Processing LEO Data and Gravity Field Determination

at AIUB: A Status Report

International GNSS Service Workshop 2012 23 - 27 July 2012, Olsztyn, Poland

INTRODUCTION

The GPS orbit and clock products of the Center for Orbit Determination in Europe (CODE) are used at the Astronomical Institute of the University of Bern (AIUB) for kinematic and reduced-dynamic orbit determination of Low Earth Orbiting (LEO) satellites. While the reduced-dynamic orbits depend on force models and aim at the highest possible precision, the kinematic orbits are independent from any force model and are therefore well suited for subsequent gravity field determination.

The spherical harmonic coefficients of the gravity field are set up as additional parameters in a generalized orbit determination problem using kinematic positions as pseudo-observations. Depending on the orbit height coefficients up to degree and order 120 (corresponding to a spatial resolution of 167 km) may be solved from the kinematic LEO orbits. In case of GRACE additional inter-satellite K-band measurements with micrometer accuracy allow for the determination of the static field up to degree 160 (125 km) and monthly gravity field solutions with a limited resolution of about degree 60. Finally the short scales of the gravity field are measured by the GOCE gradiometer and combined with the static part of the GRACE gravity model.

STATIC GRAVITY FIELD

The kinematic orbit positions are taken as pseudoobservations for gravity field determination. They are used together with GRACE K-band data and/or GOCE gradiometer observations to derive combined or mission specific static gravity field models. To minimize the impact of external information EGM96 is used as a priori model.

The characteristics of the solvable spectrum of gravity field coefficients depend on the satellite orbits (near polar in case of GRACE, sun-synchronous in case of GOCE) and on the type of observations. A careful combination preserves the strength of each type of data and each satellite mission (Figs. 4 and 5). U. Meyer¹, H. Bock¹, A. Jäggi¹ and G. Beutler¹

¹ Astronomical Institute, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland



Fig. 6: Gravity anomalies from 7 years of GRACE data combined with 8 months of GOCE data (the max. degree is 155).



LEO ORBIT DETERMINATION

So-called pseudo-stochastic orbit modeling techniques are used at AIUB. They allow for a very flexible orbit determination by estimating empirical parameters, e.g., piecewise constant accelerations, at a frequency of typically 6 to 15 minutes (depending on the orbit characteristics).

AIUB is responsible for the precise science orbit determination of the GOCE mission. Fig. 1 shows SLR residuals (kindly provided by Markus Heinze, IAPG) to assess the high quality of the reduced-dynamic GOCE orbits from spring 2009 to summer 2012. Temporary increases in the differences between kinematic and



Fig. 4: Difference degree medians to superior gravity field model GOCO02S (solid lines) and corresponding formal errors (dashdot). The contributions from different observation techniques from GRACE and GOCE are clearly visible.



Fig. 7: Differences between EGM2008 (truncated at degree 155) and combined GRACE/GOCE gravity model. Visible are errors in EGM2008 due to terrestrial data of poor quality.

TIME-VARIABLE GRAVITY FIELD

The GRACE mission was designed to track temporal variations of the gravity field. The ground track of the orbit densely covers the Earth's surface within one month (with sub-cycles of 4 to 7 days) and allows for monthly solutions of a reduced resolution (up to degree 60). In depth analysis at AIUB revealed mainly noise beyond order 45, giving rise to the release of a time series of monthly models with a confined solution space (60x45). Comparisons with other time series confirm their remarkable quality (Fig. 8). Evaluation of the monthly models in selected regions (Fig. 9) reveals a wealth of information about the hydrological cycle, climate change and post-seismic deformation.

reduced-dynamic orbits (Fig. 2) are clearly correlated with solar activity, as recorded by the mean Total Electron Content (TEC, Fig. 3).







Fig. 5: Improvement of combined GRACE/GOCE gravity model with increasing amount of GOCE data (difference degree amplitudes).

Gravity anomalies computed from the combined AIUB gravity model including 7 years of GRACE and 8 months of GOCE data (Fig. 6) show significantly less artifacts (stripes) than the corresponding GRACE only model (not shown). A comparison with EGM2008 reveals discrepancies in continental areas, where EGM2008 is know to be based on sparse or poor terrestrial gravity data (Fig. 7). Note that EGM2008 was truncated at degree 155 for the comparison (at shorter wavelengths Antarctica will show errors, too).



Fig. 8: Quality of monthly solutions in terms of weighted RMS over the oceans. A period of orbit resonance in Fall 2004 is marked in gray.

Date in 2009–2012

Fig. 2: Daily RMS of differences between kinematic and reduceddynamic GOCE orbits. An increase in the RMS generally points to a decrease in the quality of the kinematic orbit.



Fig. 3: Mean TEC as a measure for solar activity. The time span corresponds to Fig. 2. Correlations with orbit quality are clearly visible.



Poster compiled by U.Meyer, July 2012 Astronomical Institute, University of Bern, Bern ulrich.meyer@aiub.unibe.ch



Fig. 9: Temporal gravity variations (in meter of corresponding water height) observed by GRACE in two selected regions (blue: point values, smoothed with a 500 km Gauss filter, red: model fit including a trend and seasonal variations).



BERN

Posters and other publications from the AIUB Satellite Geodesy Group: http://www.bernese.unibe.ch/publist

