

Time varying gravity from SLR and combined SLR and high-low satellite-to-satellite tracking data

K. Sośnica (1), A. Jäggi (1), M. Weigelt (2), U. Meyer (1),
T. van Dam (2), N. Zehentner (3), T. Mayer-Gürr (3)

(1) Astronomical Institute, University of Bern, Switzerland

*(2) Faculté des Sciences, de la Technologie et de la Communication,
University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg*


*(3) Institute of Theoretical Geodesy and Satellite Geodesy, Graz University
of Technology, Austria*

GRACE Science Team Meeting 2014
29th Sep – 1st Oct 2014, Potsdam, Germany



Satellite Laser Ranging (SLR)

- SLR provides very precise (at **a few mm-level**) distance measurements between ground stations and satellites.
- SLR geodetic satellites have **minimized area-to-mass ratios**. They orbit the Earth at **higher altitudes** than the satellite missions dedicated to gravity recovery.
- **Up to now** SLR observations were mostly used for deriving low-degree gravity field coefficients (mainly **degree 2**) or **zonal harmonics**.
- We show that also **tesseral** and **sectorial harmonics up to d/o 10/10** of monthly gravity field models can be very **well derived from SLR** observations.




SLR station in Zimmerwald, Switzerland

SLR-only solutions

Space Segment of SLR satellites

- Up to **9 SLR satellites** with **different altitudes** and **different inclinations** are used.
- For LAGEOS-1 / 2 **10-day arcs** are generated without estimating once-per-revolution empirical accelerations in out-of-plane (due to correlations with C20).
- For **low orbiting satellites** **1-day arcs** are generated.
- **Different weighting** of observations is applied: from **8mm** for LAGEOS-1 / 2 to **50mm** for Beacon-C.



List of estimated parameters

Estimated parameters		SLR solutions
Orbits	Osculating elements	LAGEOS-1/2, Starlette, Stella, AJISAI, LARES, Blits, Larets, Beacon-C
	Dynamical parameters	$a, e, i, \Omega, \omega, u_0$ (LAGEOS: 1 set per 10 days, LEO: 1 set per 1 day)
	Pseudo-stochastic pulses	LAGEOS-1/2 : S_0, S_S, S_C (1 set per 10 days) Sta/Ste/AJI : C_D, S_C, S_S, W_C, W_S (1 set per day)
	Earth rotation parameters	$X_P, Y_P, UT1-UTC$ (Piecewise linear, 1 set per day)
Geocenter coordinates		1 set per 30 days
Earth gravity field		Estimated up to d/o 10/10 (1 set per 30 days)
Station coordinates		1 set per 30 days
Other parameters		Range biases for all stations (LEO) and for selected stations (LAGEOS)

AJISAI :

- Diameter: 2.15 m
- Mass: 685 kg
- Area-to-mass:
 $A/m: 58 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$

LAGEOS :



- Diameter: 0.60 m
- Mass: 407 kg
- $A/m: 6.9 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$

LARES :


- Diameter: 0.36 m
- Mass: 387 kg
- $A/m: 2.7 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$

Beacon-C:


- Dimension: unknown
- Mass: 32 kg
- Center-of-mass correction: unknown
- Area-to-mass: unknown
BUT: due to low inclination (41°) and large eccentricity (0.023), this satellite can be used for decorrelation of some gravity field parameters.



Processing scheme







Sensitivity of SLR satellites to SH coefficients







- LAGEOS-1 / 2 are very sensitive to degree 2 SH.
- LAGEOS sensitivity drops down for degrees higher than 4.
- LEOs are not very sensitive to even zonal coefficients (C20, C40, C60) due to short arcs (1-day) and estimated empirical orbit parameters.
- LARES remarkably contributes to degree 4 and 6.
- Contribution from Larets and Blits is small.

Comparison w.r.t. GRACE K-Band



SLR and GRACE solutions agree very well, especially for sectorial and tesseral SH coefficients.


