>DSHA</td>
<td>PTBH</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>21952</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>DSHA</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>44738</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>TLSE</td>
<td>ZIM2</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>62587</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1364</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>VILL</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>1635</td>
<td>58894</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>WTZR</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>67195</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>WTZR</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>67195</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>WTZR</td>
<td>ZIM2</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>66640</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-85</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-167</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1406</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0440</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ZIM2</td>
<td>ZIMM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24300</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tot: 16  665 67195 13 237 4 250 63 4134 404 50 0  

Note that in the bottom line the maximum values for each column are reported to show the “worst case”.

The indicators show that there is a baseline with exceptionally many AMBiguities and marked observations. This is the baseline containing the station WSRT where data quality issues have already been detected in the RNXGRA summary output.

### 4.3 Daily Goals

At the end of today’s session, you should have created the following files:

1. Bernese formatted zero difference observation files in your campaign’s OBS directory: BRST0440.CZH, BRST0440.PZH, BRST0440.CZO, BRST0440.PZO, ... (for all stations).
2. Single difference files (baseline files) in the OBS directory: BRTL0440.PSH, BRTL0440.PSO, GAM0440.PSH, GAM0440.PSO, ... for all baselines,
3. you should also have verified the outputs of these programs: ORBGEN, RXOBV3, CODSPP, SNGDIF, and MAUPRP
5 Terminal Session: Wednesday

Today’s terminal session is to:
1. perform a residual screening (GPSEST, RESRMS, SATMRK),
2. generate a first estimation for coordinates and troposphere parameters (GPSEST),
3. resolve the double difference ambiguities (GPSEST).

5.1 Data Preprocessing (II)

The main parameter estimation based on a least-squares adjustment is the task of program GPSEST. It is a good idea to start GPSEST first in the session mode and to produce a $L_3$ solution (ionosphere-free linear combination) with real-valued ambiguities. We do not expect any final results from this run but we want to check the quality of data and save the residuals after the least-squares adjustment. The program is available via "Menu>Processing >Parameter estimation". We use the following options:

![Parameter estimation interface](image.png)
No files are selected in the second input panel. Verify the model and configuration files:

We recommend to select the following output files:
This run is intended to screen the post-fit residuals for outliers. Later on, for the ambiguity resolution, all observations are needed without down-sampling the data. To run the program \textit{GPSEST} for the network with 17 stations and the observations to more than 75 satellites without reducing the data sampling rate takes easily 10 minutes or more. For that reason we are forced to sample the data, e.g., down to 3 minutes — we will see in the next step how to solve this discrepancy.
We want to put loose constraints on the station coordinates that are available from the IGS realization of ITRF 2020 reference frame (flag I like IGS20 in the coordinate file).

No parameters (not even ambiguity parameters) can be pre-eliminated if residuals should be written into the residual output file:
A 4 hour resolution in time for the troposphere parameters is sufficient for this purpose:

The program output of GPSEST summarizes all important input options, input data, and reports the estimated results. An important information in the output file is the a posteriori RMS error:
### Statistics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of authentic observations</td>
<td>133349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of pseudo-observations</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of explicit parameters</td>
<td>2909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of implicit parameters</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of observations</td>
<td>133395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of adjusted parameters</td>
<td>2909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of freedom (DOF)</td>
<td>130486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A posteriori RMS of unit weight</td>
<td>0.001041 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi**2/DOF</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of observation files</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of unobserved ambiguities</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of stations</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An a posteriori RMS error of about 1.0...1.5 mm is expected if elevation-dependent weighting is used. A significant higher RMS error indicates that either your data stems from low-quality receivers, that the data was collected under extremely bad conditions, or that the preprocessing step (MAUPRP and CODSPP) was not successfully performed.

Below this part the program output reports the results of the parameter estimation in a standardized format for all parameter types:

### Station coordinates and velocities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>Estimated value</th>
<th>RMS error</th>
<th>A priori value</th>
<th>Abb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0.01152</td>
<td>4231162.42917</td>
<td>0.00303</td>
<td>4231162.41765</td>
<td>DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-0.00335</td>
<td>-332746.43226</td>
<td>0.00282</td>
<td>-332746.42891</td>
<td>DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLSE</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0.00633</td>
<td>4627851.6667</td>
<td>0.00299</td>
<td>4627851.66234</td>
<td>DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLSE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-0.00418</td>
<td>119640.28425</td>
<td>0.00276</td>
<td>119640.28843</td>
<td>DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.11019</td>
<td>2.42203</td>
<td>0.00081</td>
<td>2.31184</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.09745</td>
<td>2.40934</td>
<td>0.00061</td>
<td>2.31190</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.07787</td>
<td>2.38976</td>
<td>0.00063</td>
<td>2.31188</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.06904</td>
<td>2.39831</td>
<td>0.00063</td>
<td>2.31187</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.0705</td>
<td>2.39261</td>
<td>0.00061</td>
<td>2.31186</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.06701</td>
<td>2.37886</td>
<td>0.00067</td>
<td>2.31185</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.06765</td>
<td>2.37942</td>
<td>0.00098</td>
<td>2.31177</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.06765</td>
<td>2.37942</td>
<td>0.00098</td>
<td>2.31177</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERT</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.04605</td>
<td>2.16796</td>
<td>0.00048</td>
<td>2.12192</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.06448</td>
<td>2.18733</td>
<td>0.00049</td>
<td>2.12190</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.06934</td>
<td>2.19121</td>
<td>0.00069</td>
<td>2.12187</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERT</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.11227</td>
<td>2.41543</td>
<td>0.00084</td>
<td>2.30316</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERT</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.10498</td>
<td>2.40817</td>
<td>0.00066</td>
<td>2.30318</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Site-specific troposphere parameters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>Estimated value</th>
<th>RMS error</th>
<th>A priori value</th>
<th>Abb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.11019</td>
<td>2.42203</td>
<td>0.00081</td>
<td>2.31184</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.09745</td>
<td>2.40934</td>
<td>0.00061</td>
<td>2.31190</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.07787</td>
<td>2.38976</td>
<td>0.00063</td>
<td>2.31188</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.06904</td>
<td>2.39831</td>
<td>0.00063</td>
<td>2.31187</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.0705</td>
<td>2.39261</td>
<td>0.00061</td>
<td>2.31186</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.04605</td>
<td>2.16796</td>
<td>0.00048</td>
<td>2.12192</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.06448</td>
<td>2.18733</td>
<td>0.00049</td>
<td>2.12190</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.06934</td>
<td>2.19121</td>
<td>0.00069</td>
<td>2.12187</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERT</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.11227</td>
<td>2.41543</td>
<td>0.00084</td>
<td>2.30316</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERT</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.10498</td>
<td>2.40817</td>
<td>0.00066</td>
<td>2.30318</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
You should check the improvement for the a priori coordinates. If all stations get approximately the same improvement in the order of decimeters, very likely the datum definition failed. Check that you have really selected datum stations.

After running the program a warning message pops up indicating that the normalization of residuals based on the uncertainty of the estimated parameters (NORMALIZED in option "Type of computed residuals") fails. Some parameters related to the station WSRT (troposphere and ambiguities) show comparable high formal errors. In that case, no normalization of the residuals takes place. They ending as high residuals in the related result file and the related observations will be detected and removed in the subsequent steps.

### SR resout : Numerical problems with normalization detected
for epoch 2019-02-13 01:33:00
Number of effected observations: 1

### SR resout : Numerical problems with normalization detected
for epoch 2019-02-13 23:58:00
Number of effected observations: 12

...  
### SR solcheck : 16 singular element(s) found:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Num</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2117</td>
<td>S_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2206</td>
<td>S_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2225</td>
<td>S_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2239</td>
<td>S_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2255</td>
<td>S_</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the residuals have been stored in the binary residual file (specified in “GPSEST 2.2: Output Files 2”) it is possible to have a look at the residuals (program REDISP, "Menu>Service>Residual files>Display residual file").

To screen the residuals automatically use the program RESRMS in "Menu>Service>Residual files>Create residual statistics".

The sampling interval you have previously introduced in option "Sampling interval" in program GPSEST has to be repeated here. RESRMS makes the assumption that the observations between two outliers in the sampled residual file are also bad.
The program output of RESRMS (${P}/INTRO/OUT/RMS_2019044.OUT) provides a nice overview on the data quality.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Num</th>
<th>Station 1</th>
<th>Station 2</th>
<th>Total RMS</th>
<th>med.Resi</th>
<th>Sigma</th>
<th>numObs</th>
<th>nSat</th>
<th>nDel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>TLSE 10003M009</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10796</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GARB 11515M001</td>
<td>MIKL 12335M001</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10431</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GARP 11515M001</td>
<td>WTZZ 14201M014</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>11525</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HERT 13212M010</td>
<td>TLSE 10003M009</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7536</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>JOZ2 12204M002</td>
<td>ONSA 10402M004</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7778</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MATE 12734M008</td>
<td>ORID 15601M001</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7441</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MATE 12734M008</td>
<td>ZIM2 14001M008</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10108</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ONSA 10402M004</td>
<td>PTBB 14234M001</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4163</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

NUMBER OF EDIT REQUESTS: 85

---
In addition, files containing a summary table ($P/INTRO/OUT/RMS_20190440.SUM) and a histogram ($P/INTRO/OUT/RMS_20190440.LST) of the residuals are available. The most important result file for the data screening is the “Edit information file” ($P/INTRO/OUT/RMS_20190440.EDT), which may be used by the program SATMRK to mark outliers in the observation files (*Menu > Service > Bernese observation files > Mark/delete observations):

The program output from SATMRK reports the number of marked observations per baseline:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Station name 2</th>
<th>Mea- type</th>
<th>Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>TLSE 10003M009</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>238 0 0 ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 GASP 11515M001</td>
<td>MIKL 12335M001</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>238 0 0 ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 GANT 11515M001</td>
<td>WITZ 14201M014</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>20 0 0 ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 HERT 13212M010</td>
<td>TLSE 10003M009</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>156 0 0 ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 JDZ2 12204M002</td>
<td>ONSA 10402M004</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>130 0 0 ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 LARA 12209M001</td>
<td>ONSA 10402M004</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>160 0 0 ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 MATE 12734M008</td>
<td>ORIO 15601M001</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>86 0 0 ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 MATE 12734M008</td>
<td>ZIM2 14001M008</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>262 0 0 ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 ONSA 10402M004</td>
<td>PTBB 14234M001</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>70 0 0 ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1958 0 0 ...</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2 Produce a First Network Solution

After screening the observations for outliers we can generate an ionosphere–free ($L_3$) solution with unresolved ambiguities. A detailed discussion on the troposphere/ionosphere modeling will be given in a dedicated lecture tomorrow. The input options are very similar to the previous preprocessing step. There are only a few differences shown in the following panels:

The file in the input field “Ionosphere models” enables the HOI–corrections.

We store the coordinates and troposphere parameters into files to be re–introduced later:

In the subsequent panel you should remove the output filename for the “Residuals” because we do not need the residuals from this run.
The next two panels with the general options for GPSEST remain untouched:

Nevertheless, it might be an interesting idea to enable the option “Activate extended program output”. Two more panels appear where much more information on the data and results can be asked for. In this example we just enable the two options for “Printing: Extended summary concerning coordinates” and “Printing: Extended summary concerning troposphere”. You are invited to discover these options further in order to adjust it to your needs.
To heavily constrain the coordinates of the IGS core sites is not the best way to realize the geodetic datum for a solution. The program ADDNEQ2 offers more sophisticated options (e.g., minimum constraint solution). Today we will follow this simple approach:

Since we do not store residual files in this run, ambiguity parameters may be pre-eliminated from the normal equation before the parameters are estimated:
The estimation of troposphere parameters is mandatory for a campaign of this type. We increase the number of estimated parameters (e.g., to 24 instead of 6 parameters per station and session). In addition, it is recommended to set up troposphere gradient parameters. In order to avoid a format overflow in the “Troposphere estimates” output file that may happen if a troposphere parameter is estimated based on very few observations concentrated at one end of the interval of parameter validity, a loose relative constraint (e.g., sigmas of 5 meter) may help.

In the first part of the output generated by program GPSEST, the selected options are echoed. The result part starts with some statistics on the parameters and the observations:

```
SUMMARY OF RESULTS
------------------
Number of parameters:
------------------------------------------
------------------------------------------
Station coordinates / velocities 51 51 0 0 0 0 0
Ambiguities 2739 0 2739 (bfst) 0 0 40 0
Site-specific troposphere parameters 493 493 0 0 0 0 0
------------------------------------------
Total number 3243 544 2699 0 0 40 0

*Abbreviation pre-elimination (elim): before stacking (bfst), after stacking (afst), epoch-wise (epow), after inversion (afin)
```

Then the a posteriori RMS error and the results of the initial least-squares adjustment are given:

```
Statistics:
----------
Total number of authentic observations 133235
Total number of pseudo-observations 30
Total number of explicit parameters 544
Total number of implicit parameters 2699
Total number of observations 133265
Total number of adjusted parameters 3243
Degree of freedom (DOF) 130022
A posteriori RMS of unit weight 0.000987 m
Chi**2/DOF 0.97
```

Below you find the extended output of the results for coordinates and troposphere parameters at the end of the file that we have asked for in panel "GPSEST 3.2.1.1: Extended Program Output Options".