Comparison w.r.t. GRACE K-Band



Even the coefficients of degree 6 can be still very well recovered by SLR.


SLR-only solutions

SLR can recover the **largest gravity variations**, e.g., in Amazon basin, Greenland, Africa, and South-East Asia. **The spatial resolution is, however, limited** due to **high satellite latitudes** and a low number of data.




Mean monthly gravity field variations up to d/o 10/10 derived from SLR-only (no filtering applied, scale in m)


SLR-only solutions




GRACE K-band solution
AIUB-RL02,
March 2011,
up to d/o 60/60
filtering 300 km




SLR-only solution,
March 2011,
up to d/o 10/10
no filtering




SLR-only solutions



GRACE K-band solution
AIUB-RL02,
March 2011,
up to d/o 10/10
no filtering




SLR-only solution,
March 2011,
up to d/o 10/10
no filtering




Combined SLR + hl-SST solutions

Combination of hlsST and SLR




In the combination with hlsST, SLR contributes mostly to degree 2 coefficients, but the relative weighting is still an open issue.


SLR+hl-SST solutions



GRACE K-band solution,
March 2011,
up to d/o 60/60
filtering 300 km




SLR+hl-SST solution,
March 2011,
up to d/o 90/90
filtering 500 km




GRACE vs. hl-SST vs. SLR+hl-SST



Annual amplitude in eq. water height [cm]



Annual amplitude in eq. water height [cm]




Annual amplitude in eq. water height [cm]




Combination of hl-SST solutions with SLR reduces the variations over oceans and some spurious signals.



GRACE vs. hl-SST vs. SLR+hl-SST



hl-SST




SLR+hl-SST




GRACE

Combination of hl-SST solutions with SLR reduces the variations over oceans and some spurious signals.


Summary




Low degree gravity field parameters can be well derived from SLR observations to geodetic satellites. Low-degree SH provide information about large-scale mass transport in the system Earth.



The mean difference of seasonal signal for low degree SH between SLR-only and GRACE K-band is $7.5E-12$, i.e., 23% of mean total annual signal. It implies that the agreement between SLR and GRACE is at 77% level by means of low degree SH.



The combination SLR + hl-SST provides information about mass transport in the system Earth with higher spatial resolution w.r.t. SLR-only solutions.



The AIUB SLR-only temporal gravity field solutions will be published soon on ICGEM website.




**Thank you
for your attention**

Back-up slides


Comparison of hISST+SLR w.r.t. GRACE

RMS of differences of monthly solutions (x1E-12)



The mean RMS of differences between estimated SH coefficients are: **41**, **47**, and **36.E-12** for **SLR vs. GRACE**, **SLR vs. hISST+SLR**, and **GRACE vs. hISST+SLR**, respectively.


Comparison of hISST+SLR w.r.t. GRACE



In hISST solutions the amplitudes are overestimated by about 12%.


The RMS of differences between estimated amplitudes are 7.5, 9.0, and 13.9E-12 for SLR-GRACE, SLR-hISST, and GRACE-hISST, respectively.

Comparison of hISST+SLR w.r.t. GRACE








hISST denotes hISST+SLR

Comparison of hISST+SLR w.r.t. GRACE



hISST denotes hISST+SLR

Comparison of SLR w.r.t. GRACE



Comparison of hISST+SLR w.r.t. GRACE

Amplitudes of annual signal (x1E-12)		
	Mean (up to d/o 4/4)	Mean without zonals
SLR	30.8	31.5
GRACE	34.2	31.6
hISST+SLR	40.0	36.2
Mean differences of annual amplitudes (x1E-12)		
SLR - GRACE	9.9	7.5
SLR - hISST+SLR	9.6	9.0
GRACE - hISST+SLR	17.3	13.9
Mean correlation coefficients		
SLR - GRACE	0.47	0.51
SLR - hISST+SLR	0.36	0.41
GRACE - hISST+SLR	0.44	0.52
RMS of differences (x1E-12)		
SLR - GRACE	42	41
SLR - hISST+SLR	52	47
GRACE - hISST+SLR	39	36