... Station coordinates and velocities:
----------------------------------
Reference epoch: 2019-02-13 11:59:45

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Typ</th>
<th>A priori value</th>
<th>Estimated value</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>RMS error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>4231162.41765</td>
<td>4231162.41830</td>
<td>0.00065</td>
<td>0.00063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-332746.42891</td>
<td>-332746.42771</td>
<td>0.00120</td>
<td>0.00056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4745131.06968</td>
<td>4745131.07283</td>
<td>0.00315</td>
<td>0.00060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U</td>
<td>65.81939</td>
<td>65.82211</td>
<td>0.00272</td>
<td>0.00076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>48.3804957</td>
<td>48.3804957</td>
<td>0.00168</td>
<td>0.00042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>-4.4965914</td>
<td>-4.4965914</td>
<td>0.00125</td>
<td>0.00056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLSE 10003M009</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>4627851.66234</td>
<td>4627851.66205</td>
<td>-0.00029</td>
<td>0.00051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>119640.28843</td>
<td>119640.28820</td>
<td>-0.00023</td>
<td>0.00046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4372993.71461</td>
<td>4372993.71376</td>
<td>-0.00085</td>
<td>0.00051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U</td>
<td>207.18232</td>
<td>207.18244</td>
<td>-0.00080</td>
<td>0.00046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>43.5606968</td>
<td>43.5606968</td>
<td>-0.00041</td>
<td>0.00037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1.4808939</td>
<td>1.4808938</td>
<td>-0.00022</td>
<td>0.00046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>3929181.30600</td>
<td>3929181.29822</td>
<td>-0.00778</td>
<td>0.00061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1455236.94546</td>
<td>1455236.95378</td>
<td>0.00832</td>
<td>0.00053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4793653.99294</td>
<td>4793654.03586</td>
<td>0.04292</td>
<td>0.00063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U</td>
<td>746.00460</td>
<td>746.03412</td>
<td>0.02952</td>
<td>0.00078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>49.03471149</td>
<td>49.0347152</td>
<td>0.03144</td>
<td>0.00041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>20.3229395</td>
<td>20.3229396</td>
<td>0.01046</td>
<td>0.00051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

... Troposphere parameters:
-----------------------
Reference elevation angle of gradient terms: 45.0 degrees
Minimum elevation angle: 5 degrees
Mapping factor at minimum elevation angle: 11.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>North</th>
<th>East</th>
<th>Zenith</th>
<th>North</th>
<th>East</th>
<th>Zenith</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>0.00044</td>
<td>0.00003</td>
<td>0.11297</td>
<td>0.00009</td>
<td>0.00011</td>
<td>0.00150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>0.00043</td>
<td>0.00003</td>
<td>0.10486</td>
<td>0.00008</td>
<td>0.00010</td>
<td>0.00105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>0.00043</td>
<td>0.00003</td>
<td>0.10191</td>
<td>0.00008</td>
<td>0.00009</td>
<td>0.00113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>0.00043</td>
<td>0.00002</td>
<td>0.10370</td>
<td>0.00007</td>
<td>0.00009</td>
<td>0.00108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>0.00042</td>
<td>0.00002</td>
<td>0.09834</td>
<td>0.00007</td>
<td>0.00008</td>
<td>0.00104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>0.00034</td>
<td>-0.00007</td>
<td>0.06907</td>
<td>0.00007</td>
<td>0.00009</td>
<td>0.00106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>0.00034</td>
<td>-0.00007</td>
<td>0.06841</td>
<td>0.00008</td>
<td>0.00010</td>
<td>0.00113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>0.00034</td>
<td>-0.00008</td>
<td>0.07125</td>
<td>0.00008</td>
<td>0.00011</td>
<td>0.00116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>0.00033</td>
<td>-0.00008</td>
<td>0.06842</td>
<td>0.00009</td>
<td>0.00011</td>
<td>0.00212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001</td>
<td>-0.00001</td>
<td>-0.00088</td>
<td>0.04855</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
<td>0.00110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001</td>
<td>-0.00003</td>
<td>-0.00087</td>
<td>0.04251</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
<td>0.00082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001</td>
<td>-0.00005</td>
<td>-0.00086</td>
<td>0.04326</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
<td>0.00081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001</td>
<td>-0.00007</td>
<td>-0.00085</td>
<td>0.04354</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>0.00076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001</td>
<td>-0.00009</td>
<td>-0.00083</td>
<td>0.04544</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>0.00085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001</td>
<td>-0.00011</td>
<td>-0.00082</td>
<td>0.05617</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>0.00073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because outliers have been removed in the previous step, the obtained a posteriori RMS error should decrease (at least not increase). If this is not the case, it is likely that the observations and the heavily constrained a priori coordinates are inconsistent.
5.2 Produce a First Network Solution

5.2.1 Program Output Extraction

The program output from the program GPSEST is quite long and contains many information that help to identify potential problems in the processing. On the other hand, it would be smart to extract the key parameters into a short summary that can for instance be included in a comprehensive processing protocol. The program GPSXTR (accessible via "Menu > Processing > Program output extraction > Parameter estimation/stacking") provides such a summary.

Select the program output(s) to be analyzed:

![Screenshot of the GPSXTR interface]

and select the name of the summary file

![Screenshot of the GPSXTR interface]

The short extraction from the full program output in ${P}/INTRO/OUT/FLT_20190440.OUT reads like

```
FLT_20190440.OUT  Rms: 0.99 , # fil.: 16 , # obs.: 133265 , # par.: 3243
(DOY: 2019-044)
```

Also other styles of output are available, check the online help for some examples. Please note, that some summaries only work on specific program output files containing the expected parameter types.
5.3 Ambiguity Resolution

To resolve the ambiguities, we process the baselines separately one by one using the Quasi-Ionosphere-Free (QIF) strategy. This baseline processing mode is necessary because of the tremendous number of parameters. The attempt to resolve the ambiguities in a session solution might require too much CPU and memory to be feasible (several iterations with inversions of the full normal equation (NEQ) are necessary).

5.3.1 Ambiguity Resolution: Quasi–Ionosphere–Free (QIF)

The complete list of baseline observation files of a session (e.g., session 0440 of year 2019) can be generated by listing all phase single-difference header files in the campaign’s observation directory of your campaign:

```
berns52@carina:~ > ls $(P)/INTRO/OBS/????0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/BRTL0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/GAMI0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/GAWZ0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/HETL0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/JODR0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/LAON0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/MAOR0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/MAZI0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/DHPT0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/DRWZ0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/TLZI0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/VIVZ0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/VDZ0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/WTWZ0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/WZZI0440.PSH
$(P)/INTRO/OBS/ZIZM0440.PSH
```

The first baseline for this session is from BRST to TLSE with the observation filename BRTL0440. Using the menu time variables this name is specified as BRTL$s+0$. The following options are used for the ambiguity resolution step:
5.3 Ambiguity Resolution

Only one baseline file is selected. Coordinates and troposphere estimates are introduced from the previous first network solution (Section 5.2).

Specify a baseline specific output to prevent overwriting in subsequent runs: BRTLYYYQD+0Q. The Q at the end shall indicate that it is the output from the QIF–strategy.
Because the QIF–ambiguity resolution strategy is very sensitive to the formal errors of the ambiguity parameters we have to include all measurements with the full sampling of 30 s into the processing.

The selection of a “ZPD model and mapping function (GNSS)” is disabled because a troposphere file has been introduced in panel “GPSEST 1.2: Input Files 2”. The program uses the troposphere model from this input file and allows no other selection for consistency reasons.

In the subsequent panel the “Resolution strategy” is chosen. Please, do not forget to store the resolved integer ambiguities in your observation file (mark checkbox “Save resolved ambiguities”).
5.3 Ambiguity Resolution

In case of ambiguity resolution including GLONASS, only one ambiguity per iteration can be resolved. The program will adjust the setting automatically issuing a warning message.

Even if we introduce the coordinate solution from the previous network solution from Section 5.2, we fix only the coordinates of the first station and estimate those from the second one with each iteration of ambiguity resolution. In this way, the geometry can react to the resolved ambiguities.
An additional panel with options specific to epoch–parameters is displayed now because the “Parameter Setup: stochastic ionosphere parameters” are pre-eliminated EVERY_EPOCH.

After reporting input options and input data for the current run of GPSEST, the results are presented in two parts. The first part refers to the solution where the ambiguities are estimated as real values whereas the second part reports the results after resolving the ambiguity parameters to integer values. The real–valued estimates for the ambiguities may be found below the Station coordinates and velocities section of the program output:

---

SUMMARY OF RESULTS
------------------

Number of parameters:
---------------------

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Station coordinates / velocities</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambiguities</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stochastic ionosphere parameters</td>
<td>59105</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59105 (epow)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number</td>
<td>59458</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>59105</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5543</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviation pre-elimination (elim): before stacking (bfst), after stacking (afst), epoch-wise (epow), after inversion (afin)

Statistics:
------------

| Total number of authentic observations | 112450 |
| Total number of pseudo-observations   | 2      |

A posteriori RMS of unit weight: 0.001254 m

Chi^2/DOF: 1.57
---
AMBIGUITY RESOLUTION

Station coordinates and velocities:
----------------------------------
Sol Station name Typ Correction Estimated value RMS error A p r i ori value ... Abb
--------------------------------------------------- --------------------------------- ... ----- 
1 TLSE 10003 M009 X -0.00110 4627851.66095 0.00018 4627851.66205 ... # CRD
1 TLSE 10003 M009 Y 0.00010 119640.28830 0.00021 119640.28820 ... # CRD
1 TLSE 10003 M009 Z -0.00092 4372993.71284 0.00016 4372993.71376 ... # CRD

AMBIguities:
------------
REFERENCE

AMBI FILE SAT. S_EPO L_EPO FRQ WLF CLU AMBI CLU AMBIGUITY RMS TOTAL AMBIGU.
--------------------------------------------------- -----------------------------------
1 1 1 1 701 1 1 1 172 217 0.11 0.11 1432776.11
2 1 1 1 1 2830 2880 1 1 2 172 217 3.50 0.85 1432629.50
3 1 1 1 2646 2625 1 1 12 172 217 1.14 0.45 1432670.31
4 1 1 2830 2880 1 1 9 172 217 -1.76 0.16 1201598.25
5 1 1 1 401 1 1 7 172 217 -1.94 0.20 -940660.94
6 1 1 1 2832 2880 1 1 15 172 217 3.27 0.30 -940674.73
7 1 1 1 1 606 1 1 16 172 217 -1.69 0.11 2346706.31
8 1 1 1 2791 2825 1 1 23 172 217 -0.42 0.94 2346725.13
9 1 1 1 1 606 1 1 16 172 217 -1.69 0.11 2346706.31
10 1 1 1 2849 2880 1 1 19 172 217 3.13 0.64 2346724.13
11 1 1 1 2307 2842 1 1 22 172 217 0.19 0.12 -2504862.81
12 1 1 1 2832 2880 1 1 15 172 217 3.27 0.30 -940674.73
13 1 1 1 2849 2880 1 1 19 172 217 3.13 0.64 2346724.13
14 1 1 1 2307 2842 1 1 22 172 217 0.19 0.12 -2504862.81
15 1 1 1 2832 2880 1 1 15 172 217 3.27 0.30 -940674.73
16 1 1 1 1 606 1 1 16 172 217 -1.69 0.11 2346706.31
17 1 1 1 2791 2825 1 1 23 172 217 -0.42 0.94 2346725.13
18 1 1 1 2849 2880 1 1 19 172 217 3.13 0.64 2346724.13
19 1 1 1 1 606 1 1 16 172 217 -1.69 0.11 2346706.31
20 1 1 1 2849 2880 1 1 19 172 217 3.13 0.64 2346724.13
21 1 1 1 2307 2842 1 1 22 172 217 0.19 0.12 -2504862.81
22 1 1 1 1 606 1 1 16 172 217 -1.69 0.11 2346706.31
23 1 1 1 2849 2880 1 1 19 172 217 3.13 0.64 2346724.13
24 1 1 1 1 606 1 1 16 172 217 -1.69 0.11 2346706.31
25 1 1 1 2849 2880 1 1 19 172 217 3.13 0.64 2346724.13
26 1 1 1 1 606 1 1 16 172 217 -1.69 0.11 2346706.31
27 1 1 1 2849 2880 1 1 19 172 217 3.13 0.64 2346724.13
28 1 1 1 1 606 1 1 16 172 217 -1.69 0.11 2346706.31
29 1 1 1 2849 2880 1 1 19 172 217 3.13 0.64 2346724.13
30 1 1 1 1 606 1 1 16 172 217 -1.69 0.11 2346706.31
31 1 1 1 2849 2880 1 1 19 172 217 3.13 0.64 2346724.13
32 1 1 1 2849 2880 1 1 19 172 217 3.13 0.64 2346724.13
33 1 1 1 2849 2880 1 1 19 172 217 3.13 0.64 2346724.13

If GLONASS data are processed, single–difference (instead of double–difference) ambiguities are resolved and no — REFERENCE — as in case of, e.g., a GPS–only solution appears.

In the next part of the output the result of the QIF ambiguity resolution algorithm is given:

AMBIGUITY RESOLUTION:
------------------------------
STRATEGY : QUASI-IONOSPHERE-FREE AMBIGUITY RESOLUTION (QIF)

AMBIGUITY RESOLUTION ITERATION: 1

FILE AM1 CL1 #AM1 AM2 CL2 #AM2 L1 L2 L1 L2 L5 L3 RMS(L3) SA1 SA2
1 154 188 1 175 221 1 -4 0 -0.40 -0.64 0.138 0.007 0.003 211 225
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMBIGUITY RESOLUTION ITERATION: 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FILE AM1 CL1 #AM1 AM2 CL2 #AM2 L1 L2 L1 L2 L5 L3 RMS(L3) SA1 SA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 66 79 1 156 190 1 3 3 -0.28 -0.38 0.098 0.008 0.003 201 204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMBIGUITY RESOLUTION ITERATION: 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FILE AM1 CL1 #AM1 AM2 CL2 #AM2 L1 L2 L1 L2 L5 L3 RMS(L3) SA1 SA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 79 96 1 93 113 1 -1 1 0.01 0.02 -0.011 -0.021 0.003 226 207</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMBIGUITY RESOLUTION ITERATION: 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FILE AM1 CL1 #AM1 AM2 CL2 #AM2 L1 L2 L1 L2 L5 L3 RMS(L3) SA1 SA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 1 1 1 28 34 1 -3 -1 0.03 0.05 -0.015 -0.019 0.004 1 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMBIGUITY RESOLUTION ITERATION: 16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FILE AM1 CL1 #AM1 AM2 CL2 #AM2 L1 L2 L1 L2 L5 L3 RMS(L3) SA1 SA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 28 34 2 98 118 1 4 3 -0.00 -0.00 0.001 0.000 0.003 22 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMBIGUITY RESOLUTION ITERATION: 48</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FILE AM1 CL1 #AM1 AM2 CL2 #AM2 L1 L2 L1 L2 L5 L3 RMS(L3) SA1 SA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 51 62 1 113 136 1 8 5 -0.45 -0.58 0.130 0.004 0.005 114 110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMBIGUITY RESOLUTION ITERATION: 49</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FILE AM1 CL1 #AM1 AM2 CL2 #AM2 L1 L2 L1 L2 L5 L3 RMS(L3) SA1 SA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 26 32 1 173 219 12 -8 -8 -0.79 -1.00 0.211 -0.044 0.005 20 25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMBIGUITY RESOLUTION ITERATION: 50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FILE AM1 CL1 #AM1 AM2 CL2 #AM2 L1 L2 L1 L2 L5 L3 RMS(L3) SA1 SA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 44 55 1 101 121 1 1 0 0.78 1.00 -0.217 0.021 0.005 107 103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMBIGUITY RESOLUTION ITERATION: 51</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FILE AM1 CL1 #AM1 AM2 CL2 #AM2 L1 L2 L1 L2 L5 L3 RMS(L3) SA1 SA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 60 73 1 115 138 1 -3 0 0.22 0.27 -0.053 0.033 0.005 123 119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The individual iteration steps are first described (we specified that only one ambiguity may be resolved within each iteration step — see panel “GPSEST 3.2.4: Quasi-Ionosphere-Free Ambiguity Resolution Strategy”). The following information is listed for each resolved double-difference ambiguity:

- **FILE** file number (1 in our case; we process one baseline only),
- **AM1** first ambiguity number (single-difference level),
- **CL1** corresponding ambiguity cluster,
- **#AM1** number of ambiguities belonging to the same cluster,
- **AM2, CL2, #AM2** similar information for the second ambiguity.
- **BEST INT. L1, L2** are the integer corrections to the a priori values (a priori values are computed using the a priori coordinates and may be rather inaccurate).

**CORRECTIONS IN CYCLES** for carriers L1 and L2 gives the information about the fractional parts of the L1 and L2 ambiguities. The CORRECTIONS IN CYCLES L5 and L3 are of greater interest. The value L5 represents the ionosphere–induced bias expressed in L5 cycles. These values may not be greater than the maximum value specified in panel “GPSEST 3.2.4: Quasi-Ionosphere-Free Ambiguity Resolution Strategy” (option “Search width for pairs of L1 and L2 ambiguities”). RMS(L3) is the criterion according to which the ambiguities are sorted. Ambiguities with L3 RMS errors larger than the value specified in the program input panel (in our example 0.03) will not be resolved.

**SA1, SA2** first and second satellite number related to the ambiguities. The first ambiguities are resolved for Galileo; with iteration AMBIGUITY RESOLUTION ITERATION: 15 the first GPS satellites follow. In ITERATION: 48, 50 and 51 there are examples for resolving pairs of ambiguities from the satellite using the same frequency. Later there are even examples for path-to-path ambiguity resolution, e.g., ITERATION: 65 or 76, indicated by the same satellite number for SA1 and SA2.

The following table summarizes the results of the ambiguity resolution:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMBI</th>
<th>FILE</th>
<th>SAT. S_EPO</th>
<th>L_EPO</th>
<th>FRQ</th>
<th>WLF</th>
<th>CLU AMBI</th>
<th>CLU AMB</th>
<th>RMS</th>
<th>TOTAL AMBIG</th>
<th>DL/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 28 34</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1432773.0</td>
<td>0.00003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2830</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 173 219</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1432622.2</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>1326</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 126 157</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2614159.2</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2466</td>
<td>2825</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 173 219</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td></td>
<td>2614112.0</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1 125 155</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1201547.0</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2576</td>
<td>2881</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1 172 217</td>
<td>-3.32</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>1201896.68</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2588</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1 173 219</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td></td>
<td>1201590.0</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 141 174</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>-940597.0</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1548</td>
<td>1718</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1 173 219</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td></td>
<td>-940567.0</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1726</td>
<td>1852</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 172 217</td>
<td>-1.17</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-940556.17</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2561</td>
<td>2825</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1 141 174</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>-940670.0</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2832</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1 173 219</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>-940683.0</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1 173 219</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2346708.0</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2791</td>
<td>2825</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1 172 217</td>
<td>-2.67</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2346723.33</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2849</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1 173 219</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>2346716.0</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1 173 219</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2504805.0</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
The ambiguities for which an RMS is specified could not be resolved (these ambiguities will be treated as real values by all subsequent program runs). In case of GLONASS, only ambiguities with the same channel number are resolved in Version 5.4 of Bernese GNSS Software.

Ambiguity resolution has an influence on other parameters. Therefore, the results of the ambiguity–fixed solution are given in Part 2 of the output:

### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

**Number of parameters:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Station coordinates / velocities</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambiguities</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stochastic ionosphere parameters</td>
<td>59105</td>
<td>59105</td>
<td>(epow)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number</td>
<td>59194</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>59105</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5543</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviation pre-elimination (elim): before stacking (bfst), after stacking (afst), epoch-wise (epow), after inversion (afin)*

**Statistics:**

| Total number of authentic observations      | 112450   |
| Total number of pseudo-observations         | 2        |
| Total number of explicit parameters         | 89       |
| Total number of implicit parameters         | 59105    |
| Total number of observations                | 112462   |
| Total number of adjusted parameters         | 59194    |
| Degree of freedom (DOF)                     | 53258    |
| A posteriori RMS of unit weight             | 0.001298 m |
| Chi**2/DOF                                  | 1.68     |

**Total number of observation files:** 1
**Total number of unobserved ambiguities:** 92
**Total number of stations:** 1

**Station coordinates and velocities:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sol</th>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Typ</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>Estimated value</th>
<th>RMS error</th>
<th>A priori value</th>
<th>Abb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TLSE 10003M009</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-0.00063</td>
<td>4627851.66142</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>4627851.66205</td>
<td>#CRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TLSE 10003M009</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
<td>119640.28832</td>
<td>0.00007</td>
<td>119640.28819</td>
<td>#CRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TLSE 10003M009</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>-0.00057</td>
<td>4372993.71319</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>4372993.71376</td>
<td>#CRD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
You may see from the output that from a total of 350 ambiguities, 266 ambiguities could be resolved (compare part 1 AMBIGUITIES with part 2 AMBIGUITIES). Note that these numbers include reference ambiguities for each GNSS, GLONASS frequency number and frequency.

5.3.2 Ambiguity Resolution: Short Baselines

There are two very short baselines in the network where a direct ambiguity resolution for the \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) signal is possible applying the sigma–strategy.

The ultra–short baseline in Kötzting is between WTZR and WTZZ (WTWZ0440.PSH). The GPSEST input panels should look like follows:
The program output name is again related to the name of the baseline but contains an identifier 1 at the end to distinguish the files from the output files of the QIF–strategy:
The structure of the program output is the same as it has extensively been described in the previous section for the QIF ambiguity resolution strategy. It starts with **PART 1** for the solution before the ambiguity resolution. Here are the corresponding parameter statistics:
### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

##### Number of parameters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Station coordinates / velocities</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambiguities</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviation pre-elimination (elim): before stacking (bfst), after stacking (afst), epoch-wise (epw), after inversion (afin)

**Statistics:**

- Total number of authentic observations: 118374
- Total number of pseudo-observations: 4
- Total number of explicit parameters: 347
- Total number of implicit parameters: 0
- Total number of observations: 118378
- Degree of freedom (DOF): 118031
- A posteriori RMS of unit weight: 0.001128 m
- Chi**2/DOF: 1.27

- Total number of observation files: 1
- Total number of unobserved ambiguities: 42
- Total number of stations: 1

---

After the ambiguity resolution the same statistics is provided in **PART 2:**

### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

##### Number of parameters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Station coordinates / velocities</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambiguities</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviation pre-elimination (elim): before stacking (bfst), after stacking (afst), epoch-wise (epw), after inversion (afin)

**Statistics:**

- Total number of authentic observations: 118374
- Total number of pseudo-observations: 4
- Total number of explicit parameters: 59
- Total number of implicit parameters: 0
- Total number of observations: 118378
- Degree of freedom (DOF): 118317
- A posteriori RMS of unit weight: 0.001326 m
- Chi**2/DOF: 1.76

- Total number of observation files: 1
- Total number of unobserved ambiguities: 42
- Total number of stations: 1

---
From the number of ambiguity parameters it can be computed that 288 out of 344 ambiguities from GPS, GLONASS, and Galileo have been resolved to their integer numbers. Please remind, that at least 6 ambiguity parameters must remain real values because one reference ambiguity per GNSS and frequency are needed. For the Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) systems, GPS and Galileo they are reported as — Reference —. Because the two receivers belong to different groups regarding “GLONASS amb. resol. between different frequencies” only the ambiguities between the same frequency numbers have been resolved. In addition, depending on the receiver type, not all ambiguities for GPS are allowed to be resolved too, to prevent problems with the quarter–cycle bias between the P- and C-code signal (see option “Consider GPS quarter-cycle biases” in panel “GPSEST 3.2: General Options 2” and the lecture on ambiguity resolution).

5.3.3 Ambiguity Resolution: BPE

Admittedly, it is cumbersome to process the baselines “manually” one after the other — you have sixteen baselines per session for this small example campaign. On Thursday you will have a lecture on automation of the data processing using the BPE.

In the example BPE RNX2SNX.PCF the sequence for the ambiguity resolution is included. For this tutorial lecture a small part of this BPE is extracted into a separate TUTORIAL.PCF BPE. The process control file (PCF) is located in the directory ${U}/PCF. If you are not in the Bernese Introductory Course environment, you have to use the RNX2SNX.PCF instead of the TUTORIAL.PCF and skip the scripts that are not needed. You also need to adjust the variables in the lower section (a brief description is given in the panel description of the RUNBPE program).

The TUTORIAL.PCF consists of two parts: the first part defines the scripts and the option directories where the program’s input files are taken from. In addition the CPU-specification and waiting conditions are defined to keep the correct order for the execution of the scripts. The keyword PARALLEL indicates a special execution mode for the scripts, e.g., GNSAMB_P with PID 412 or GNSQIF_P with PID 432. They may run in parallel for each individual baseline. The preparatory scripts, e.g., GNSAMBAP or GNSQIFAP define the list of baselines to be processed. The second part defines the so called BPE– or PCF–variables that can be used within the scripts or in the input fields of the menu. A detailed introduction to the BPE will be the topic of a lecture on Thursday.

The TUTORIAL.PCF is responsible for the following tasks:

401 SATMRK :

All previously resolved ambiguities are re–initialized to start for all files from unresolved ambiguities (otherwise the interpretation of the statistic of resolved ambiguities may become difficult).

411 GNSAMBAP and 412 GNSAMB_P :

Applies the SIGMA ambiguity resolution strategy using the Melbourne-Wübbena linear combination to all baselines longer than 200 km of the example in a baseline–by–baseline mode, where several baselines may be processed at the same time in parallel.

421 GNSL53AP and 422 GNSL53_P :

Applies the SIGMA ambiguity resolution strategy using the wide- and narrow-lane
# TUTORIAL_PC

### Purpose:
Run the ambiguity resolution for one session in the <<Terminal Session>> of the Bernese Software Introductory Course.

### Author:
R. Dach

### Created:
27-Aug-2022

### Changes:

---

# PID SCRIPT OPT_DIR PARAMETERS

## Resolve phase ambiguities

- **401 SATMRK R2S_GEN CPU = ANY**
- **411 DNSMABAP R2S_AMB CPU = ANY; WAIT = 401**
- **412 DNSMABP R2S_AMB CPU = ANY; WAIT = 411; PARALLEL = 411**
- **421 DNSL53AP R2S_L53 CPU = ANY; WAIT = 412**
- **422 DNSL53P R2S_L53 CPU = ANY; WAIT = 421; PARALLEL = 421**
- **431 DNSQIFAP R2S_QIF CPU = ANY; WAIT = 422**
- **432 DNSQIFP R2S_QIF CPU = ANY; WAIT = 431; PARALLEL = 431**
- **441 DNSL12AP R2S_L12 CPU = ANY; WAIT = 432**
- **442 DNSL12P R2S_L12 CPU = ANY; WAIT = 441; PARALLEL = 441**
- **443 AMBXTR R2S_AMB CPU = ANY; WAIT = 442**

### End of BPE
- **899 DUMMY NO_OPT CPU = ANY; WAIT = 443**

---

## VARIABLE DEFAULT PARAMETERS

### General and model files:
- **V_SUBMOD = DESAI2016; DESCRIPTION = Subdaily pole model**
- **V_BSTMOD = IA2000006; DESCRIPTION = Nutation model**
- **V_PCV = I20; DESCRIPTION = Antenna phase center (PCV) model**
- **V_PCVINF = ANTELL; DESCRIPTION = PCV information file**
- **V_SATCRX = SAT $Y +0; DESCRIPTION = Satellite problem file**
- **V_OSB = COD; DESCRIPTION = Orbit/ERP, CLK, bias information**

### Reference frame and station related files:
- **V CRDINF = EXAMPLE; DESCRIPTION = Merged CRD/VEL filename**
- **V STAINF = EXAMPLE; DESCRIPTION = Station information file**
- **V BLQINF = EXAMPLE; DESCRIPTION = BLQ file name, CMC corrections**
- **V ATLINF = EXAMPLE; DESCRIPTION = ATL file name, CMC corrections**
- **V HOIFIL = HOI $YYYSS +0; DESCRIPTION = Ionosphere model for higher order iono**
- **V_OSBFIL = COD $YYYSS +0; DESCRIPTION = OBS file with GNSS satellite biases**

### Data selection:

### Ambiguity resolution:
- **V_GNSSSAR = GRE; DESCRIPTION = Select the GNSS for amb. resolu. (GECJ)**
- **V BL_AMB = 6000; DESCRIPTION = Maximum baseline length for MW/L3 AR**
- **V BL_QIF = 2000; DESCRIPTION = Maximum baseline length for QIF AR**
- **V BL_L53 = 200; DESCRIPTION = Maximum baseline length for L5/L3 AR**
- **V BL_L12 = 20; DESCRIPTION = Maximum baseline length for L1&L2 AR**

### Other solution IDs used in the BPE:
- **V APR = APR; DESCRIPTION = A priori information**
- **V FLT = FLT; DESCRIPTION = Preliminary (ambiguity-float) results**

---

# DO NOT USE V_D, V_J, V_M, V_Y VARIABLES!
# (they are used already by the menu)
5.3 Ambiguity Resolution

linear combinations to all baselines shorter than 200 km and longer than 20 km. In this example we don’t have such a baseline, this section will be skipped automatically.

431 GNSQIFAP and 432 GNSQIF_P:
Applies the QIF ambiguity resolution strategy to all baselines of the example in a baseline–by–baseline mode, where several baselines may be processed at the same time in parallel. The resolved ambiguities from the previous steps are introduced and, consequently the algorithm only applies to the remaining unresolved ambiguities.

441 GNSL12AP and 442 GNSL12_P:
Applies the SIGMA ambiguity resolution strategy directly to the original observations on the $L_1$ and $L_2$ frequency for both short baselines in the example network: Kötzting (WTZR and WTZZ) and Zimmerwald (ZIM2 and ZIMM). These scripts also run in a baseline–by–baseline mode, allowing for a parallel processing.

443 AMBXTR:
Creates a series of summary files on the success rate of the ambiguity resolution process in the various steps.

In the Bernese Introductory Course environment the TUTORIAL.PCF BPE can be started for one session (e.g., day 044 of year 2019) using "Menu>BPE>Start BPE processing":

If you follow the tutorial outside from the environment of the Bernese Introductory Course you can select RNX2SNX in option “Process control file” and skip all scripts apart from the range between PID 401 SATMRK to PID 442 GNSL12_P (option “Skip scripts”).
Run the BPE for the current session. If the BPE stops with an error you can inspect the files \( $(P)/INTRO/BPE/AR190440\ldots.PRT \) and \( $(P)/INTRO/BPE/AR190440\ldots.LOG \) belonging to your current session. These files report for instance if an input file is missing. This might be the case if you did not follow the naming convention proposed in the tutorial. In that case you have to copy the file from your naming to the expected one.