SLR Satellite Sensitivity to Gravity Field

Perturbing accel.	Accel. on LAGEOS	Accel. on AJISAI	Accel. on LARES	Accel. on Stella
Gravitational perturbations:				
· Earth's monopole	2.7	6.4	6.5	7.7
· Earth's oblateness C_{20}	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$
· Low-order grav. C_{22}	$6.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$
· Low-order grav. C_{66}	$8.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$3.1 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$6.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$
· Mid-order grav. C_{2020}	$8.1 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-7}$
· Grav. attr. of Moon	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$
· Grav. attr. of Sun	$9.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$6.4 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$6.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$5.7 \cdot 10^{-7}$
· Grav. attr. of Venus	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$8.5 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$8.5 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$7.8 \cdot 10^{-11}$
· Solid Earth tides	$3.7 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.9 \cdot 10^{-5}$
· Ocean tides	$3.7 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.9 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$
General relativity:				
· Schwarzschild effect	$2.8 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-8}$
· Lense-Thirring effect	$2.7 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$1.8 \cdot 10^{-10}$
· Geodetic precession	$3.4 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$4.2 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$4.2 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$4.3 \cdot 10^{-11}$
Non-gravitational perturbations:				
· Solar radiation pressure	$3.5 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$4.4 \cdot 10^{-9}$
· Earth radiation pressure	$4.4 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$8.6 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$3.9 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$1.8 \cdot 10^{-9}$
· Thermal re-radiation	$5.0 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$4.1 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$1.9 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$6.9 \cdot 10^{-11}$
· Light aberration	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$5.1 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-13}$
· Atmospheric drag (\sim min)	$0.8 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$2.6 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$5.0 \cdot 10^{-11}$
· Atmospheric drag (\sim max)	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-13}$	$5.9 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$4.8 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$5.0 \cdot 10^{-8}$



AJISAI:

- Diameter: 2.15 m
- Mass: 685 kg
- Area-to-mass:
A/m: $58 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$



LAGEOS:

- Diameter: 0.60 m
- Mass: 407 kg
- Area-to-mass:
A/m: $6.9 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$






LARES:

- Diameter: 0.36 m
- Mass: 387 kg
- Area-to-mass:
A/m: $2.7 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$

SLR solution with and without estimating gravity field

LoD w.r.t. IERS-08-C04



For **LoD**, the simultaneous estimation of the **gravity field parameters**:

- 1. reduces the **offset of LoD** estimates,
- 2. substantially reduces the **a posteriori error of estimated LoD**. The mean a posteriori error of LoD is **1.3, 16.9, 7.1, and 44.6 $\mu\text{s/day}$** in the **multi-SLR solution with gravity**, multi-SLR solution without gravity, LAGEOS-1/2 solution without gravity, and SLR-LEO solution without gravity field parameters, respectively.
- 2. reduces peaks in the spectral analysis, which correspond, e.g., to orbit modeling deficiencies (peaks of 222 days, i.e., draconitic year of LAGEOS-2, 280 days, i.e., eclipsing period of LAGEOS-1),

Estimated parameters of SLR satellites

Satellite	Nominal CoM [mm]	Estimated CoM [mm]	Error [mm]
Starlette	75	77.8	3.1
Stella	75	77.8	3.1
Blits	-209.6	-205.7	8.5
Larets	56.2	63.1	5.5
LARES	133	133.6	2.1
Beacon-C	no data	276.5	4.8
Beacon-C (N hemisphere)	no data	285.1	4.9
Beacon-C (S hemisphere)	no data	220.7	6.7

Due to the lack of information about the parameters of Beacon-C, the center-of-mass corrections had to be estimated on a basis of in-orbit analysis. A significant difference was found in CoM for SLR stations located in Southern and Northern hemispheres.

Estimated area-to-mass for Beacon-C: $A/m = 1.75 \pm 0.2 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$

For Larets, a significant difference w.r.t. nominal CoM was found.