5.3.4 Ambiguity Resolution: Summary

For each observation file a corresponding program output file is generated. To get an overview on the success rate of the various ambiguity resolution steps a one-line summary for each baseline (and optionally for each satellite system) is generated by the BPE in the last step (443 AMBXTR).

The program GPSXTR ('Menu'>Processing>Program output extraction>Parameter estimation/stacking') was used in several configurations. Here we have a look at the extraction of the last step of the ambiguity resolution, direct use of the SIGMA-strategy on \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) frequencies (solution indicator L12):
5.3 Ambiguity Resolution

The entry for option “GPSEST/ADDNEQ output files” may be incomplete in the screenshot. It should be L12_YYYYSS+0_???? (you may check with bottom right to the input field).

All program output files related to the L12 ambiguity resolution method have been specified with program output filenames fitting in the shape ${P}/INTRO/OUT/L12_YYYYSS+0_???? .OUT and can, therefore, easily be selected in the input field “GPSEST/ADDNEQ output files”.

The resulting protocol in the file ${P}/INTRO/OUT/L12_20190440.SUM looks like:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Sta1</th>
<th>Sta2</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>Res</th>
<th>Sys</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTW20440</td>
<td>WTR</td>
<td>WZZ</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>98.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTW20440</td>
<td>WTR</td>
<td>WZZ</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTW20440</td>
<td>WTR</td>
<td>WZZ</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIZM0440</td>
<td>ZIM</td>
<td>ZIM</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>96.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot: 2</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot: 1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot: 2</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot: 2</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- QTR consider GPS quarter cycle: - (not applicable) N (never) A (always)
- FRQ GLONASS resolution between freq.: - (not applicable) S (selected) T (same rcvr. type) M (same rcvr. model)

If you compare the number of ambiguity parameters in the GPSEST program output with the number of ambiguities in the GPSXTR summary files, the number of reference ambiguities that need to be kept unresolved are considered.
The solution from the BPE are identical only to one of the manually processed solutions. You can compare the following two files in $(P)/INTRO/OUT$ for each strategy (e.g., with tkdiff):

Filenames from Manual processing processed by the BPE

| Strategy: direct $L_1/L_2$: | WTW20190441.OUT L1_2_20190440_WTWZ.OUT |

The QIF-based ambiguity resolution in the automated processing started from the resolved ambiguities based on the Melbourne-Wübbena strategy whereas in the manual mode the QIF-strategy was applied to the plain files.

Let’s have a look at the complete summary file generated by the script in the BPE available in $(P)/INTRO/OUT/AMB_20190440.SUM$. The first part is reporting about the wide-lane/narrow-lane ambiguity resolution starting from the Melbourne-Wübbena linear combination:

```markdown
# Code-Based Widelane (WL) Ambiguity Resolution (<6000 km)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Sta1</th>
<th>Sta2</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>Res (mm)</th>
<th>Sys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRTL0440 BRST TLSE</td>
<td>707.462</td>
<td>69 1.4</td>
<td>15 1.5</td>
<td>78.3 G</td>
<td>AR_WL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRTL0440 BRST TLSE</td>
<td>707.462</td>
<td>46 1.4</td>
<td>15 1.5</td>
<td>67.4 E</td>
<td>AR_WL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAMI0440 GANP MIKL</td>
<td>897.345</td>
<td>51 0.8</td>
<td>4 0.8</td>
<td>92.2 G</td>
<td>AR_WL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAMI0440 GANP MIKL</td>
<td>897.345</td>
<td>28 0.8</td>
<td>5 0.8</td>
<td>82.1 E</td>
<td>AR_WL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAVZ0440 GANP WTZZ</td>
<td>543.530</td>
<td>56 1.0</td>
<td>9 1.1</td>
<td>83.9 G</td>
<td>AR_WL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAVZ0440 GANP WTZZ</td>
<td>543.530</td>
<td>40 1.0</td>
<td>2 1.1</td>
<td>95.0 E</td>
<td>AR_WL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAVZ0440 GANP WTZZ</td>
<td>543.530</td>
<td>96 1.0</td>
<td>11 1.1</td>
<td>88.5 G</td>
<td>AR_WL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZZI0440 WTZZ ZIM2</td>
<td>475.909</td>
<td>54 1.1</td>
<td>6 1.2</td>
<td>88.9 G</td>
<td>AR_WL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZZI0440 WTZZ ZIM2</td>
<td>475.909</td>
<td>37 1.1</td>
<td>2 1.2</td>
<td>94.6 E</td>
<td>AR_WL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZZI0440 WTZZ ZIM2</td>
<td>475.909</td>
<td>91 1.1</td>
<td>8 1.2</td>
<td>91.2 G</td>
<td>AR_WL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot: 14</td>
<td>760.073</td>
<td>957 1.3</td>
<td>274 1.4</td>
<td>71.4 G</td>
<td>AR_WL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot: 8</td>
<td>809.713</td>
<td>39 1.2</td>
<td>39 1.2</td>
<td>88.5 G</td>
<td>AR_WL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot: 14</td>
<td>760.073</td>
<td>1226 1.3</td>
<td>313 1.4</td>
<td>74.5 G</td>
<td>AR_WL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

# Code-Based Narrowlane (NL) Ambiguity Resolution (<6000 km)

```markdown
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Sta1</th>
<th>Sta2</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>Res (mm)</th>
<th>Sys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRTL0440 BRST TLSE</td>
<td>707.462</td>
<td>69 1.1</td>
<td>15 1.2</td>
<td>78.3 G</td>
<td>AR_NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRTL0440 BRST TLSE</td>
<td>707.462</td>
<td>46 1.1</td>
<td>15 1.2</td>
<td>67.4 E</td>
<td>AR_NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAMI0440 GANP MIKL</td>
<td>897.345</td>
<td>52 1.1</td>
<td>5 1.1</td>
<td>90.4 G</td>
<td>AR_NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAVZ0440 GANP WTZZ</td>
<td>543.530</td>
<td>56 0.8</td>
<td>10 1.0</td>
<td>82.1 G</td>
<td>AR_NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAVZ0440 GANP WTZZ</td>
<td>543.530</td>
<td>40 0.8</td>
<td>2 1.0</td>
<td>95.0 E</td>
<td>AR_NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAVZ0440 GANP WTZZ</td>
<td>543.530</td>
<td>96 0.8</td>
<td>12 1.0</td>
<td>87.5 G</td>
<td>AR_NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZZI0440 WTZZ ZIM2</td>
<td>475.909</td>
<td>54 0.9</td>
<td>6 1.1</td>
<td>88.9 G</td>
<td>AR_NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZZI0440 WTZZ ZIM2</td>
<td>475.909</td>
<td>37 0.9</td>
<td>2 1.1</td>
<td>94.6 E</td>
<td>AR_NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZZI0440 WTZZ ZIM2</td>
<td>475.909</td>
<td>91 0.9</td>
<td>8 1.1</td>
<td>91.2 G</td>
<td>AR_NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot: 14</td>
<td>760.073</td>
<td>985 0.9</td>
<td>311 1.0</td>
<td>68.4 G</td>
<td>AR_NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot: 8</td>
<td>809.713</td>
<td>72 1.1</td>
<td>72 1.1</td>
<td>76.2 E</td>
<td>AR_NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot: 14</td>
<td>760.073</td>
<td>1287 0.9</td>
<td>383 1.0</td>
<td>70.2 G</td>
<td>AR_NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

In particular for the Melbourne-Wübbena linear combination we observe a higher resolution rate for Galileo than for GPS. The reason is the lower noise level of code measurements obtained from the Galileo system. GLONASS is not included because it is not supported for the Melbourne-Wübbena linear combination with Version 5.4 of Bernese GNSS Software.
5.3 Ambiguity Resolution

The next block of the ambiguity resolution summary is empty because no baselines for the related lengths are available in the example dataset. The next section is related to the QIF-strategy which is only applied to those ambiguities that are not resolved so far. For this reason the ambiguity resolution rate for GPS and Galileo are lower than it might be expected:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Sta1</th>
<th>Sta2</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
<th>Before # Amb (mm)</th>
<th>After # Amb (mm)</th>
<th>Res (%)</th>
<th>Sys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRTL0440</td>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>TLSE</td>
<td>707.462</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRTL0440</td>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>TLSE</td>
<td>707.462</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRTL0440</td>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>TLSE</td>
<td>707.462</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRTL0440</td>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>TLSE</td>
<td>707.462</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAM10440</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>MIKL</td>
<td>897.345</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAM10440</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>MIKL</td>
<td>897.345</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAM10440</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>MIKL</td>
<td>897.345</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAM10440</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>MIKL</td>
<td>897.345</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAV10440</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>543.530</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAV10440</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>543.530</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAV10440</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>543.530</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAV10440</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>543.530</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZZI0440</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>ZIM2</td>
<td>475.909</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZZI0440</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>ZIM2</td>
<td>475.909</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZZI0440</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>ZIM2</td>
<td>475.909</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZZI0440</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>ZIM2</td>
<td>475.909</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tot: 14 780.073 622 1.1 468 1.1 24.8 G
Tot: 13 774.297 1532 1.1 714 1.1 53.4 R
Tot: 8 809.713 148 1.1 56 | 1.2 | 62.2 E
Tot: 14 760.073 2302 1.1 1238 1.1 46.2 GRE

The next section in the ambiguity resolution summary is related to the direct ambiguity resolution applying the SIGMA-strategy directly on the $L_1$ and $L_2$ observations for very short baselines. The same summary results as we have obtained above manually.

This multiple-step ambiguity resolution makes it difficult to get an overall overview on the success-rate of the ambiguity resolution. Such an overview can be provided by the program OBSXTR ("Menu>Service>Bernese observation files>Extract statistics from header file") that evaluates the header of the phase observation files on the relation of resolved ambiguities.
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The program output and a satellite-wise summary are attached to the ambiguity resolution summary $(P)/INTRO/OUT/AMB_20190440.SUM$ as well:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Station 1</th>
<th>Station 2</th>
<th>Sys</th>
<th>sat</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>L1</th>
<th>L2</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>TLSE</td>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>TLSE</td>
<td>GLN</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>TLSE</td>
<td>GAL</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>83.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>TLSE</td>
<td>tot</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>76.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>MIKL</td>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>94.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>MIKL</td>
<td>GLN</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>59.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>MIKL</td>
<td>GAL</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>MIKL</td>
<td>tot</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>80.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>86.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>GLN</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>64.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>GAL</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>95.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GANP</td>
<td>WTZZ</td>
<td>tot</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>81.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

... ...

16 | WTZZ     | ZIM2      | GPS  | 32  | 55    | 6  | 6  | 89.1% |
14 | WTZZ     | ZIM2      | GLN | 23  | 866   | 403| 403| 52.4% |
9  | WTZZ     | ZIM2      | GAL | 24  | 367   | 47 | 47 | 87.2% |
16 | WTZZ     | ZIM2      | tot | 79  | 2343  | 716| 716| 69.4% |

---

>>> CPU/Real time for pgm "OBSXTR": 0:00:00.023 / 0:00:00.023
>>> Program finished successfully

Satellite-wise Ambiguity Resolution Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRN</th>
<th>Amb</th>
<th>L1 &amp; L2 ambiguities</th>
<th>L5 ambiguities</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>solved #/clu rel</th>
<th>solved #/clu rel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

... ...
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...  

| 122 | 24   | 18   | 3.3  | 52.3 | 0    | 0    | 0    |
| 123 | 39   | 28   | 3.8  | 52.7 | 0    | 0    | 0    |
| 124 | 45   | 30   | 4.0  | 49.9 | 0    | 0    | 0    |
| 126 | 2    | 2    | 2.0  | 50.0 | 0    | 0    | 0    |
| 201 | 21   | 18   | 18.2 | 81.0 | 14   | 16.0 | 62.5 |
| 202 | 12   | 12   | 26.9 | 96.3 | 10   | 20.3 | 79.2 |
| 203 | 16   | 15   | 15.7 | 87.8 | 11   | 17.5 | 64.8 |
| 204 | 17   | 17   | 29.0 | 96.5 | 15   | 16.4 | 82.9 |

...  

| 230 | 17   | 16   | 36.3 | 91.5 | 12   | 17.6 | 66.6 |
| 231 | 16   | 13   | 9.0  | 72.2 | 8    | 11.0 | 45.5 |
| 233 | 21   | 20   | 14.3 | 88.6 | 13   | 18.1 | 58.5 |

---

| GPS | 1130 | 928  | 14.5 | 76.6 | 732  | 14.9 | 60.4 |
| GLO | 846  | 616  | 3.6  | 52.4 | 0    | 0    | 0    |
| GAL | 367  | 342  | 15.5 | 87.2 | 242  | 20.2 | 62.7 |

Please note, that the program OBSXTR does only evaluate the header of the observation files after the ambiguity resolution, whereas the program GPSXTR inspects the program output files from the specific GPSEST run, in which the ambiguity resolution is executed. Due to this the program GPSXTR has access to more information related to reference ambiguities due to user settings that are considered in the statistics. This detailed information is not available to OBSXTR. As a consequence the statistics provided by these two programs will slightly differ.

### 5.4 Daily Goals

At the end of today’s session, you should have:

1. used **GPSEST** for residual screening, created files: **EDT_ 20190440. OUT**, **EDT_ 20190440. RES** in your campaign’s **OUT** directory,

2. screened the residual files from the above run using **RESRMS**: created files **RMS_ 20190440. SUM**, **RMS_ 20190440. LST**, **RMS_ 20190440. EDT**, and **RMS_ 20190440. OUT**,

3. used **SATMRK** to mark the identified outliers,

4. used **GPSEST** for a first coordinate and troposphere estimation, created files: **FLT_ 20190440. CRD** and **FLT_ 20190440. TRP**,

5. used **GPSEST** for QIF ambiguity resolution, created files: **BRTL2019044Q. OUT**,

6. used **GPSEST** for direct SIGMA ambiguity resolution, created files: **WTWZ20190441. OUT**,

7. apply the ambiguity resolution to all baselines running a BPE,

8. used **GPSXTR** to create a summary of the ambiguity resolution, created file: **QIF_ 20190440. SUM** and **L12_ 20190440. SUM**.
6 Terminal Session: Thursday

Finish the work of yesterday by resolving the ambiguities for all baselines (day 044 year 2019) if not yet done so far.

Today’s terminal session is to:
1. compute a final network solution of the day (GPSEST),
2. check the coordinates of the fiducial sites (ADDNEQ2, HELMR1),
3. check the daily repeatability (COMPAR2),
4. recompute the final solution and generate reduced size normal equation files (ADDNEQ2),
5. compute velocities (ADDNEQ2),
for the current session. Compare the final coordinate results of the daily solutions (which are already processed and available).

6.1 Final Network Solution

The resolved ambiguities may be introduced from the Bernese observation files into the final network solution. To start the program GPSEST in session mode you have to select all single difference files of the corresponding session. In panel “GPSEST 1.1: Input Files”:

If the grid files for the coefficients of the VMF are available (downloaded from https://vmf.geo.tuwien.ac.at/trop_products/GRID/1x1/VMF3/VMF3_OP/ and the five grid files of the day are concatenated), we can introduce VMF3_$YYYSS+0 into panel “GPSEST 1.1: Input Files 1”.
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We do not introduce “Estimated troposphere” anymore from the previous solutions.

In panel “GPSEST 2.1: Output Files 1” we request the normal equation file as the only output file.
For the final run of GPSEST we consider the correlations between the observations correctly:

If the VMF grid files are available we can switch now from DRY_GPT3 to DRY_VMF3 to use the VMF instead of the Global Mapping Function (GMF). In the Bernese Introductory Course environment these files are available. Remember that you need to specify the grid files with the coefficients in the input field “VMF grid file” in panel “GPSEST 1.1: Input Files 1”. Ambiguities which have been resolved in the previous runs of program GPSEST are introduced as known.

The checkbox in option “Stop program after NEQ saving” reduces the task of GPSEST to setting up the NEQ but not solving it. In particular in case of bigger networks this may save a lot of computing time because the solution for the session will be computed later on by ADDNEQ2 anyhow.
Since this is the final run of GPSEST, it is worthwhile to add some additional information about the observation files into the program output. This is useful if you archive the program output of this run together with the observation files and the resulting normal equation files.

We do not fix any stations on their a priori position, i.e., the coordinates of all stations will be estimated. This retains the flexibility for later changes in the realization of the reference frame (station constraints) with program ADDNEQ2. Because no solution in GPSEST is computed you can select here all types of datum definition apart from “Coordinates fixed” (the normal equations are always stored without any constraints):
The remaining unresolved ambiguities are pre–eliminated. In addition we may set up additional parameters of interest.

The selection of the mapping function has to be consistent with the selection of the troposphere model in “ZPD model and mapping function (GNSS)” in panel “GPSEST 3.2: General Options 2”.

The output of the GPSEST contains only the input parameter and ends with the parameter statistics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Station coordinates / velocities</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambiguities</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1105 (bfst)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site-specific troposphere parameters</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number</td>
<td>1609</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>1065</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviation pre–elimination (elim): before stacking (bfst), after stacking (afst), epoch-wise (epow), after inversion (afin)
After running GPSEST in session mode the normal equation file `FIX_20190440.NQ0` should be available in the directory `${P}/INTRO/SOL`.

In the environment of the *Bernese Introductory Course* these files are provided in the archive `${S}/RNX2SNX/2019/SOL/`, `${S}/RNX2SNX/2020/SOL/`, and `${S}/RNX2SNX/2021/SOL/` respectively. Copy the files of the additional five days into your campaign. Following files should be now available in the directory `${P}/INTRO/SOL`

```
FIX_20190440.NQ0,  FIX_20190450.NQ0,
FIX_20201790.NQ0,  FIX_20201800.NQ0,  and
FIX_20210950.NQ0,  FIX_20210960.NQ0.
```

### 6.2 Check the Coordinates of the Fiducial Sites

To check the consistency of our network solution with respect to the coordinates available in the IGS 20 reference frame we generate a minimum constraint solution for the network using program ADDNEQ2 (*"Menu>Processing>Combine normal equation systems"*) with the following options:

No further input files in the next panel are needed.
6.2 Check the Coordinates of the Fiducial Sites

If we intend to store a troposphere Solution INdependent EXchange format (SINEX) file to make the troposphere estimates also available in the international format, we need to add the “SINEX header file”. Please adjust the content (in particular the institution and authorship) of this file before using it for your applications.

The troposphere estimates are now written in the Bernese internal (option “Troposphere estimates”) and the international format (option “Troposphere estimates (SINEX)”). If there is no need to store both, you may also limit yourself to one of them or even to not store the troposphere results at all.
6 Terminal Session: Thursday

ADDREQQ 3.1: Options 1

**General Options**
- Maximum number of parameters in combined NEQ: 1000
- A priori sigma of unit weight: 0.0010 meters
- Compute and compare individual solutions
- Reference epoch for station coordinates
- Stop program after NEQ saving

**Add Parameters to the System**
- Set up station velocities
- Set up geocenter coordinates

ADDREQQ 3.2: Options 2

**Remove Station Parameters from the System**
- If receiver changes
- If antenna changes

**Input File Options**
- Truncate NEQ station names after position 14
- Keep input NEQs in alphabetical order

**Output Options**
- Extended output wrt estimated parameters
- Extended summary concerning coordinate parameter
- Extended summary concerning ionosphere parameter
- Extended summary concerning troposphere parameter
- Notify station inconsistencies between NEQs
- Notify changes due to station information file
- Print detailed list of parameter manipulations
- Print detailed list on usage of constraints
In the following three panels, all parameter types supported by ADDNEQ2 are listed. You may specify whether a parameter shall be pre-eliminated or not. An empty entry means that the parameter is not expected in the input NEQ files.

Please note that an automated preselection is not possible for technical reasons. If a parameter with an empty input field is detected in the input NEQ files, the program will stop with an error. In the opposite case, a warning message is issued.

For the validation of the datum stations we choose the “Minimum constraint solution”. Because it is a regional network, we only apply the no-translation condition. The other conditions are sufficiently defined by the satellite orbits.
The following panel allows to change the parameter spacing. We do not need this feature at the moment and leave, therefore, all input fields empty.

The relative constraints applied to the vertical troposphere and gradient parameters are so loose that they do not affect the solution. As in GPSEST, they simply prevent a format overflow in the output troposphere file in case of very weakly observed parameters due to gaps in the observation scenario.

If you have selected troposphere output in SINEX format you may select the spacing of the sampling of the reported records. Please note that this has no impact on the parametrization of the troposphere parameters.

Furthermore you can decide whether the traditional (0.01) or the latest (2.0) version of the troposphere SINEX format shall be written. In the latter case the nine character station IDs are taken from the related column in PART II of the “Station information” file you have selected in panel “ADDNEQ2 1.1: Input Files 1”. Please make sure that you provide for each station in your processing the related entry.

For option “Time system for TROPO-SINEX” we select GPS because the processing takes place in the GPS time system.
6.2 Check the Coordinates of the Fiducial Sites

The **ADDNEQ2** program output starts with some information about the parameters contained in the input **NQ0** file(s). The input options for the program run follow. An important part is the statistics for the current **ADDNEQ2** solution with the same layout you know already from **GPSEST**:

---

**SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

---

**Number of parameters:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Station coordinates / velocities</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site-specific troposphere parameters</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously pre-eliminated parameters</td>
<td>1065</td>
<td>1065</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number</td>
<td>1609</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>1065</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviation pre-elimination (elim): before stacking (bfst), after stacking (afst),
epoch-wise (epow), after inversion (afin)*

**Statistics:**

---

- Total number of authentic observations: 133235
- Total number of pseudo-observations: 445
- Total number of explicit parameters: 544
- Total number of implicit parameters: 1065
- Total number of observations: 133680
- Degree of freedom (DOF): 132071
- A posteriori RMS of unit weight: 0.001089 m
- Chi²/DOF: 1.19
- Total number of observation files: 16
- Total number of stations: 17

---

Below this part the program output reports the results of the parameter estimation in the same standardized format for all parameter types as in the program **GPSEST**:

---

**Station coordinates and velocities:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sol</th>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Typ</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>Estimated value</th>
<th>RMS error</th>
<th>A priori value</th>
<th>Abb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0.00152</td>
<td>4231162.41917</td>
<td>0.00047</td>
<td>4231162.41765</td>
<td># CRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.00316</td>
<td>-332746.42575</td>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>-332746.42891</td>
<td># CRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>0.00309</td>
<td>4746131.07277</td>
<td>0.00047</td>
<td>4746131.06968</td>
<td># CRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GANP 11515M001</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-0.00773</td>
<td>3929181.29827</td>
<td>0.00041</td>
<td>3929181.30600</td>
<td># CRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GANP 11515M001</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.00706</td>
<td>1455236.95252</td>
<td>0.00019</td>
<td>1455236.94546</td>
<td># CRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GANP 11515M001</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>0.04306</td>
<td>4793654.03600</td>
<td>0.00045</td>
<td>4793653.99294</td>
<td># CRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HERT 13212M010</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-0.01012</td>
<td>4033460.74185</td>
<td>0.00068</td>
<td>4033460.75197</td>
<td># CRD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Site-specific troposphere parameters:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Typ</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>Estimated value</th>
<th>RMS error</th>
<th>A priori value</th>
<th>Abb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.00009</td>
<td>0.00009</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td># TRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>-0.00001</td>
<td>-0.00001</td>
<td>0.00008</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td># TRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.07659</td>
<td>2.42832</td>
<td>0.00093</td>
<td>2.35173</td>
<td># TRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.07028</td>
<td>2.42138</td>
<td>0.00067</td>
<td>2.35111</td>
<td># TRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.06836</td>
<td>2.41885</td>
<td>0.00074</td>
<td>2.35049</td>
<td># TRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.07018</td>
<td>2.42005</td>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>2.34987</td>
<td># TRP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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The coordinate solution for the session \((D\{P}\!/INTRO/STA/FIN_{20190440}.CRD)\) may be compared with the a priori coordinates of the IGS core sites. The program HELMR1 ('Menu\>Service\>Coordinate tools\>Helmert transformation') is used for this purpose:

The last panel allows even for an automated quality control and manages a potential update of the list of stations used for the datum definition (option "List of accepted stations" in panel "HELMR1 1: Input/Output Files"): 

```
HELMR1 1: Input/Output Files

GENERAL FILES
Show all general files

INPUT FILES
First coordinate file EXAMPLE CRD
Velocities for first file EXAMPLE VEL (blank: no veloc. applied)
PSD corrections TIG29 FIX stations with PSD TUE
Annual/semiannual corrections TUG
Second coordinate file FIN_YYYYSS+0 CRD
List of reference stations TIG29 FIX
Other stations NONE

RESULT FILES
Coordinates CRD
List of accepted stations FIN_YYYYSS+0 FRX

GENERAL OUTPUT FILES
Program output use HELMR1.log or FIN_YYYYSS+0 OUT
Error messages merged to program output or RECHECK MSG
```

```
HELMR2: Options for Helmert Transformation

TITLE EXAMPLE: Session $Y=U$-$S=0$: Check $Edual$ coordinates

STATION SELECTION
* Automatic station selection (all stations or selection from file)
* Manual station selection

HELMDR TRANSFORMATION
System of transformation, LOCAL (X,E,U) or GEOCENTRIC (X,Y,Z)
Unit of residuals (meter or millimeter)

Parameters to be computed
shift 1
shift 2
shift 3
scale
```

```
HELMR3: Outlier Rejection

OUTLIER REJECTION
Enable outlier rejection
Maximal number of stations being rejected 0
Outlier criteria north component 10 millimeters
east component 10 millimeters
up component 10 millimeters
List of rejected stations FIX
```
6.2 Check the Coordinates of the Fiducial Sites

For our example we get the following output.

---

**Bernese GNSS Software, Version 5.4**

---

**Program**: HELMR1  
**Purpose**: Helmert Transformation

---

**Campaign**: ${P}$/INTRO  
**Default session**: 0440 year 2019  
**Date**: 13-Jan-2024 18:31:56  
**User name**: bern54

---

**EXAMPLE**: Session 2019-0440: Check fiducial coordinates

---

**FILE 1**: EXAMPLE.CRD : IGS20 : coordinate list  
**FILE 2**: FIN_20190440.CRD : EXAMPLE : Session 2019-0440: Final coordinate/troposph

**LOCAL GEODETIC DATUM**: IGS20

**RESIDUALS IN LOCAL SYSTEM (NORTH, EAST, UP)**

**OUTLIER CRITERIA**: 10.00 10.00 30.00

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUM</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>FLG</th>
<th>RESIDUALS IN MILLIMETERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>I W</td>
<td>-0.51 -2.80 -3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MATE 12734M004</td>
<td>I W</td>
<td>-0.35 1.26 0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MIKL 12335M001</td>
<td>I W</td>
<td>1.55 3.48 -0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ORSA 10402M004</td>
<td>I W</td>
<td>1.81 0.43 -9.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>GRID 16010M001</td>
<td>I W</td>
<td>-4.87 1.87 -8.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>TEDE 10003M009</td>
<td>I W</td>
<td>-0.29 -1.44 2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>WSRT 13506M005</td>
<td>I W</td>
<td>3.56 -1.23 7.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>WTR 14201M010</td>
<td>I W</td>
<td>-0.12 0.15 3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>ZIM2 14001M008</td>
<td>I W</td>
<td>-0.87 -1.20 4.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Zimm 14001M004</td>
<td>I W</td>
<td>-0.21 -0.50 4.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

| RMS / COMPONENT | 2.18  | 1.84  | 5.76  |
| IQR            | 2.06  | 2.49  | 8.34  |
| MEAN           | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| MEDIAN         | -0.26 | -0.18 | 1.37  |
| MIN            | -4.87 | -2.80 | -9.50 |
| MAX            | 3.56  | 3.48  | 7.58  |

**OVERALL RMS / IQR / MAX (3D)**: 3.71  3.07  10.27 |

---

| RMS / COMPONENT | 2.18  | 1.84  | 5.76  |
| IQR            | 2.06  | 2.49  | 8.34  |
| MEAN           | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| MEDIAN         | -0.26 | -0.18 | 1.37  |
| MIN            | -4.87 | -2.80 | -9.50 |
| MAX            | 3.56  | 3.48  | 7.58  |

**OVERALL RMS / IQR / MAX (3D)**: 3.71  3.07  10.27

---

**NUMBER OF PARAMETERS**: 3  
**NUMBER OF STATIONS**: 10  
**NUMBER OF COORDINATES**: 30  
**RMS OF TRANSFORMATION**: 3.71 MM

**BARYCENTER COORDINATES**:  
**LATITUDE**: 47 48 37.88  
**LONGITUDE**: 11 25 53.36  
**HEIGHT**: -64.817 KM

**PARAMETERS**:  
**TRANSLATION IN N**: 0.02 +- 1.17 MM  
**TRANSLATION IN E**: 0.03 +- 1.17 MM  
**TRANSLATION IN U**: -0.00 +- 1.17 MM

**NUMBER OF ITERATIONS**: 1  
**ACCEPTED STATIONS**: 10  
**REJECTED STATIONS**: 0  
**VERIFIED STATIONS**: 0

---

>>> CPU/Real time for pgm "HELMR1": 0:00:00.135 / 0:00:00.135  
>>> Program finished successfully
We can conclude that no problems concerning the stations used for the datum definition were detected.

If there were problems, the ADDNEQ2 run needs to be repeated with the problematic station either removed from the file `${P}/INTRO/STA/IGS20.FIX` (e.g., by using the result file `${P}/INTRO/STA/FIN_20190440.FIX`) or with manual selection of the stations used for the datum definition in panel “ADDNEQ2 5.1: Datum Definition for Station Coordinates”.

In order to check the repeatability of the coordinate solutions for all six days (or make at least sure to have four days available), repeat the above steps for the remaining days.

### 6.3 Check the Daily Repeatability

If the minimum constraint solutions of the six sessions are available, the repeatability of the coordinate solutions may be checked using the program COMPAR2 (“Menu>Service >Coordinate tools>Coordinate comparison”).
In the last panel you can define thresholds for detecting stations with exceptionally poor coordinate repeatability.

The program computes the arithmetic mean for all station coordinates. The difference of each individual coordinate set to this mean value and the overall RMS are reported in the following section of the program output:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Campaign</th>
<th>North</th>
<th>East</th>
<th>Up</th>
<th>RMS North</th>
<th>RMS East</th>
<th>RMS Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004 M004</td>
<td>N 15.82</td>
<td>-18.89</td>
<td>-18.90</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>16.49</td>
<td>15.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004 M004</td>
<td>E 14.91</td>
<td>-16.98</td>
<td>-17.54</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>15.85</td>
<td>16.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004 M004</td>
<td>U 2.96</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>-2.97</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERT 13212 M010</td>
<td>U 2.41</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>-2.46</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOZ2 12204 M002</td>
<td>E 23.96</td>
<td>-29.98</td>
<td>-29.50</td>
<td>9.69</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>21.84</td>
<td>22.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOZ2 12204 M002</td>
<td>U 1.61</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMA 12209 M001</td>
<td>E 20.49</td>
<td>-24.67</td>
<td>-25.36</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>19.77</td>
<td>20.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMA 12209 M001</td>
<td>U 3.21</td>
<td>-4.62</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>-1.70</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATE 12734 M008</td>
<td>N 18.44</td>
<td>-22.42</td>
<td>-21.76</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>18.65</td>
<td>18.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATE 12734 M008</td>
<td>E 23.06</td>
<td>-28.00</td>
<td>-27.43</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>23.36</td>
<td>23.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATE 12734 M008</td>
<td>U 2.94</td>
<td>-2.20</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>-4.76</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIKL 12335 M001</td>
<td>N 11.88</td>
<td>-14.43</td>
<td>-13.56</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>12.49</td>
<td>12.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIKL 12335 M001</td>
<td>E 24.79</td>
<td>-30.39</td>
<td>-30.54</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>25.35</td>
<td>25.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIKL 12335 M001</td>
<td>U 3.27</td>
<td>-3.64</td>
<td>-2.51</td>
<td>-1.61</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONSA 10402 M004</td>
<td>E 16.26</td>
<td>-20.05</td>
<td>-19.07</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>16.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONSA 10402 M004</td>
<td>U 3.06</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>-3.41</td>
<td>-3.15</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORID 15601 M001</td>
<td>N 8.56</td>
<td>-9.69</td>
<td>-9.64</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>9.18</td>
<td>9.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORID 15601 M001</td>
<td>E 25.27</td>
<td>-29.92</td>
<td>-31.42</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>24.31</td>
<td>25.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORID 15601 M001</td>
<td>U 4.17</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>-2.79</td>
<td>-3.10</td>
<td>-1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTBB 14234 M001</td>
<td>N 18.04</td>
<td>-22.12</td>
<td>-22.32</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>17.11</td>
<td>17.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTBB 14234 M001</td>
<td>E 18.19</td>
<td>-21.78</td>
<td>-21.37</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>18.81</td>
<td>18.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTBB 14234 M001</td>
<td>U 2.37</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>-2.10</td>
<td>-2.53</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLSE 10003 M009</td>
<td>N 15.79</td>
<td>-18.79</td>
<td>-19.49</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>15.89</td>
<td>15.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLSE 10003 M009</td>
<td>E 17.86</td>
<td>-21.38</td>
<td>-21.08</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>18.63</td>
<td>18.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLSE 10003 M009</td>
<td>U 1.19</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL 13406 M001</td>
<td>N 15.17</td>
<td>-18.25</td>
<td>-18.29</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>14.85</td>
<td>15.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL 13406 M001</td>
<td>E 16.41</td>
<td>-19.13</td>
<td>-19.53</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>17.03</td>
<td>17.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL 13406 M001</td>
<td>U 5.13</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>-4.94</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSRF 13506 M005</td>
<td>N 16.92</td>
<td>-20.04</td>
<td>-20.57</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>16.95</td>
<td>15.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSRF 13506 M005</td>
<td>E 16.56</td>
<td>-20.10</td>
<td>-19.77</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>15.76</td>
<td>17.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSRF 13506 M005</td>
<td>U 5.60</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>-3.93</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>-2.85</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In the section Notification of detected outliers all stations from the first (in 2019) and last (in 2021) pair of solutions get listed. While interpreting this output, keep in mind that the six columns refer to different epochs. The difference between these epochs is about one year. Obviously, station velocities need to be estimated (this will be done in the next step described in Section 6.5).

If reliable velocities for all stations are available they can be introduced:

You may check the influence on the repeatability on your own. Please be reminded, that for the ITRF 2020 (IGS 20) reference frame the linear station velocities are not sufficient. You may see the effect of the PSD corrections in the repeatability. This is not the case for this example because none of the stations is affected by an earthquake and, therefore, no PSD corrections have to be considered.

This output may be used for quality assessment. Stations with a problem in one or more sessions can be identified and excluded from the final ADDNEQ2 solution by adding them to section TYPE 003: STATION PROBLEMS in the station information file (${P}/INTRO/STA/EXAMPLE.STA). All parameters of these stations will be pre-eliminated before the normal equations are stacked and, therefore, also before the solution is computed.

6.4 Compute the Reduced Solution of the Sessions

If one or more stations have to be excluded from the session solution or if the datum definition of the solution is still not acceptable, the final solution of the session has to be re-computed by repeating the ADDNEQ2 from Section 6.2. Finally, the result files for the final solution of the session are:
6.4 Compute the Reduced Solution of the Sessions

\[$(P)/INTRO/SOL/FIN_$YYYSS+0.NQ0,$ \]
\[$(P)/INTRO/STA/FIN_$YYYSS+0.CRD,$ \]
\[$(P)/INTRO/ATM/FIN_$YYYSS+0.TRP,$ and potentially \]
\[$(P)/INTRO/ATM/FIN_$YYYSS+0.TRO.$ \]

It is preferable for the velocity estimation to have smaller normal equation files containing only the coordinate parameters for each session. In addition, we generate a coordinate SINEX file (in NEQ representation) as the final solution of the day, so the troposphere parameters have to be pre-eliminated before the solution is computed. We introduce the station coordinates ($$(P)/INTRO/STA/FIN_$YYYSS+0.CRD$$) obtained with the minimum constraint solution in the previous run of ADDNEQ2 and constrain the solution to these coordinates.

To generate these reduced NQ0 files and the SINEX file, the execution of ADDNEQ2 has to be repeated with the following changes in the input options:

Please note that the output SINEX file includes again the skeleton from $(P)/INTRO/GEN/SINEX_INTRO$ (Copied from $(D)/REF54$ directory into the campaign) – the same file we used as skeleton for the troposphere SINEX generation.
Because you are storing a SINEX file in NEQ representation (see option “Content of SINEX”) no regularization is necessary.
The troposphere parameters are pre–eliminated:

To keep the a priori and estimated sets of coordinates in the resulting SINEX file consistent, we introduce the coordinate solution of the session in “Station coordinates” in “ADDNEQ2 1.1: Input Files 1” and constrain all coordinate parameters to these values.

The normal equation file ($P$/INTRO/SOL/RED_20190440.NQ0) contains only the station coordinate parameters. The following section of the program output documents the pre–elimination of the troposphere parameters:

```
SUMMARY OF RESULTS
----------------------
Number of parameters:
----------------------

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter type</th>
<th>Adjusted</th>
<th>Expl. (elim)*</th>
<th>Del.</th>
<th>Ref. Sing.</th>
<th>No obs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Station coordinates / velocities</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site-specific troposphere parameters</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously pre-eliminated parameters</td>
<td>1065</td>
<td>1065</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number</td>
<td>1609</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1558</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
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Statistics:
----------
Total number of authentic observations 133235
Total number of pseudo-observations 493
Total number of explicit parameters 51
Total number of implicit parameters 1558
Total number of observations 133728
Total number of adjusted parameters 1609
Degree of freedom (DOF) 132119
A posteriori RMS of unit weight 0.001089 m
Chi**2/DOF 1.19
Total number of observation files 16
Total number of stations 17

You can also see that the number of explicit parameters in the NQ0 file was dramatically reduced (from 544 to 51). This is an advantage for the combination of a big number of normal equation files for the estimation of station velocities.

6.5 Velocity Estimation

6.5.1 Preparation for ITRF 2020/IGS 20 Velocity Estimation

This section can be skipped if no ITRF 2020/IGS 20 reference frame is used as geodetic datum.

Because of the PSD corrections, the linear station velocity may not represent the actual station velocity and one has to prepare the station coordinate and velocity files before they can be used for the datum definition in the program ADDNEQ2. We have to compute the station coordinates at the reference epoch using the program COOVEL ("Menu>Service >Coordinate tools>Extrapolate coordinates"): 
In our example none of the stations that shall be used for the datum definition is related to the PSD corrections. So, you can continue here. If you have another station selection where the PSD corrections become relevant the distributed BPE ${U}/PCF/ITRF.PCF may be helpful. In the related README file you will find further instructions. It can be accessed via "Menu>Help>Readme" (Section Example BPE).

6.5.2 Velocity Estimation Based on NEQ Files

The velocity estimation in program ADDNEQ2 is easy. Introduce the normal equation files containing only the station coordinate parameters. Independent from your success in following the example so far, you may copy the prepared files for all days (044/045 year 2019, 179/180 year 2020, and 095/096 year 2021) from ${S}/RNX2SNX/2019/SOL/RED_2019*NQ0, ${S}/RNX2SNX/2020/SOL/RED_2020*NQ0, and ${S}/RNX2SNX/2021/SOL/RED_2021*NQ0 into the ${P}/INTRO/SOL/ directory. The normal equation files have to cover a reasonable time interval to reliably estimate velocities (in this case about two years):
Station velocities are set up by marking the corresponding checkbox:

Furthermore, we check the repeatability of the daily solutions after the velocity estimation. The coordinates in the resulting file will refer to the epoch 2015 01 01.
This panel is important for stations where an equipment change has taken place during the interval covered by this solution.

The input NEQ files only contain coordinates:
The realization of the geodetic datum is done separately for positions and velocities in the following panels:
6.5 Velocity Estimation

The following panel provides options to detect bad daily solutions based on the repeatability:

After the velocity estimation the repeatability of the coordinate solutions from the individual normal equations look like:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>RMS (m)</th>
<th>DDF</th>
<th>Chi**2/DDF</th>
<th>#Observations</th>
<th>#Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00109</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>133677</td>
<td>3, 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00106</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>136030</td>
<td>3, 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.00148</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>148986</td>
<td>3, 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00146</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>148917</td>
<td>3, 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.00110</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>139733</td>
<td>3, 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00111</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>137543</td>
<td>3, 48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of individual solutions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Campaign</th>
<th>RMS</th>
<th>Chi**2/DDF</th>
<th>#Observations</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>#Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>10004M004</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRST</td>
<td>10004M004</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-1.59</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GARP</td>
<td>11515M001</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERT</td>
<td>13212M100</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMA</td>
<td>12209M001</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATE</td>
<td>12734M008</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIKL</td>
<td>12335M001</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNSA</td>
<td>10402M004</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRID</td>
<td>15601M001</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTBB</td>
<td>14234M001</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Below this table, bad daily solutions according to the settings in panel “ADDNEQ2 7: Comparison of Individual Solutions” are summarized (if there are any). In this example we have no additional section and, therefore, no outliers.

Directly below the Solution Statistics potential changes of the equipment are reported that might influence the velocity estimation. In our example, four stations are affected:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>First obs. epoch</th>
<th>Last obs. epoch</th>
<th>Receiver type</th>
<th>Antenna type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JOZ2 12204M002</td>
<td>2019-02-13 00:00:00</td>
<td>2019-02-14 23:59:30</td>
<td>LEICA GRX1200GGPRD</td>
<td>LEIATS04GG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOZ2 12204M002</td>
<td>2020-06-27 00:00:00</td>
<td>2021-04-06 23:59:30</td>
<td>TRIMBLE NTR69</td>
<td>TRMS9800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONSA 10402M004</td>
<td>2019-02-13 00:00:00</td>
<td>2019-02-14 23:59:30</td>
<td>JAYAD TRE_G3TH DELTA AGAD/M_B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONSA 10402M004</td>
<td>2020-06-27 00:00:00</td>
<td>2021-04-06 23:59:30</td>
<td>SEPT POLARX5TR</td>
<td>AGAD/M_B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORID 15601M001</td>
<td>2019-02-13 00:00:00</td>
<td>2019-02-14 23:59:30</td>
<td>LEICA GRX1200GGPRD</td>
<td>LEIATS04GG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORID 15601M001</td>
<td>2020-06-27 00:00:00</td>
<td>2021-04-06 23:59:30</td>
<td>LEICA GR30</td>
<td>LEIAR25.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTBB 14234M001</td>
<td>2019-02-13 00:00:00</td>
<td>2019-02-14 23:59:30</td>
<td>ASHTECH Z-XIII</td>
<td>ASH70093GE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTBB 14234M001</td>
<td>2020-06-27 00:00:00</td>
<td>2021-04-06 23:59:30</td>
<td>SEPT POLARX5TR</td>
<td>LEIAR25.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL 13406M001</td>
<td>2019-02-13 00:00:00</td>
<td>2019-02-14 23:59:30</td>
<td>SEPT POLARX4</td>
<td>SEPCHASE_MIG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILL 13406M001</td>
<td>2020-06-27 00:00:00</td>
<td>2021-04-06 23:59:30</td>
<td>SEPT POLARX5</td>
<td>SEPCHASE_B3EG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If you compare the velocities obtained for the two sites in Kötzting (WTZR and WTZZ) and Zimmerwald (ZIM2 and ZIMM) you will find small differences:

```
Station coordinates and velocities:
----------------------------------
Reference epoch: 2015-01-01 00:00:00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Typ</th>
<th>A priori value</th>
<th>Estimated value</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>RMS error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VX</td>
<td>-0.01593</td>
<td>-0.01269</td>
<td>0.00334</td>
<td>0.00028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VY</td>
<td>0.01721</td>
<td>0.01765</td>
<td>0.00044</td>
<td>0.00012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VZ</td>
<td>0.01006</td>
<td>0.01349</td>
<td>0.00343</td>
<td>0.00311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>-0.00004</td>
<td>0.00475</td>
<td>0.00479</td>
<td>0.00040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VN</td>
<td>0.01843</td>
<td>0.01514</td>
<td>-0.00029</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VE</td>
<td>0.02033</td>
<td>0.02001</td>
<td>-0.00032</td>
<td>0.00010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|              | VX  | -0.01593        | -0.01426        | 0.00167    | 0.00020   |
|              | VY  | 0.01721         | 0.01772         | 0.00051    | 0.00009   |
|              | VZ  | 0.01006         | 0.01273         | 0.00267    | 0.00022   |
|              | VU  | -0.00004        | 0.00312         | 0.00316    | 0.00029   |
|              | VN  | 0.01843         | 0.01585         | 0.00043    | 0.00009   |
|              | VE  | 0.02033         | 0.02045         | 0.00012    | 0.00007   |

|              | VX  | -0.01378        | -0.01286        | 0.00092    | 0.00020   |
|              | VY  | 0.01810         | 0.01806         | -0.00004   | 0.00008   |
|              | VZ  | 0.01164         | 0.01179         | 0.00015    | 0.00021   |
|              | VU  | 0.00076         | 0.00150         | 0.00073    | 0.00027   |
|              | VN  | 0.01621         | 0.01565         | -0.00056   | 0.00009   |
|              | VE  | 0.01974         | 0.01957         | -0.00016   | 0.00007   |

|              | VX  | -0.01378        | -0.01318        | 0.00060    | 0.00031   |
|              | VY  | 0.01810         | 0.01769         | -0.00041   | 0.00011   |
|              | VZ  | 0.01164         | 0.01222         | 0.00088    | 0.00031   |
|              | VU  | 0.00076         | 0.00156         | 0.00080    | 0.00042   |
|              | VN  | 0.01621         | 0.01621         | 0.00000    | 0.00014   |
|              | VE  | 0.01974         | 0.01925         | -0.00048   | 0.00010   |
```

You can constrain the velocity estimates for the pairs of receivers at one location in the station information file. Copy the original station information file `${P}/INTRO/STA/EXAMPLE.STA` and add the following lines to part TYPE 004: STATION COORDINATES AND VELOCITIES (ADDNEQ) of this copy.

```
TYPE 004: STATION COORDINATES AND VELOCITIES (ADDNEQ)
---------------------------------------------------
STATION NAME 1 | STATION NAME 2 | REL. Constr. Position | Relative Constr. Velocity
---------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------
***************| ***************| **.*.*.*.*.*.*.*.*.*.*.*|**.*.*.*.*.*.*.*.*.*.*.*
WTZR 14201M010 | WTZZ 14201M014 | ... EAST UP NORTH EAST UP | 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000
ZIM2 14001M008 | ZIMM 14001M004 | ... EAST UP NORTH EAST UP | 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000
```

(Pay attention to the number of blank lines before the next section starts.)

When introducing this information, the program ADDNEQ2 will issue the following message:

```
### SR AOPTNET: You are going to use relative constraints for station coordinates/velocities from station info file.
Please keep in mind that you will NOT constrain the estimated results but only the improvements of the a priori values.
```

If only the improvements (column Correction) for the velocities are constrained, you must make sure that also the a priori values (column A priori value) for the velocities are identical to obtain (column Estimated value) the same velocities for a group of stations.
You can verify this in the input velocity file \( \$\{P\}/INTRO/STA/EXAMPLE.VEL \):

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
370 & WTZR & 14201M010 & -0.01593 & 0.01721 & 0.01006 & IG20 \ EURA \\
371 & WTZZ & 14201M014 & -0.01593 & 0.01721 & 0.01006 & HKR \ EURA \\
383 & ZIMZ & 14001M008 & -0.01378 & 0.01810 & 0.01164 & IG20 \ EURA \\
385 & ZIMM & 14001M004 & -0.01378 & 0.01810 & 0.01164 & IG20 \ EURA \\
\end{array}
\]

If this is not the case, you should unify the a priori values.

The relative constraining of the velocity estimates is confirmed in the section of the input parameters (below the a priori coordinates and velocities) of the ADDNEQ2-program output:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station names between stations:</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>relative constraints for velocities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Station names</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>N (m/year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTZR 14201M010</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTZZ 14201M014</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Introducing this modified station information file instead of the original one you will get the following estimates for the station velocities in Kötzting and Zimmerwald:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Typ</th>
<th>A priori value</th>
<th>Estimated value</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>RMS error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WTZR 14201M010</td>
<td>VX</td>
<td>-0.01593</td>
<td>-0.01371</td>
<td>0.00222</td>
<td>0.00016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VY</td>
<td>0.01721</td>
<td>0.01768</td>
<td>0.00047</td>
<td>0.00007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VZ</td>
<td>0.01006</td>
<td>0.01300</td>
<td>0.00294</td>
<td>0.00018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>-0.00004</td>
<td>0.00367</td>
<td>0.00371</td>
<td>0.00023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VN</td>
<td>0.01543</td>
<td>0.01564</td>
<td>0.00021</td>
<td>0.00008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VE</td>
<td>0.02033</td>
<td>0.02029</td>
<td>-0.00004</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTZG 14201M014</td>
<td>VX</td>
<td>-0.01593</td>
<td>-0.01372</td>
<td>0.00221</td>
<td>0.00016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VY</td>
<td>0.01721</td>
<td>0.01768</td>
<td>0.00047</td>
<td>0.00007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VZ</td>
<td>0.01006</td>
<td>0.01301</td>
<td>0.00295</td>
<td>0.00018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>-0.00004</td>
<td>0.00367</td>
<td>0.00371</td>
<td>0.00023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VN</td>
<td>0.01543</td>
<td>0.01564</td>
<td>0.00022</td>
<td>0.00007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VE</td>
<td>0.02033</td>
<td>0.02029</td>
<td>-0.00004</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIMZ 14001M008</td>
<td>VX</td>
<td>-0.01378</td>
<td>-0.01283</td>
<td>0.00095</td>
<td>0.00016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VY</td>
<td>0.01810</td>
<td>0.01793</td>
<td>-0.00017</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VZ</td>
<td>0.01164</td>
<td>0.01198</td>
<td>0.00034</td>
<td>0.00016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>0.00076</td>
<td>0.00164</td>
<td>0.00088</td>
<td>0.00021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VN</td>
<td>0.01621</td>
<td>0.01577</td>
<td>-0.00044</td>
<td>0.00007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VE</td>
<td>0.01974</td>
<td>0.01948</td>
<td>-0.00029</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIMM 14001M004</td>
<td>VX</td>
<td>-0.01378</td>
<td>-0.01283</td>
<td>0.00095</td>
<td>0.00016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VY</td>
<td>0.01810</td>
<td>0.01793</td>
<td>-0.00017</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VZ</td>
<td>0.01164</td>
<td>0.01198</td>
<td>0.00034</td>
<td>0.00016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>0.00076</td>
<td>0.00164</td>
<td>0.00088</td>
<td>0.00021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VN</td>
<td>0.01621</td>
<td>0.01578</td>
<td>-0.00044</td>
<td>0.00007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VE</td>
<td>0.01974</td>
<td>0.01946</td>
<td>-0.00029</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.6 Daily Goals

At the end of today’s session, you should have:

1. used GPSEST to compute a final solution of the day, created files: FIX_20190440.OUT, FIX_20190440.NQ0;
2. checked the coordinates of the fiducial sites using ADDNEQ2 and HELMR1, created files: FIN_20190440.CRD, FIN_20190440.TRP, optionally also FIN_20190440.TRO, FIN_20190440.OUT, and HLM_20190440.OUT – these results should be available for all sessions;
3. used COMPAR2 to check the daily repeatabilities, created file: COMPAR.OUT;
4. used ADDNEQ2 to create a final session solution, and reduced size NEQs, created files: RED_20190440.NQ0 and RED_20190440.SNX;
5. if possible, used ADDNEQ2 for velocity estimation, created files: FINAL.CRD and FINAL.VEL.
7 Additional Examples

In the previous terminal sessions you have estimated coordinates, velocities, and troposphere parameters. This is the standard application of the Bernese GNSS Software for most users.

If you have finished this work or if you want to follow more examples at home, this section of the document provides some suggestions to practice:

- generation of a combined GPS/GLONASS orbit from IGS legacy product series (see Section 7.1 on page 126),
- kinematic positioning for a station (see Section 7.2 on page 132), and
- simulation of GNSS observations (see Section 7.3 on page 139).
7.1 Preparing Combined GPS and GLONASS legacy IGS–Orbits

In this section the differences to the standard procedure using CODE products containing GPS and GLONASS orbits with respect to IGS products are demonstrated. The IGS uses independent combination procedures for GPS and GLONASS orbits resulting in two sets of precise orbit files. That’s why the orbits for the two GNSS first need to be merged.

In contrast, CODE (and other AC) uses a rigorous combined multi–GNSS processing scheme, hence producing a single precise orbit file.

7.1.1 Prepare Pole Information

For the IGS precise orbit files (PRE) the consistent EOP need to be available in the ORB directory (which is the case in the Bernese Introductory Course environment). As for the use of CODE products in Section 3.1, the EOP information has to be converted from the IERS/IGS standard format (file extension within the Bernese GNSS Software is IEP) to the internal Bernese EOP format (file extension within the Bernese GNSS Software is ERP). This is the task of the program POLUPD (“Menu”>Orbits/EOP>Handle EOP files>Convert IERS to Bernese Format”). Simply specify IGS–related filenames.
7.1 Preparing Combined GPS and GLONASS legacy IGS–Orbits

Because the input “Foreign formatted ERP files” is generated using the modelling of the operational processing within the IGS in that time for the “Subdaily ERP model” as well as the “Meanpole model” we have to switch it back to maintain the compatibility:

Because the IGS “Foreign formatted ERP files” contain only the noon epoch we have to enable the checkbox for option “Use ERP rates” in order to cover the full interval of the session in the resulting “Bernese formatted ERP file (out)”.

The messages

```plaintext
### PG POLUPD : NUTATION MODEL NOT SPECIFIED IN INPUT ERP FILE
USING NUTATION MODEL NAME : IAU2000R06
### PG POLUPD : SUBDAILY POLE MODEL NOT SPECIFIED IN INPUT ERP FILE
USING SUBDAILY POLE MODEL NAME : IERS2010
```

just inform you that the nutation and subdaily pole models from the files in the input panel are written to the output file because no Bernese formatted ERP file was used as input. This is different to importing the EOP from CODE products because here the information on the nutation and subdaily pole model is also available in the international format (with the extension IEP).

7.1.2 Merging Precise Orbit Files

Before we can prepare the orbits from the IGS for a combined GPS+GLONASS processing we need to merge the two separate files IGS15941.PRE and IGL15941.PRE. This is the task of the program CCPREORB (*Menu>EOP>Concatenate/merge precise orbit files*):
The resulting filename consists of the solution identifier ("First four characters") and the session of the first epoch (if "Reference epoch for output files" is empty). The reference epoch may also be specified by the user as the above example shows. Using the input options from above panel we expect the result file named as \${P}/INTO/ORB/GNSS0440. PRE.

In the next panel you may specify the time window for which the satellite positions shall be included in the resulting precise orbit file.
7.1 Preparing Combined GPS and GLONASS legacy IGS–Orbits

7.1.3 Generating Standard Orbit Files

To generate the standard orbits (extension STD) from the merged precise orbits the program ORBGEN ("Menu>Orbits/EOP>Create/update standard orbits") has to be used. Introduce the merged IGS–related precise orbit file together with the consistent ERP file:

Adjust the entries for the “Satellite information” (including the correct antenna model based on IGS 14) and the “Subdaily ERP model” to be consistent to the introduced “Earth rotation parameters” file:
7 Additional Examples

The name of the resulting orbit file should also be related to IGS:

In the general case it is preferable to setup the pulses just in an equidistant spacing by changing option “Setup of stochastic pulses” to Spacing. The interval in “Interval for stochastic pulses” is recommended to be relatively short in order to obtain a “reasonable” representation of the introduced positions in the precise orbit file. In case of IGS orbits one set of pulses at noon is sufficient.

Now you should go back to panel “ORBGEN 3.3: Numerical Integration” and increase the values for options “EQUATION OF MOTION: Length of interval” and “VARIATIONAL EQUATIONS: Length of interval”. We don’t need to support the pulses at uneven epoch.
The other options can be used as given in Section 3.2. The resulting program output is expected to look like

```
--------------------------------------------------- ----------------------------
RMS ERRORS AND MAX. RESIDUALS ARC NUMBER: 1 ITERATION: 2
--------------------------------------------------- ----------------------------
QUADRATIC MEAN OF O-C (M) MAX. RESIDUALS (M)
SAT # POS RMS (M) TOTAL RADIAL ALONG OUT RADIAL ALONG OUT
--- ---- ------- ----------------------------- -------- ------------
 1 96 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.001 0.006 0.005 0.003
 2 96 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.001 0.008 0.005 0.004
 3 96 0.003 0.003 0.004 0.002 0.003 0.013 0.007 0.006
 4 96 0.004 0.003 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.011 0.007 0.005
 5 96 0.003 0.003 0.005 0.002 0.001 0.020 0.007 0.003
 6 96 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.005 0.004 0.004
 7 96 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.002 0.015 0.006 0.005
 8 96 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.001 0.002 0.006 0.007 0.004
 9 96 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.002 0.006 0.007 0.004
10 96 0.003 0.003 0.004 0.002 0.002 0.006 0.007 0.004
11 96 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.003 0.002 0.006 0.007 0.004
12 96 0.004 0.004 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.018 0.007 0.005
13 96 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.003 0.003 0.005
......
28 96 0.005 0.004 0.007 0.003 0.002 0.031 0.007 0.007
29 96 0.003 0.003 0.004 0.003 0.002 0.007 0.008 0.003
30 96 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.005 0.004 0.004
......
101 96 0.007 0.007 0.010 0.006 0.003 0.018 0.013 0.007
102 96 0.003 0.003 0.004 0.002 0.002 0.019 0.006 0.009
103 96 0.005 0.005 0.008 0.003 0.002 0.014 0.010 0.008
104 96 0.006 0.006 0.009 0.004 0.003 0.015 0.010 0.007
105 96 0.005 0.005 0.007 0.003 0.003 0.012 0.013 0.006
107 96 0.006 0.006 0.009 0.004 0.003 0.017 0.016 0.006
108 96 0.005 0.005 0.008 0.003 0.002 0.013 0.010 0.005
109 96 0.004 0.004 0.006 0.003 0.003 0.012 0.012 0.009
......
120 96 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.002 0.002 0.007 0.007 0.016
121 96 0.004 0.004 0.005 0.002 0.002 0.009 0.008 0.009
122 96 0.004 0.004 0.006 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.007 0.006
123 96 0.004 0.004 0.005 0.002 0.003 0.010 0.005 0.009
124 96 0.003 0.003 0.002 0.001 0.002 0.004 0.004 0.014
126 96 0.007 0.006 0.007 0.006 0.007 0.013 0.011 0.013
```

The RMS error for the orbit fit for precise IGS orbits should be below 5 mm (for older orbits it may also achieve 10...15 mm).

The file `$(P)/INTRO/OUT/IGS_2019044.LST` contains the same results as displayed on page 31 but contains also the GLONASS satellite orbits:

```
TIME FROM DAY : 3 GPS WEEK: 2040
TO DAY : 4 GPS WEEK: 2040
--------------------------------------------------- ----------------------------
ORBIT REPEATABILITY FROM A 1-DAY FIT THROUGH DAILY ORBIT SOLUTIONS (MM)
# ECLIPSING SATELLITES: 8 E / 0 M ( 0 EM)
ECL DOY 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ... 31 32 101 102 103 104 105 107 ... 122 123 124 126
DOY 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ... 31 32 101 102 103 104 105 107 ... 122 123 124 126
044 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 1 1 7 3 5 6 5 6 ... 4 3 6
ALL 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 1 1 7 3 5 6 5 6 ... 4 3 6
```

If you want to use these orbit files in the processing programs, you have to replace the CODE-related by the IGS-related filenames for the standard orbit and EOP files.
7.2 Kinematic Positioning

7.2.1 Estimating Kinematic Positions in a Double–Difference Solution

The example campaign contains no really roving stations. You can, however, define one of them to be kinematic (e.g., station GANP). Introduce the coordinates from the final solution ($\{P\}/INTRO/STA/FIN_20190440.CRD) for all other sites.

Remove the name of the resulting “Normal equations” file in panel “GPSEST 2.1: Output Files 1” if there is any entry in this input field. Store the kinematic coordinates in an output file (“Output kinematic coordinates” in panel “GPSEST 2.2: Output Files 2”).

![Example image of GPSEST software interface](image-url)
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- Program output: [ ] use GPSEST.Lan or [ ] merged to program output or [ ] ERROR MSG
- Station coordinates: [ ] CRD
- Satellite orbital elements: [ ] ELE
- Earth rotation parameters: [ ] ERP
- Earth rotation parameters (IDRS): [ ] IEP
- Tropospheric estimates: [ ] TRP
- Tropospheric estimates (SINEX): [ ] TRO
- Tropospheric slant delays: [ ] TRO
- Ionosphere models: [ ] ION
- Ionosphere models (SINEX): [ ] INX
Because the number of parameters for the kinematic positioning may become very large, we select only a short data interval of one hour for this kinematic positioning:
The option “Activate extended program output” may be disabled now:

Fix all station coordinates apart from GANP in the panels “GPSEST 4: Datum Definition for Station Coordinates” (choose MANUAL in panel “GPSEST 4: Datum Definition for Station Coordinates” and select all stations except GANP in panel “GPSEST 4.2”).
7.2 Kinematic Positioning

Enable the kinematic coordinates option without any pre-elimination in a first run:

Because of the short analysis interval of only one hour we disable the estimation of troposphere gradients:
An additional panel for options related to epoch parameters is displayed where you can accept the default values:

Let us assume only horizontal movements for this site:

7.2.2 Extracting the Program Output from a Kinematic Positioning

As expected you will get only small estimates for the kinematic coordinates since GANP was not moving:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Typ</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>Estimated value</th>
<th>RMS error</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>Num</th>
<th>Abb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001 X 41</td>
<td>0.00446</td>
<td>3929181.30273</td>
<td>0.00244</td>
<td>2019-02-13 18:00:00</td>
<td>35 KIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001 Y 41</td>
<td>0.00241</td>
<td>1455236.95493</td>
<td>0.00260</td>
<td>2019-02-13 18:00:00</td>
<td>36 KIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001 Z 41</td>
<td>-0.00436</td>
<td>4793654.03164</td>
<td>0.00244</td>
<td>2019-02-13 18:00:00</td>
<td>37 KIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001 X 40</td>
<td>-0.00286</td>
<td>3929181.29541</td>
<td>0.00241</td>
<td>2019-02-13 18:00:00</td>
<td>38 KIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001 Y 40</td>
<td>0.00213</td>
<td>1455236.95465</td>
<td>0.00260</td>
<td>2019-02-13 18:00:00</td>
<td>39 KIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001 Z 40</td>
<td>0.00169</td>
<td>4793654.03769</td>
<td>0.00209</td>
<td>2019-02-13 18:00:00</td>
<td>40 KIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001 X 39</td>
<td>0.00041</td>
<td>3929181.29868</td>
<td>0.00241</td>
<td>2019-02-13 18:00:00</td>
<td>41 KIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001 Y 39</td>
<td>0.00002</td>
<td>1455236.95313</td>
<td>0.00260</td>
<td>2019-02-13 18:00:00</td>
<td>42 KIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001 Z 39</td>
<td>-0.00034</td>
<td>4793654.03666</td>
<td>0.00206</td>
<td>2019-02-13 18:00:00</td>
<td>43 KIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001 X 38</td>
<td>-0.00237</td>
<td>3929181.29590</td>
<td>0.00241</td>
<td>2019-02-13 18:00:00</td>
<td>44 KIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001 Y 38</td>
<td>0.00097</td>
<td>1455236.95151</td>
<td>0.00260</td>
<td>2019-02-13 18:00:00</td>
<td>45 KIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001 Z 38</td>
<td>0.00164</td>
<td>4793654.03764</td>
<td>0.00203</td>
<td>2019-02-13 18:00:00</td>
<td>46 KIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001 X 39</td>
<td>-0.00241</td>
<td>3929181.29586</td>
<td>0.00241</td>
<td>2019-02-13 18:30:00</td>
<td>47 KIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001 Y 39</td>
<td>-0.00101</td>
<td>1455236.95151</td>
<td>0.00264</td>
<td>2019-02-13 18:30:00</td>
<td>48 KIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP 11515M001 Z 39</td>
<td>0.00226</td>
<td>4793654.03826</td>
<td>0.00203</td>
<td>2019-02-13 18:30:00</td>
<td>49 KIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.2 Kinematic Positioning

With the program GPSXTR ("Menu>Processing>Program output extraction>Parameter estimation/stacking") a comprehensive summary of the estimates for the kinematic solution can be extracted:

The resulting summary file looks like:

```
* STATION GANP 11515 M001
* XEPO 12
* INI 3929181.2983 1455236.9525 4793654.0360
* EST 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000
* DIFXYZ 0.0006 0.0005 -0.0006
* DIFNEU -0.0010 0.0002 -0.0000
* -----------------------------------------------
* EPOCH DN DE DU
* 58527.75000 -0.0066 0.0007 -0.0000
* 58527.75347 0.0026 0.0030 0.0000
* 58527.75694 -0.0005 -0.0001 -0.0000
* 58527.76042 -0.0016 0.0017 0.0000
* 58527.76389 0.0009 0.0009 0.0000
* 58527.76736 0.0028 0.0017 0.0000
* 58527.77083 0.0035 -0.0001 -0.0000
* 58527.77431 -0.0026 0.0014 0.0000
* 58527.77788 -0.0035 -0.0018 -0.0000
* 58527.78125 -0.0042 0.0032 0.0000
* 58527.78472 -0.0004 -0.0033 -0.0000
* 58527.78819 -0.0017 -0.0045 -0.0000
* -----------------------------------------------
* AVG -0.0010 0.0002 -0.0000
* SIG 0.0006 0.0002 0.0000
* RMS 0.0006 0.0002 0.0000
* RMSTC 0.0006
```

The different components of the summary are described in the online help.
7.2.3 Further suggestions

- Introduce the result file with kinematic coordinates as an input file for another run of GPSEST. If the estimates become zero it is a confirmation that the file was correctly considered as the a priori kinematic positions for the station GANP.

- Use the pre-elimination EVERY_EPOCH for the “Kinematic coordinates” (they are back-substituted by the program in order to get a solution also for those parameters). Compare the results with the first solution.

- Switch the “Var-covar wrt epoch parameters” in panel “GPSEST 6.7: General Options for Epoch Parameters” from SIMPLIFIED to CORRECT. Compare the results again with the first solution.

- Compute kinematic coordinates for the full day using the epoch-wise pre-elimination and back-substitution algorithm. To save computing power we recommend to sample the data to 300 s.

- Repeat the kinematic solution considering only one of the GNSSs at the time in panel “GPSEST 3.1: General Options 1”).
7.3 Simulation of GNSS Observations

The Bernese GNSS Software provides the simulation tool GPSSIM ("Menu>Service>Generate simulated observation data"). It generates synthetic GNSS (i.e., GPS, GLONASS, Galileo, BDS, and QZSS) observations for terrestrial static or kinematic stations as well as for LEOs. Code and phase zero difference observation files can be created based on an observation scenario defined by

- GNSS satellite geometry given by a standard orbit and
- a set of receivers with positions from a coordinate file, kinematic positions, or a LEO standard orbit file.

7.3.1 Simulation of GNSS Observations

It is important that you remove all previously existing observation files for this session from the OBS directory of your campaign before you start to simulate observations. Otherwise you run into the danger of mixing your current set of simulated observations with other measurements:

```
bern54@carina:~ > rm $(P)/INTRO/OBS/????0440.CZ?
bern54@carina:~ > rm $(P)/INTRO/OBS/????0440.PZ?
```

Please keep in mind that the observation files from your previous work in this campaign are lost due to this command. If you still need them, please copy them away.

The input files for the generation of synthetic GNSS observations with program GPSSIM ("Menu>Service>Generate simulated observation data") are defined in the first input panel:
Select **EXAMPLE.ABB** in option “Abbreviation table”. Take care on the consistency for the other entries.

In the next panel, the interval for data simulation is defined and the list of stations selected from all sites in the input “Coordinate file”: 
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The meta data for each station is specified in this panel:

- The “Receiver” for each station must start with SIMULA to indicate that this is a simulated station. In the input field you may only extend this string by a user input.
- The selection of the systems for the simulation is given by the content of your standard orbit file. The signal types used for the two frequencies for each of these GNSS are given in the “Observation selection” file also defined in panel “GPSSIM 1.2: General Files”:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receiver type</th>
<th>S/S</th>
<th>O/F</th>
<th>RINEX observation codes and their priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SIMULA</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>1P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>2P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>1P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>2P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>1P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>2P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>1P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>2P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>1C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>5Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>1C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>5Q</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Note that each of these frequencies needs a corresponding antenna correction in the “Antenna corrections” file given in panel “GPSSIM 1.2: General Files”. The simplest definition for this application is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antenna/Radome type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Sys</th>
<th>Frq</th>
<th>Typ</th>
<th>D(O)</th>
<th>D(Z)</th>
<th>D(A)</th>
<th>M(Z)</th>
<th>S/INDEX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SIMULA</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0 G</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the subsequent panels some basic characteristics, assumptions on the ionospheric conditions, and the noise level are introduced. Even cycle slips may be simulated.

The observations are generated without noise to check the consistency with the processing program in the subsequent sections.
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7.3.2 Zero Difference Solution from Simulated GNSS Observations

The simulated observations can directly be introduced in program GPSEST for an analysis on zero difference level (if you have not simulated cycle slips). Please pay attention to the consistency of all input files with respect to the simulation:
Result files can be specified to compare the results with the inputs for GPSSIM. A residual file might also be useful.

The processing models also have to be consistent with the simulation or to contain well-defined differences which are the subject of your investigation:
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No constraints for datum definition are needed because these are noise-free simulated data:

Only the receiver and satellite clocks are estimated:
The resulting program output file looks like the usual output from GPSEST but with perfect observations without any noise:
No improvements for the station coordinates and other parameters are expected:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sol</th>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Typ</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>Estimated value</th>
<th>RMS error</th>
<th>A priori value</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>Abb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-0.00000</td>
<td>4231162.41917</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>4231162.41917</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>CRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>-332746.42575</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>-332746.42575</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>CRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GANP 11515M001</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-0.00000</td>
<td>3929181.29827</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>3929181.29827</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>CRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GANP 11515M001</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>1465236.95252</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>1465236.95252</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>CRD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The inter-system and inter-frequency biases have been assumed to be zero during the simulation (what is equivalent to any other constant number):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>#obs</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>Estimated value</th>
<th>RMS error</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>Abb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRST 10004M004</td>
<td>60 R</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>2019-02-13 00:00:00</td>
<td>CRK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANP 11515M001</td>
<td>60 R</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>2019-02-13 00:00:00</td>
<td>CRK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The same holds for the epoch-wise clocks.

The residuals in the optional residual output file of such a dataset are well below the $1 \mu m$ level.

**7.3.3 Double–Difference Solution from Simulated GNSS Observations**

As in the beginning of the simulation, you should make sure that no other baseline observation files for the current session exist in the OBS-directory of your campaign to prevent any interferences and mixtures of simulated measurements with other ones.

bern54@carina:~ > rm ${P}/INTRO/OBS/????0440.PS?
The simulated measurements can also be processed in the double-difference mode. In that case you have to start with forming baselines using the program SNGDIF (*Menu* > *Processing > Create baseline files*) in nearly the same way as in Section 4.2.2 for real observations:

The main difference is that you should also keep all ambiguities from the zero difference in the baseline observation files, what is managed by checking the box for option "Merge ambiguities from input files".
The resulting baseline files from simulated observations can now be analyzed with program GPSEST:

![GPSEST Interface](image-url)
7 Additional Examples

The models are selected to be fully consistent with the simulation:

No other parameters than station coordinates and ambiguities are estimated:
The results are analouge to the zero difference case previously described:

```
... Statistics:  
---------------
Total number of authentic observations 118515
Total number of pseudo-observations 16
Total number of explicit parameters 2763
Total number of implicit parameters 0
Total number of observations 118531
Total number of adjusted parameters 2763
Degree of freedom (DOF) 115768
A posteriori RMS of unit weight 0.000000 m
Chi**2/DOF 0.00
Total number of observation files 16
Total number of stations 17
... 
```

The ambiguities are set up in a way that the correct resolution for all ambiguities is zero in any case. This is an easy way to verify ambiguity resolution strategies.

### 7.3.4 Final Remarks

There are many opportunities to use this simulation tool. It depends on your needs and the concrete target of the simulation study to define the experiment. As it was just demonstrated the full consistency between the processing and the simulation programs is guaranteed by the Bernese GNSS Software.

The big advantage of a simulation is that the correct solution is known a priori. On the other hand, you have to keep in mind that the simulated data can only contain effects included in the simulation model. If a receiver for instance introduces a significant variation of the inter-system bias between GPS and GLONASS data – an effect that is not considered in the simulation model – the influence of such an effect on the results cannot be evaluated by the simulation.